Mast	erplan consultation responses	Q2 - Is the proposed structure for the town centre clear and helpful in understanding the different areas and uses - Do you have any additional comments??	Total Representations: 131		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	retired	I am wondering where the extra parking will come from if you pedestrianise the Town Centre and extend the retail in the Arc.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	No change required	
2	resident	Can't see the detail and areas selected/named appear to be completely random.	The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	No change required	
3	resident	No extra car parking listed. as the town grows this could be a problem	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	No change required	
4		Mostly clear		No change required	
5	resident, retired	As a nation we are told we are getting older and older but at 66 and unable to walk far, i will be unable to use the shops up town as you seem to have made NO PROVISION for the old and disabled, the loss of parking right outside the shops i want to vist (marks and spencers)will be gone and so will i and the disabled, its hard enough to get a parking space now but you are going to take MORE AWAY??? i dont understand this. The young can shop on line and lots do so i cant and dont want to do so, i like to see and feel and try what im buying cant be going back and forth to shops or the post office to post stuff back. Do the shops up town know how much custom they will loose, my last trip was to super drug i parked right outside and was in and out in ten mins, paying the parking fee that the council will no longer get, i can see no reason to change things costing a lot of money to make things worse for every one, there are plenty of places for people to sit for a drink ect in town now why make more, change for change sake and nothing more, plenty of things my council tax could pay for where money would be well spent this is NOT IT.			
		Despite several mentions of improving the movement from the railway station into town its worrying that the people who originally drew up the outline of the plan did not think of extending the Northern Gateway area as far at the station. This appear systematic of the way BSE has so far underappreciated the importance of our railway connections and the traffic of visitors into the town that it can bring if the potential is fully exploited. An example of what I mean can be seen when looking at the current bus link from the station into town. Passengers leaving the station current have to leave the station completely and cross the busy Station Approach before they can hope to get a bus. Only the people moving away from the town centre have the facility of a bus stop on the station forecourt. This is crazy and terrible planning. If there is going to be buses only in one direction immediately outside the station, that direction should obviously be taking people into town. It may mean redesigning the forecourt and possible putting traffic lights at the junction between Station Hill and Fornham Road but it must be done. Buses from the town would stop on the station side of Station Hill in the layby currently occupied by a burger van.	the Local Plan and cannot be altered at this stage. However, the importance of the railway station and linkage to the town centre is acknowledged, hence the references in the Northern Gateway. The separate work relating to the station will take full account of the work carried out in respect of this masterplan.		
7	resident	I do not agree with removing parking from the buttermarket / cornhill outside of M&S and Argos. If you buy something large or heavy from there you need to be able to park close by. Argos you cannot collect from the rear of store. I agree the area between the back of Boots/WHSmith and the arc should be entirely pedestrianised with no buses or through traffic.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	No change required	

8	prefer not to say		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
		I am surprised by the potential increase in pedestrian area in the town centre. At a time when we	any displaced parking and provide additional	
		need more parking not less. Also the roads are often blocked up at narrow points by blue badge	parking. This is addressed under Character	
		holders, where will they park if it is pedestrianised? The market needs a spruse up too.	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	
9	worker, visitor from		Thank you.	No change required
	more than 10 miles	Potentially very useful to both recognise the differences and have different strategies and		
	away	implementation plans for the differing areas of the town.		
10	resident, worker	Overall I think it's helpful. At the same time, the use of circles introduces overlap/intersection and	The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
		therefore re-introduces a level of confusion about the different areas. Where does one area begin	reference only to enable people to identify the	
		and another end? Granted the significance of this criticism will depend on how substantively	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		forward planning is based on these designations. As a starting point, I think there's still room for	to.	
		improvement.		
11	resident	Not really. A little over elaborate, perhaps.	Thank you.	No change required
12	resident, worker		The preservation, maintenance and celebration	No change required
		I rather be a town which is known to be original Bury St Edmunds that tourist visited to our	of the historic aspects of the town centre is a key	
		renowned peaceful and siren historic town	element of the MAP.	
13	resident	Having the town centre with no cars is a splendid idea especially for the reduction of car exhaust	Thank you.	No change required
		pollutants	,	
14	resident, worker		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required
			users of all forms of transport including	
			motorists. We recognise the town serves a large	
		All it seems to wish to do in all areas is cater for cyclists and pedestrians - I would think judging by		
		the delays caused every morning and evening during rush hour and the motoring element of any	car users is mentioned in Character Areas	
		of this whole plan should be a priority	1,3,5,6 and 9	
15	resident		Although a wider link at Market Thoroughfare	No change required
-		bring in a proper connection from the arc to the market like you were supposed to have done.	may be desirable, it is not a prerequisite for	
		Building need to go so a thoughfare at least 4m wide between the two is made. You can then	pedestrianisation of St Andrews Street South.	
		make St Andrews street south a proper pedestrianized shopping street.		
16	worker, visitor from		There has been significant support for 'Pay on	No change required
	less than 10 miles	I agree with most of the plan, but would like to mention that as more car parking space is taken	Exit' car parking during the masterplan	
	away	away, that we need to introduce a Pay on Exit Multi storey car park. There are many more	consultation stages. We have passed this to our	
		houses being built in and around Bury St Edmunds, and the number of visitors to the town will	colleagues in Parking Services, who will	
		increase. We are surrounded by rural villages whose residents need to use a car to get from A to	consider all options going forward	
		B. Planners need to be aware that many visitors need to be able to park for longer than 4 hours.		
		This is because many visitors to the town like to make a day of it, by shopping and then meeting		
		with family and friends for a meal. The 4 hour restriction is depriving many businesess of		
		customers that are forced to leave the town through lack of parking or through restricted parking.		
		It is not always possible or practical to use Public Transport. Likewise this limits how much		
		shoppers can actually carry, which is why the larger out of town sites, such as Blue Water in Kent,		
		and Lake Side in Essex are very popular. Where shooppers are able to spend more time without		
		having to worry about rushing back to their car, in case they overstay their allotted parking time		
		they will spend more time and money in the town. Towns that have imposed excessive parking		
		restrictions around the country have had to reverse some of their policies to bring back the		
		shoppers and the visitors. We want our town to be successful and our shops thriving and paying		
		their business rates and taxes. We also have to compete with the online shopping, and Bury		
		Town needs to ensure that it remains both attractive and available, as a number of High Streets		
		have sufferered in somer areas with empty shops and reduced footfall.		
17	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
. /			be considered when carrying out a viability study	• ·
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
		but need to provide enough disabled parking in the centre proper hatched (wide enough) spaces	provision.	
19	resident, worker	put need to provide enough disabled parking in the centre proper flatched (while enough) spaces	P	No chapge required
18	resident, worker	The obvious answer to people having difficulty finding their way round the town control or heing	There is an aspiration to improve signage to key	
		The obvious answer to people having difficulty finding their way round the town centre or being	destinations in Character Area 9.	
		confused about distances between places is to improve the signposting for drivers, cyclists and pedestrians and to improve the availability of maps of the town centre online and on paper.		

9				
	resident, worker		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
	1		parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	
	1	able to park their car near the area, so they can take their items like shelving etc without trying to		
	1	carry long distances. However it do like the plans, but would like to see that the shops do not all		
		become coffee shops, banks, building societies, would like to see a more diverse shopping area.		
			Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
	than 10 miles away		be considered when carrying out a viability study	
	1	year. now it looks as if there will be no parking in the town centre. Therefore we will have to	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
	1	continue to shop on line on visit out of town shops.	provision.	
21	resident, worker	The map shows ten zones for the town centre but as these overlap it does not help to clearly	The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
		identify the different areas. Kings Road is within "Heart of Bury ST Edmunds" and "Parkway" while		
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
	1	propose to put name signs around these areas?	to	
22	resident	Not sure that I understand why the St Andrews Quarter id identified as a "Quarter" when none of	The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
.2		•		no change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		be considered as the "Station Transport Hub"	to.	
23			We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
	1	today's East Anglian Daily Times, and wish to make the following points. 1. There is no mention of	any displaced parking and provide additional	
	1		parking. This is addressed under Character	
	1		Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Blue badge parking is a	
			key requirement that will be considered when	
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
	1		that affect or influence parking provision. 73% of	
	1		respondents to the Issues and Options	
	1	except presumably on market days. 5. I would be very interested to know how many of the 1,100	consultation described themselves as 'Residents	
	1	people who responded to the first consultation were actually Bury residents. Please will you give	of Bury St Edmunds'.	
		me this information.	,	
24	resident, retired		The single entity which is Bury St Edmunds town	No change required
<i>.</i> I			centre comprises a series of interconnected	
	1		neighbourhoods and character areas. The	
	1			
	1		structure, map and Character Areas are for	
	1		reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
	1		1 -	
		Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas"	to.	
25		Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas"		No change required
	visitor from less than	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there	There were no issues identified relating to the	No change required
	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the		No change required
	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to	There were no issues identified relating to the	No change required
	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area.	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required No change required No change required
	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop,	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own	
26	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!!	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required
26	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required
26	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed Maintain Bury St Edmunds as an attractive Market Town. The traditional market needs to thrive.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required
26	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed Maintain Bury St Edmunds as an attractive Market Town. The traditional market needs to thrive. Buildings need to be in keeping with the character of the town. Parking in the town centre needs to	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required
26 27 28	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident resident resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed Maintain Bury St Edmunds as an attractive Market Town. The traditional market needs to thrive.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required           No change required           No change required           No change required
26 27 28	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed Maintain Bury St Edmunds as an attractive Market Town. The traditional market needs to thrive. Buildings need to be in keeping with the character of the town. Parking in the town centre needs to increased, not reduced, if you want to attract more visitors.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required
26 27 28	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident resident resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed Maintain Bury St Edmunds as an attractive Market Town. The traditional market needs to thrive. Buildings need to be in keeping with the character of the town. Parking in the town centre needs to increased, not reduced, if you want to attract more visitors.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required           No change required           No change required           No change required
26 27 28	visitor from less than 10 miles away resident resident resident	Bury is a single entity, not a number of "Areas" Worded rather in consultant-speak. In the 4-page insert I read in the Bury Free Post, there seemed to be no reference to the very large area of Greene King factory in the south end of the historic centre. This company is important to the town, and influential - but the survey has to try to be be fair to everyone. To remove all vehicles from the town center, where would they go? Park and ride? You need to keep the purpose buildt bus station, to remove that would be a disaster for all, especially for the blind and disabled, if your looking to redevelop, then move away from St Andrews Street towards the Railway Station, where their is room for development and off Tayfen Road, whats happened to the proposal for development there? Care home, shops etc? Then there is Etna Road, the worst road to get in and out of, who came up with that silly idea of a hotel and drive through coffee shop, pathetic idea!!! More electric car charging points needed Maintain Bury St Edmunds as an attractive Market Town. The traditional market needs to thrive. Buildings need to be in keeping with the character of the town. Parking in the town centre needs to increased, not reduced, if you want to attract more visitors.	There were no issues identified relating to the Greene King area. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Tayfen Road and Station Hill areas are subject to their own adopted masterplans which are progressing.	No change required           No change required           No change required           No change required

30	resident, worker		The masterplan cannot influence who occupies	No change required	
			shops, this is a matter of market demand.		
			However, a healthy town centre should be		
		Fewer main franchise shops. Encourage local independent retailers and more cultural interests	capable of attracting a wide range of shops and		
		rather than shops and restaurants.	other facilities.		
31	worker			No change required	
51	wonter		requirement that will be considered when		
		As long as blue hadre halders still have access with their care to the town centre I am hanny with	•		
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations		
		the changes	that affect or influence parking provision.		
32		What provision are you going to make for diabled and elderly residents as they need to be able to			
			be considered when carrying out a viability study		
	retired		of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
		residents who need to considered too. What I have read so far no consideration has been given to	provision.		
		this group of residents.			
33	resident	You are saying you are going to pedestrianize the town centre, but you are increasing the car	There is an aspiration to review options for Park	No change required	
00		parking in town, which means that cars still will have to somehow get into town. Why not create	And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character		
			Area 9.		
			Alea 9.		
24	rooidont rotizad	parking areas into key areas of town?	Thenk you for your positive correct	No obongo required	
34		A very comprehensive appraisal, thank you.		No change required	
35	resident			No change required	
			Options consultation considered themselves to		
			have a disability or longstanding health		
			condition. Whilst the Borough does not intend to		
			create more toilet facilities within the town		
			centre, we will be examining initiatives including		
			working with businesses and developers to seek		
		How many of the 1100 people in your survey were disabled and need cars to get to banks,	opportunities to improve provision of publicly		
		chemists other business places?? As regards provision of public toilets, there were some in the	accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area		
36	resident worker	centre before they built the Ark.!!!	9.	Include reference to schools within Character	
36	resident, worker	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the	9. This is acknowledged.	Include reference to schools within Character	
36	resident, worker	centre before they built the Ark.!!!	9. This is acknowledged.	Include reference to schools within Character Area 4	
36 37	resident, worker	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the	9. This is acknowledged.	Area 4	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for	Area 4	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools.	<ul><li>9.</li><li>This is acknowledged.</li><li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the</li></ul>	Area 4	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools.	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate</li> </ul>	Area 4	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools.	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools.	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	Area 4 No change required	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance	Area 4 No change required	
		centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within	Area 4 No change required	
37	resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.	Area 4 No change required	
	resident visitor from less than	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.	Area 4 No change required	
37	resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.	Area 4 No change required	
37	resident visitor from less than	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.	Area 4 No change required	
37	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away,	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2. Thank you for your response.	Area 4 No change required	
37 38	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2. Thank you for your response. This is an important point and is why the areas	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what	9. This is acknowledged. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2. Thank you for your response. This is an important point and is why the areas	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!!         The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools.         A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan         No         Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of subdividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration.	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration.	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration. The document lacks structure and vision and it does not explain how it fits in to the planning policy	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration.	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the masterplan to the next stage of delivery.</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration. The document lacks structure and vision and it does not explain how it fits in to the planning policy	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the masterplan to the next stage of delivery.</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required	
37 38 39 40	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident other	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration. The document lacks structure and vision and it does not explain how it fits in to the planning policy context provided by Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the masterplan to the next stage of delivery.</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations. No change required	
37 38 39 40	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident other	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration. The document lacks structure and vision and it does not explain how it fits in to the planning policy context provided by Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the masterplan to the next stage of delivery.</li> <li>The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations. No change required	
37 38 39 40	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident other	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration. The document lacks structure and vision and it does not explain how it fits in to the planning policy context provided by Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the masterplan to the next stage of delivery.</li> <li>The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations. No change required	
37 38 39 40	resident visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident other	centre before they built the Ark.!!! The Activity for the Churchgate Area makes no reference to the schools in the area and the enormous traffic problems that are created by vehicles coming to and leaving the schools. A cohesive 'whole'? I understand the themes and different 'characteristic' areas but found the overarching vision a little blurred. I also believe Station Hill and Station are key areas which although referred to are 'silent' within the plan No Although a helpful tool in identifying potential projects and aspirations the big shortcoming of sub-dividing a compact centre like Bury St Edmunds into different areas is that the masterplan may overlook the interrelationship between issues that cross localities. For example it is not clear what the collective impact the proposals would have on public transport routing/access and bus service integration. The document lacks structure and vision and it does not explain how it fits in to the planning policy context provided by Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031	<ul> <li>9.</li> <li>This is acknowledged.</li> <li>The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of this area. However we recognise the importance of the station and this is included within Character Area 2.</li> <li>Thank you for your response.</li> <li>This is an important point and is why the areas are loosely drawn and overlapping. It is also why Area 9 covers the entire town centre.</li> <li>This is explained in the introductory text and the explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery plan (the who, what,how and when) will take the masterplan to the next stage of delivery.</li> <li>The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of</li> </ul>	Area 4 No change required No change required No change required Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations. No change required	

42	prefer not to say		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
			to. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2. The consultation has received	
			several responses from groups and	
			organisations. To ensure consistency and avoid	
			duplication where individuals within those	
		LINE IS THE IMPORTATION THE LINEN WIGHTING HEID N/ THE BUILY SOCIETY AND THE LINUTCH ARTS	groups/organisations have also completed a	
		Area Association on 26 July 2017 to consider the Draft Town Contro Masternian. It has now been	response all group/organisation responses have	
		[1]	been counted as a single response. The	
			masterplan working group and project team are aware, however, that responses have been	
		second paragraph of Q26). The Chairmen of the two organisations request that this response	received which represent the views of more than	
		should be counted as representing 89 people rather than as representing only one response for	one person or family.	
		the issues and Options Open Meeting. Additional comment on Character Areas: The switch	one person of furnity.	
		between the two map diagrams is confusing. Both are called character areas. The first map		
10		shows "Station Hill" but the second map doesn't.		
43	resident		Thank you. We recognise there is a need to both	No change required
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. No issues were identified within the Well Street area that fall	
			under the remit of the masterplan.	
		(especially for residents). f. Traffic control/management/reduction is most welcome. Safety is an		
		issue. g. The preference for 'Mixed Generation' housing is most welcome, houses in addition to		
		flats (especially at Cornhill Walk).		
44	resident		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to help people to identify the	
		The proposed structure is fairly clear to me as a resident but I would suggest it would be difficult	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
			to.	
45	visitor from more		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
	than 10 miles away,	The descriptions and delineations are not so obvious to someone from outside of Bury St	reference only to help people to identify the	
	retired	Edmunds. The naming of particular areas is very much a vernacular characterisation and more	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
			to.	
46	resident			No change required
			an impression rather than detail.	
47	resident		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to help people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
			to. Thank you for your comment about the map,	
			we have passed this to our technical colleagues	
		You can't click on the map to enlarge it online. It's clear about the different areas, but it doesn't say anything about uses.	to amend for future consultations of this type	
48	resident		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
-10	I COLUCIT		reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		enhanced - they are outside the marked Masterplan area.	to.	
49	resident	no	Thank you for your response.	No change required
<u></u>		no	Thank you for your response.	No change required
-	than 10 miles away,		,,	
	visitor from less than			
	10 miles away			
	10 miles away			

51	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away		this to our technical colleagues to amend for	
			future consultations of this type	
		A larger map would be preferable		
52	visitor from more		Thank you for your comment. we have passed	No change required
	than 10 miles away,	I would have found it useful to be able to enlarge the map or have the main roads highlighted to	this to our technical colleagues to amend for	
	retired	make it easier to be oriented	future consultations of this type	
53	resident	none	Thank you for your response.	No change required
54	resident	Part of the lower section of Whiting Street (where we reside at no 19) is residential - apart from	The structure, map and Character Areas are for	
		three commercial properties at the intersection with Churchgate Street. However, this is shown as		
		overlapping with Section 1, Town Centre. We are concerned that Town Centre planning, which is	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		almost entirely commercial in Section 1, also incorporates our part of Section 4. This area, clearly		
		a grey one, could mean that we are included in Town Centre planning. We are outside the Town		
		Centre area.		
55	resident, retired		This is addressed in the secton 'Delivering the	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
			MAP'. It will take a number of years to put some	
			of the significant changes in place, as	
			the MAP covers the period up to 2031. The	
			Delivery Strategy will set out short,	
			medium and long term targets for delivery and	
			will be responsive to different rates of growth.	
		Very vague in places. Are the aspirations on a time frame for example?		
56	resident, retired		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.	
57	resident		Thank you.	No change required
		The areas are clear to me as a resident because I am very familiar with the layout of the town.		
58	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
		Why is the station area not included on this map? We would like to see a convenience store and	of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2.	
59			Thank you.	No change required
		Europe. There is no need for cars to be in that area		
60		The plan for the centre needs to be joined up with planning in adjoining areas, especially the		No change required
			existing Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031 Local	
			Plan document which covers the whole town.	
		mitigated by better public transport, especially better and cheaper buses. The "aspirations" re. the		
			provide the level of information required for the	
		5 1 7 1	town centre.	
		station - which would be a disastrous combination.		
61	resident, worker		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		change the image (to visitors, not residents)? This has not been made clear	to.	
62	resident		•	No change required
			throughout the document. Residents' parking is	
			a part of this and cannot be seen in isolation.	
		It does not address the main issue of many residents - RESIDENTS' PARKING		
63	resident, worker,			No change required
	retired		this to our technical colleagues to amend for	
		It is difficult to read black printing on the dark red and dark blue backgrounds.	future consultations of this type	

64 reside	1 1			
		I'd love to understand what it is you're proposing. But a series of interlinking pages of wordy text is		No change required
		impossible to visualise. Is it not possible to employ an architect? Aren't these people whose job it		
			for how things will be. To provide such detail	
		understand potential changes? It's 2017, not 1817. Where's the interactive 3D walkthrough? I can	would be misleading and disingenuous.	
		see 3 or 4 poor and unlabelled sketches dotted around the pages without even knowing what		
		they're referring to. I can't really believe you're asking the public's opinion without explaining		
		clearly what it is you're proposing. I'd love to be involved. Just let me know what it is you want and		
		I'll be able to feed back.		
65 reside	dent,retired		This is agreed. In addition, blue badge parking is	No change required
			a key requirement that will be considered when	
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
		longer park at the back of woolworths. They were not fit enough to walk from Ram meadow or Cattle market.	that affect or influence parking provision.	
66 reside			The overall budget for the masterplan was	No change required
			£88,000. This included the engagement of	
		How much has this Masterplan costs rate payers??	specialist town planning consultants.	
67 reside	dent, retired			No change required
68 reside	dent		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
		Street.	provision.	
69 reside	dent		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		Some proposals lack specific details and so it is difficult to make comments about certain issues.		
	tor from more			No change required
	n 10 miles away,		any displaced parking and provide additional	
retire			parking. This is addressed under Character	
		Not clear on where displaced parking from the market square will be provided	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	
71 reside	dent, retired			No change required
			issues identified for the Traverse area although	
			it does fall under Character Area 9 'Across the	
			Town Centre' which includes an aspiration to	
		The traverse has not been mentioned in the plans. This would be an ideal area for more seating	repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
		and floral displays it make it more attractive. I hope you do not intend to use brick paving in the project.	using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
72 reside	dent, worker			No change required
			engaged with during the consultation and was	
			available in different pages on the website,	
		The format was difficult to look at anywhere other than spread out on the floor - it therefore wasn't		
		very user friendly and this may have deterred some people from responding. It nearly did me! The	We are sorry you did not find it user friendly.	
		large map was great but perhaps each area could have been presented in A4 format.		
73 retired	ed		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
			Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
			Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
			change in response to market forces but we will	
			have no influence over this.	
		Leave bury as it was no more building		

74	resident		Parking and congestion within the town centre	No change required	
			are addressed as are the need to improve the		
			link between the new part of town and the		
			historic core. It is acknowledged that the town		
			centre requires more than retail development		
		to our town? Retail outlets alone do little for most local people. If there is money in the public bank	alone, which is why it identifies the different		
		there are many other ways to help the town manage the large increase in housing which are not	character areas.		
		being addressed in advance.			
75	resident	a) I think that too much "OPEN PLAN" area will make the town look empty quite often, and not	Thank you for your response.	No change required	
		welcoming. b) I think the Cattle Market should be renamed the Old Cattle Market.			
76	resident, worker,		The town has not been cut in half, but the need	No change required	
	visitor from less than		to improve links between the new development		
	10 miles away,		and the historic core is acknowledged.		
	visitor from more				
	than 10 miles away,				
	student, other,				
	prefer not to say				
		YOU HAVE DONE ENOUGH DAMAGE TO OUR TOWN BY CUTTING IT IN HALF ALLREADY.			
77	resident, retired		The Borough will work with Suffolk County	No change required	
			Council and public transport service providers to		
			improve the convenience of getting in to, out of		
			and around the town centre		
78	resident, worker		These important aspects will be fully considered	No change required	
	-	I would have liked to see the Masterplan give greater consideration to energy supply and water	as projects progress.		
		resources. These factors are of growing importance and will be even more so in 2030. Issues like	~~ p. cjocco p. cg. coci		
		abstraction, energy deman, distribution and generation are key issues for the coming decades.			
79	resident, worker		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required	
	,		any displaced parking and provide additional		
			parking. This is addressed under Character		
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. We are working with		
			Suffolk County Council, who are members of the		
			masterplan working group, to improve safety		
			and convenience of travelling into and around		
		what about extra parking, and access for cars to enter the town	the town centre for all users.		
80	resident, retired		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	
50			who are members of the masterplan working	introduce a derivery strategy for the aspirations.	
			group, to improve safety and convenience of		
			travelling into and around the town centre for all		
			users. The boundary of the masterplan is set by		
			, , , ,		
			the policy in Vision 2031 and the station is		
			outside of this area. However we recognise the		
		what they are doing will help in the overall scheme. But as the scheme/plan/aspirations do not yet	importance of the station and this is included		
		exist there is faint hope. Just emphasises that you may aspire to democracy, the CC clearly	within Unaracter Area 2.		
		doesn't.			
81	resident		The purpose of the masterplan is to protect the	No change required	
51	ICSIUCIII				
			unique character of the town. The town centre		
,			will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds	1	
l l					
		Why are you trying to make our unique town centre (which visitors enjoy) look like any other town	and the surrounding areas. Even if we do		
		Why are you trying to make our unique town centre (which visitors enjoy) look like any other town in the country. Although blue badge holders will still be able to access the centre you have not	and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in		
		Why are you trying to make our unique town centre (which visitors enjoy) look like any other town in the country. Although blue badge holders will still be able to access the centre you have not taken into account the increasing ageing population who may not be entitled to blue badges but	and the surrounding areas. Even if we do		

82	resident			No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. We are working with	
			Suffolk County Council, who are members of the	
			masterplan working group, to improve safety	
		Parking and traffic routes need to be made clearer as people will still want to park in town no	and conceneience of travelling into and around	
		•	the town centre for all users.	
83	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away,		more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
	retired		will be examining initiatives including working	
		There is no mention of public conveniences made available in amongst this exotic planning. One	5 5 5	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
		bladder problems. Think again! re-DESIGN OF AREA There are only 2 public toilets. 1 in Charter	accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area	
		Square. 1 in Abbey gardens and attendant.	9.	
84	resident, worker			No change required
		Where is everyone going to park, you have not made that clear at all taking away over 100 parking		
		spaces from the Buttermarket and the Cornhill - you have no-where in that vicinity to replace	parking. This is addressed under Character	
		them, unless you demolish a load of buildings!	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9	
	worker, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles		policy in Vision 2031. The Borough Council and	
	away		its partners will continue to work for the benefit	
		What about outside of these areas?	of the whole town and borough.	
86	worker		The consultations were publicised through local	No change required
			press, social media, via the Borough's and	
			partners' websites and through a leaflet which	
			was delivered to all Bury St Edmunds	
			households in the Spring. In total, 20 public	
			consultation events were held over the two	
			consultation periods, including at the main	
		I feel it's a highly complicated plan which has been poorly advertised and is unlikely to get a broad		
		response. I feel the consultation should be extended and re addressed using more simple terms	centre. Nearly 1500 responses have been	
		and actively seeking out a response from the publice.g. Leaflets, mail shots	received.	
87	visitor from more	and actively seeking out a response norm the publice.g. Leanets, mail shots		Introduce a delivery strategy for the conjustions
				Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
	than 10 miles away,		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
	retired		Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		Needs a bit more meat. Quite non specific in parts.		
88	resident			No change required
			with Suffolk County Council, who are members	
		Particularly welcome the attention paid to the Gateways into the Town. Remain concerned that	of the masterplan working group, to improve	
		Suffolk County Council will not follow the Masterplan's lead and take its aspirations & objectives	safety and convenience of travelling into and	
		into account	around the town centre for all users.	
89	resident			
			The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		not happy with doing away with bus station been working well close to shopping centre has toilets.	for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
		peoples homes.at the moment 8-9 buses park up in the bus station where would they go not room		
		in St Andrews St. Who ever came up with that idea either does not live in Bury uses buses or		
1		been down the road. Leave the bus station where it is works well.	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	meet the needs of the town.

90	resident, worker		who are members of the masterplan working	No change required
			group, to improve safety and convenience of	
		There are no access connections to the area of the MAP, and hence no understanding can be had	-	
04		of how people arrive and travel around the BSE central area.	users.	Nie eksenze werweine d
91	visitor from less than		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
	10 miles away,	There should be more emphasic on how the erece work together, their events and	reference only to enable people to identify the	
		There should be more emphasis on how the areas work together, their synergies and infrastructure to ensure the whole is greater than the sum of the parts.	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	
92	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away,		pedestrianisation during the Issues and Options	
	retired		stage. A viability study will be carried out looking	
			at the various options around pedestrianisation	
		Stopping traffic in The Buttermarket will be a great disadvantage to many people including those with any degree of immobility Please allow the traffic to keep flowing there.	before any work is carried out.	
93	resident, retired		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
		It would be helpful if there were a closer correlation in both extent and terminology between the	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
04		town centre structural components and its Character Areas.	to.	No change required
94 95	worker resident, worker	no		No change required No change required
95	resident, worker		policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2.	
96	resident, retired			No change required
00	· ·	Please note that my 'yes' to Q1 above is a qualified 'yes' There seems to be virtually no mention	aspirations in Character Areas 1 and 4. Cycle	
			provision, including secure parking, is set out in	
		quality now by limiting through traffic, and promoting cleaner vehicles, and lower speeds. This ties		
		in with aims which are stated of promoting pedestrian friendly areas as much as possible, where	balance the needs of all town centre users.	
		people are respected rather than cars. Plus the aim of trying to get more people on their feet, and	Environmental concerns will be fully assessed	
			as projects come forward.	
		to be no mention at all of the predicted dramatic climate disruption, and of the very significant		
		effects this is likely to have on the way we live.		
97	resident			
			The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre. In order for	
			the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a	
			Supplementary Planning Document and	
			therefore become part of the Borough Council's	
			official planning guidance, it is unable to specify	
		Keep the bus station where it is currently situated. Removing the bus station is the only specific	sites for development or redevelopment. Rather	
		intention in the Masterplan and it is misguided. P&R - where? how many sites? Wording	it provides a framework for individual projects to	
		throughout the Masterplan is ambigous and therefore difficult to give specific replies to suggested	come forward.Further detail will be contained in	
		intentions.		meet the needs of the town.
98	resident, retired			No change required
			outside the defined masterplan area, but of such	
		Station Hill is included on the 'town centre structure ' map but not on the 'Town Centre Character	importance to the town centre that it has been	
L		Area' map. This is confusing.	specifically identified.	

	<b></b>	1			
	resident, worker resident resident	Stop traffic expect buses and deliveries. No cars, taxis and deliveries at a specific time (early or late) make the link from centre to arc more attractive. Otherwise leave it alone some people need to park nearby- physical disabilities. Don't we have enough shops. Leave it alone. Found difficult to access the information on line but the leaflet i picked up from library clearer	Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in response to market forces but we will have no influence over this. There is an aspiration to improve surfacing and lighting in market Thoroughfare in Character Area 1. Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision. Thank you. The format was very popular with people we engaged with during the consultation and was available in different pages on the website, where links were available from each question.	No change required No change required No change required	
			We are sorry you did not find it user friendly.		
102	resident		control over what the Bury Free Press	No change required	
		plan is to enable planners to look at every single combination of events IF someone wants to develop housing, business, facilities etc in the BSE Town centre. So that if for example the owner of the Tritan House wanted to sell up then developers would know what they could or couldn't do in that area. The BFP didn't help but using the nice pictures has made the majority of people I've talked to think these things are going to be happening very soon.	publishes, but their features did create interest and led people to engage with and respond to the consultation.		
103	prefer not to say	BASIS. WE ARE A GROUP OF RESISDENTS WHO ARE WORKING TOGETHER TO ENSURE TAHT THE OVERALL TOWN CENTRE MASTERPLAN DOES THE RIGHT THING FOR BURY ST. EDMUNDS, BUT FOR OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD TOO. BECAUSE THIS IS A LARGE GROUP RESPONSE, WE HAVE NOT ANSWERED FROM Q27 ONWARDS. • We feel that Well Street and its proximity to Brentgoval Street and the Cornhill area make us a better fit with the	map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. The masterplan working group and project team are aware that		
104	resident, worker		The status of the walls as a scheduled monument ensures their protection. Vinefields lies outside the designated masterplan boundary.	No change required	
105	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	
106	resident, worker	The clarity of the thinking is helpful to develop the different character areas. It helps focus the mind. As the town centre area is relatively small further dividing its' functions too strictly might lead to lack of support for other areas who share that character area's activities. It would be tragic to stifle some natural growth by over regulating the other areas.	Thank you.	No change required	

		-			
107	other		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required	
		As a business at the end of Abbeygate Street, the emphasis seems to be pulling footfall to the	reference only to enable people to identify the		
		town centre and ARC. I wonder what that will do to footfall and therefore jobs of my staff at our	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate		
		end of the town.	to.		
108	worker, visitor from		Thank you for your response.	No change required	
	less than 10 miles				
	away	See note at end			
109		What is happening to Cornhill Walk. Where is the other parking nearby. What is happening to the	Cornhill Walk has been purchased by a private	No change required	
		Post Office	developer who is consulting with local people		
			before developing plans for its regeneration.		
110	resident	it only shows roads in white, buildings & car parks in grey and everything else in green. It should	The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required	
110	rooldont	show more information in more colours including: medieval buildings, shops, offices, social	reference only to enable people to identify the		
		housing, industry, leisure and the conservation areas.	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate		
		nousing, industry, leisure and the conservation areas.	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate		
111		"Yes" Don't change the town centre any more	The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required	
	10 miles away,		Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.		
	retired		Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still		
			change in response to market forces but we will		
			have no influence over this.		
112	resident, student	A brief summary of what was decided in Vision 31 would have been useful - or a link to the		No change required	
		document.	the Vision 2031 document, although a link may		
			be useful.		
113	resident, other	Live in the town centre and already parking is a great issue. We pay for our parking permits but	The Borough have taken the first steps to	No change required	
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which		
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year		
			process as it has to go through an Act of		
			Parliament. We expect this to be in place during		
			2019.		
114	resident	The areas' descriptions are often ndifferent from the map, e.g.3 covers Risbygate St on the map,		No change required	
114		but R' street is dealt with under 6!			
115	other		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	SCC questionnaire response.
110	oution	Archaeology - The Masterplan shows clearly the structure of Bury St Edmunds town centre,			Received by email
		which highlights the historic evolution of the town and its impact on different spaces and areas			
116	resident, retired		This is an artistic impression and is just to give	No change required	
110	resident, retired		an impression rather than detail. The market will		
			remain in its current location and there is an		
			aspiration to support the continued success of		
		The artist's impression creates a very favourable view of future development of the Cornhill. What			
		The artist's impression creates a very favourable view of future development of the Cornhill. What will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury?	the market in Character Area 1		
117	resident, worker		the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise	No change required	
117		will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury?	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced	No change required	
117		will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury?	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is	No change required	
117		will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank,	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced	No change required	
	resident, worker	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury?	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9		
117	resident, worker visitor from more	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank,	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and	No change required No change required	
	resident, worker	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank,	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9		
	resident, worker visitor from more	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank,	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and		
	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away,	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank,	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the		
	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away,	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket.	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury		
	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away,	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket.	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if		
	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket.	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in		
	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket. I think you are ruining a very good historic town, and making it look every other town. It needs to	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in response to market forces but we will have no		
118	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	<ul> <li>will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury?</li> <li>You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket.</li> <li>I think you are ruining a very good historic town, and making it look every other town. It needs to keep its individuality and historic outlook, which makes it unique. I think the arc lets it all down.</li> </ul>	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in response to market forces but we will have no influence over this	No change required	
	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury? You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket. I think you are ruining a very good historic town, and making it look every other town. It needs to	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in response to market forces but we will have no influence over this Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of		
118	resident, worker visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	<ul> <li>will happen on market-days which is often the initial reason why people come to Bury?</li> <li>You are not catering for existing shoppers who use the centre of town to quickly visit the bank, lunch from M+S chemist etc - we need parking in the Cornhill and Buttermarket.</li> <li>I think you are ruining a very good historic town, and making it look every other town. It needs to keep its individuality and historic outlook, which makes it unique. I think the arc lets it all down.</li> </ul>	the market in Character Area 1 Thank you for your comment. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9 Disagree. An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still change in response to market forces but we will have no influence over this	No change required	

20	visitor from more		The format was very popular with people we	No change required	
	than 10 miles away,		engaged with during the consultation and was		
	retired		available in different pages on the website,		
			where links were available from each question.		
		What an en expensive cumbersome unwieldy presentation. Surely the plans could have been	We are sorry you did not find it user friendly. The		
		presented in page form, numbered and keyed to the plan. Instead we have an unmanageable	cost was comparative to producing a standard		
		huge spread of expensively produced paper!	document.		
1	Prefer not to say			No change required	
	i foldi fide to day		developer and is in the process of being		
			transformed. We recognise there is a need to		
			both replace any displaced parking and provide		
		What is happening to Cornhill walk. Where is the other parking nearby. What is happening to the	additional parking. This is addressed under		
		post office.	Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and		
4	Prefer not to say	I do not like the fortmat of this questionnaire and prefer to comment in my own way. Although		No change required	
+	Fieler not to say	areas 1-2 are the only ones of real significance, equal space is provided for 3-9 as well, which is	Thank you for your response.		
		unnecessary. Therefore I have used the boxes for a series of interlinked observations which I			
		would ask you to consider altogether.			
5	resident, worker		Thank you Agreed There was a strong	No change required	
5	resident, worker	I ave the article improving For enfaty collution including point pand to reduce/ restrict which		No change required	
		Love the artist's impression. For safety, pollution including noise need to reduce/ restrict vehicle	response calling for pedestrianisation during the		
0	na a' da nt	activity in the Buttermarket/Cornhill area.	Issues and Options stage.		
6	resident		The meeternian does not propose the removal of		
			The masterplan does not propose the removal of		
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
			for its redevelopment. This has led to		
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.		
			No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
			meet the needs of the town centre. The	Amend aspiration to delete reference to	
		1. Bus station should be opened and re-staffed 2. Finish area one - learn from any mistakes then	timescale is set by the Vision 2031 policy and	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	
		have consultation about next stages. 3. 14 yrs project too ambitions. Next council may have		positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
		different ideas.	official planning guidance.	meet the needs of the town.	
7	resident, retired		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required	
			who are members of the masterplan working		
			group, to improve safety and convenience of		
		The functionality of the plan is dependent on a sound transport plan. The words under	travelling into and around the town centre for all		
		"Movement" are inadequate.	users.		
8	vistor from more			No change required	
	than 10 miles away,				
	prefer not to say				
	, ,	No			
9	resident, other	Art gallery needed.	An art gallery would be most welcome.	No change required	
	resident, retired		The structure, map and Character Areas are for		
	,		reference only to enable people to identify the	ř í	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate		
			to. Expert advice will be followed as to the		
		Limits of each area need to be shown much demolition and building is implied. Any trees planted	suitability of any specieis of trees planted.		
		should be small.	oundering of any speciels of trees planted.		
1	resident, visitor from		Agreed. There is an aspiration in Character Area	No change required	
	more than 10 miles	If you pedestrianise the Cornhill, Buttermarket, please lay the paving strong enough for delivery	9 to repair and maintain pavements and		
	away, retired	lorries. This about pedestrians, especially the elderly. The pavements in town now are very	walkways across the town centre using		
	1	uneven of promotes falls.	sympathetic and appropriate materials.		

		Q4 - Are the Character Areas helpful in setting out where and why changes are proposed - if no, why not?	Total Representations: 52		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	retired	Not sure why the areas overlap. But could be helpful.	Thank you. The areas overlap to demonstrate the connectivity between them. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	No change required	
2	resident	Again, these coloured markings are just an overlapping blur. What do titles like 'St Andrews guarter' even mean?		No change required	
3	resident, worker	Definitely, they seem very empathetic to the distinct areas that exist within the town and the different needs that those areas have, particularly in so far as how their distinctiveness can be maintained and enriched going forward.		No change required	
4	resident		provision.		
5	resident, worker	Remained to be original historic town with the independent stores to support the area	An aspiration to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre is set out in Character Area 9.	No change required	
6	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	
7	resident, worker	See my previous comment. It would be more appropriate to name the areas based on prominent streets, as that's where people generally walk.		No change required	
8	resident, retired	Whatever is done in one "Area" impacts, positively or negatively, on all the others	Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	No change required	
9		More electric car charging points needed		No change required	
10	resident, worker	The colour diagram is not very informative.	<u> </u>	No change required	

11	resident, retired		Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of the	No change required
			Buttermarket, Cornhill and part of St Andrews	
			Street South are set out as aspirations in	
			Character Area 1. Review options for Park And	
			Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in	
			Character Area 9.	
12	visitor from less than		Disagree. The purpose of the masterplan is to	No change required
	10 miles away,		protect the unique character of the town. The	
	retired		town centre will need to adapt to a growth Bury	
			St Edmunds and the surrounding areas. Even if	
			we do nothing, the town centre will still change in	
			response to market forces but we will have no	
		Not really It will look like any other town in the country	influence over this.	
13	resident		if pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	No change required
			be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
		As regards vehicles in the town centre, how do you get delivery vans when the pedestrianised	currently in place elsewhere. Any	
		square will be full of chairs and tables. Where do they put them on Wednesdays and Saturdays.??	pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
		•		
14	resident			No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
		for BSE in 2031. For example, BSE is a vibrant town centre that has grown and developed in a	Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
		planned manner. The town is in a relatively unique position of having several potential	is unable to specify sites for development or	
		development sites (mainly surface car parks) in or adjacent to the central core. A masterplan	redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
		planning benefits of focusing attention on those areas that will have the most dramatic benefit for	detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		the town in the long term(e.g. regeneration/development of the St Andrews street North locality		
		(surface car park, Triton House, bus station and St Andrews Street North frontage) rather than		
		cherry pick easy options like the former cattle market area.		
15	other			No change required
			Areas are for reference only to enable people to	
			identify the areas of the town centre the	
			aspirations relate to.	
16	prefer not to say			No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		improvements would be geographically. Diagrammatic "blobs" would help.		
17	resident			No change required
			Areas are for reference only to enable people to	
		In the main yes they are. They don't mention some of the historic streets that should be included in		
			aspirations relate to. The boundary of the	
			masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031.	
		of the map. It will be clearly visible from the town/Abbey Gardens.		
18	resident, retired		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
		I would only comment that some of the areas highlighted are badly positioned in relation to their	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		name	to.	
		nano		I I

19	visitor from more		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
	retired		Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan. The	
		bureaucrats and consultants and contains too many "might be" comments and is therefore actually	budget for the masterplan process including	
			consultation and consultants, was £88,000	
		amount to a promise of action. By the way, how much were the consultants paid for this?		
20	resident		Apologies for this confusion.	No change required
20	resident	confusion.	Applogies for this confusion.	ino change required
04	rooidont		Each Character Area has a number of	No change required
21	resident			No change required
			aspirations, setting out a framework for	
			individual projects to come forward.	
22	resident		An aspiration to provide dedicated cycle facilities	
			including secure parking is included in Character	
			Area 9. This area recognises that some	
			aspirations apply across the town centre and	
			need to be considered as a coordinated whole.	
			The structure, map and Character Areas are for	
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
		area leads to incoherent decisions being made through failure to consider the impact of decisions	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		in one character area on the others.	to.	
23	resident, worker		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
			Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
			Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
			change in response to market forces but we will	
			have no influence over this.	
		Change for change's sake		
24	resident		Should there be more or less? The need to	No change required
			review parking is acknowledged throughout the	
			masterplan.	
25	resident, retired			No change required
<b>I</b> <sup></sup>			who are members of the masterplan working	
			group, to improve safety and convenience of	
			travelling into and around the town centre for all	
			users. The boundary of the masterplan is set by	
			the policy in Vision 2031	
26	resident			No change required
			Council and public transport service providers to	
			improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	
			and around the town centre	
		Where is the Buses from estate to go better bus service needed.		
27	resident		St Andrews Street South was strongly identified	No change required
			as an area where pedestrianisation is desirable	
			in the Issues and Options consultation.	
		Bus and taxi only need to be properly enforced by police on St. Andrews street North.		
I		but and taxi only need to be property enlored by police on ot. And they suffer notifit.		1I

28 1	resident, other		The Character Areas	No change required
			are specific locations defined	
			by their appearance, historical	
			interest or the uses that take	
			place there, as set out in the draft masterplan.	
			The structure, map and Character Areas are for	
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		Meaningless concept these are just areas which have been identified and noted with them not	to.	
		clear rationale for why.		
29 I	resident		The Character Areas	No change required
			are specific locations defined	
			by their appearance, historical	
			interest or the uses that take	
			place there, as set out in the draft masterplan.	
			The structure, map and Character Areas are for	
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		These Character Areas are fairly arbitrary.	to.	
	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away,		improvement is required and the links between	
I	retired		the arc and the historic core as well as traffic	
			movements and restrictions are included.	
			However, more detailed work will be required.	
			This is explained in the introductory text and the	
			explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery	
			plan (the who, what, how and when) will take the	
		Not clear on traffic movements and restrictions also how are links from the market square and the	masterplan to the next stage of delivery.	
		Arc to be provided		
	resident, worker,		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
	visitor from less than		Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
	10 miles away,		Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
	visitor from more		change in response to market forces but we will	
	than 10 miles away,		have no influence over this.	
	retired, student,			
	other, prefer not to			
		LEAVE WELL ALONE.		
	resident, worker			No change required
	visitor from less than		Thank you.	No change required
	10 miles away,			
	retired	A fair assumption of the area in question		
	retired worker, visitor from			No change required
	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles		aspirations to tackle issues identified by	No change required
ä	retired worker, visitor from		aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options	No change required
i	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away		aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and	No change required
	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research.	
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character	No change required No change required
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away,	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to	
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the	
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	No change required
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you. The structure, map and Character	
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre. The Character Areas are conceptually helpful for the purposes of the MAP. If they are ultimately	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to	No change required
35	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre. The Character Areas are conceptually helpful for the purposes of the MAP. If they are ultimately intended to form the basis of a branding strategy for the various areas of the town, their integrity	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the	No change required
35 y	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre. The Character Areas are conceptually helpful for the purposes of the MAP. If they are ultimately intended to form the basis of a branding strategy for the various areas of the town, their integrity would of course require fine tuning.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	No change required
35 y	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired resident, worker	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre. The Character Areas are conceptually helpful for the purposes of the MAP. If they are ultimately intended to form the basis of a branding strategy for the various areas of the town, their integrity would of course require fine tuning. However, the map diagrams are difficult if you do not completely understand our town A	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you for your comment. This has been	No change required
35 1 36 1 37 1	retired worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	But not sure that changes are necessarily needed everywhere. But do not compartmentalise and lose sight of the importance of the whole town centre. The Character Areas are conceptually helpful for the purposes of the MAP. If they are ultimately intended to form the basis of a branding strategy for the various areas of the town, their integrity would of course require fine tuning.	aspirations to tackle issues identified by respondents to the Issues and Options consultation and the Borough Council's and partner's research. Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. Thank you for your comment. This has been recorded and will inform future consultations.	No change required

39	resident		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward. The	
			rationale behind the aspirations are set out	
		Again the wording throughout the Masterplan is ambigous and therefore difficult to give specific	under the 'Why are we producing a MAP'	
		replies to suggested intentions. Understood where the proposed changes are suggested but the	section.	
10		proposed intentions behind them were unclear.	<b>-</b>	
40		More consideration could have been given to the implications for the town centre of areas outside		No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Character Area 2.	
		Some matters are issue based and not spatial, for example will town centre land uses be		
41	worker weiter from	controlled more vigorously?		No change required
41	worker, visitor from	On anaphing to even up a linear about the property destriction of the Dutter sector and		No change required
		On speaking to everyone I know about the proposed pedestrianisation of the Buttermarket area	pedestrianisation during the Issues and Options	
40	away	without exception they are all against the idea,.	stage.	No change required
42	worker	whore not why		No change required
12	worker, visitor from	where not why	understood.	No change required
43	,			No change required
	less than 10 miles	Not auro if these are all appropriate on Wigh List head	framework for individual projects to come	
44		Not sure if these are all appropriate as Wish List based	forward. All Bury St Edmunds town, borough and county	No change required
44	resident	They are poorly defined with vague boundaries. Areas should be based on the council wards, which are well established, and inpout should be sought from the local councillors for these wards		No change required
			working group. The structure, map and	
			Character Areas are for reference only to enable	
			people to identify the areas of the town centre	
			the aspirations relate to.	
45	visitor from less than	Enough changes have got too much. We're supposed to be a Historic Town?	The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
40	10 miles away,		Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	no change required
	retired		Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
	retired		change in response to market forces but we will	
			have no influence over this.	
46	resident, student	Useful - but a bit too simplistic e.g. Northern Gateway concentrates on the route from the station to	Thank you for your comment. Where areas are	No change required
			not specifically mentioned in the draft	
			masterplan, it is because no issues within the	
			remit of the masterplan have been identified.	
47	resident, retired		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
1			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.	
48				No change required
			support the continued success of the market.	
	-,	goods.	••	
49	prefer not to say		There was a strong response calling for	No change required
			pedestrianisation during the Issues and Options	
			stage. Furthermore, a strong and vibrant town	
		purchases. Assuming the shops are satisfactory, it is this essential for easy access to be provided		
		for car uses and bus passengers -> 6	,	
L				

0	resident, retired		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required
			who are members of the masterplan working	
			group, to improve safety and convenience of	
		Why is clear enough. Without a clear map to support proposed traffic routes, the how and where	travelling into and around the town centre for all	
		are not clear.	users	
1	vistor from more		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
	than 10 miles, prefer		reference only to enable people to identify the	
	not to say		areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		No details	to.	
2	resident, visitor from		An aspiration to preserve and enhance the	No change required
		Please keep the character of the old buildings, Remember this is a very old town ant attracts	character and appearance of the town centre is	
	away, retired	tourists because of this, not so much for the shops, these are not as good as they used to be.	set out in Character Area 9.	

BSET	TCMP - Draft MAP	Q6 - Do you think the right Character Areas have been identified - If no, what else	Total Representations: 83		
Maste	rplan consultation	would you like to see identified within the town centre?			
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident		The Character Areas	No change required	
			are specific locations defined		
			by their appearance, historical		
			interest or the uses that take		
			place there, as set out in the draft masterplan.		
			The structure, map and Character Areas are for		
			reference only to enable people to identify the		
		if you had to define areas, shouldn't it be something like: historic town centre/recent	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate		
		development/needs redevelopment.	to		
2	resident	Nothing at all	Thank you.	No change required	
-	resident			No change required	
			Area 4 which includes an aspiration to reinforce		
			the identity of Angel Hill as a multi-functional		
			space to continue as a car park and events		
			space. Angel Hill has been identified as an		
			important parking resource close to the town		
			centre on the east side of town.		
		Angel Hill shouldn't just be left as a car park. This area must also be included in the plan.			
ŀ	resident, worker		Thank you for your response. We are keen to	No change required	
		A caveat to answering 'yes' is that I am only a resident of 10 years. Those that know the town	capture a range of views representing the		
		better may take a more critical view.	residents of and visitors to the town centre.		
	resident	The northgate st, Tayfen road and station hill need sorting out. There is traffic build up with cars	This area is outside the masterplan boundary,	No change required	
		turning on and out of the Tesco no 2 car park. There is traffic build up when traffic turns right to	however we recognise the importance of the		
		head up station hill. I think that the Tesco car park should be used only for staff not for public, who	station and this is included within Character Area		
		cannot be bothered to go round to the main Tesco car park. Perhaps there ought to be a one way	2. Suffolk County Council have recently carried		
		system in direction of Northgate St- Tayfen Road, station Hill. The Railway station needs to be	out a public consultation regarding roads and		
		connected directly to the bus station/town centre with a regular 15 min service either way by public	travel in this area, the results of which will be		
			available soon.		
		electric minibuses, trolley busses solely to connect one with the other. And the road needs to be			
		improved as well.			
	visitor from more		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will		
	than 10 miles away,		be considered when carrying out a viability study		
	retired	More information regarding disabled parking for disabled customers needing to park close to the	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
,	ne state st	town centre.	provision.		
	resident, worker	Parking needs to remain		No change required	l
	resident	my answer would be 'ish' The churchgate area mainly and to a lesser extent with the other areas	Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
		seem to be too nice to the residents who should not be considered as that important. They chose			
		to live in a town centre so they put up with the noise/disturbance/parking that goes with that decision.			
	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required	1
			be considered when carrying out a viability study		
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
			provision. Character Area 1 includes an		
			aspiration to retain delivery access and		
		You are removing all the disabled parking in the town centre, making that area impossible to reach			
		for those with limited walking.	nearby.		
	Ļ		incarsy.	Į	ļ

-	-			
10	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles	The link between The Arc and the older shopping Area is still a major concern. The money to be	improvement is required and the links between	
	away		the arc and the historic core. Electric vehicles	
			already exist and are increasing in popularity	
		easily converted at limited cost. This would save councils huge amounts of money if they did NOT		
		have to provide electric charging points which will take up space, by considering an alternative to	points due to the charging time requirements	
			which make petrol forecourts less suitable.	
		National Grid will not be able to cope if all motorists are charging their cars at say 6.00pm. The	However, we should remain flexible to	
		current range for an electric car is not practical for many businesses.	innovation.	
11	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away		however we are working with Suffolk County	
			Council, who are members of the masterplan	
			working group, to improve safety and	
			convenience of travelling into and around the	
		The area between Sainsbury's and churchgate st/parkway as a periferal but entry point area.	town centre for all users.	
12	resident, worker			No change required
			capture a range of views representing the	
		Seem reasonable to me, but don't know enough about the history of the town to be really sure.	residents of and visitors to the town centre.	
13	resident		The number of coffee shops is a direct response	
			to market demand. The council does not control	
			rents. Lower parking charges for local residents	
		No more coffee shops cheaper rent for smaller shops lower car parking charges for local people	is an interesting idea, but how do we know who	
		who have to use the town	is local?	
14	worker			No change required
15	resident, worker	See my previous comment. It would be more appropriate to name the areas based on prominent		No change required
			previous comment.	
		Cathedral and Abbey Gardens.		
16	resident			No change required
		But see my earlier comment re station hill and transport hub.	previous comment.	
17	resident, retired	Recognition the people (particularly residents in the town) are more important than cars, parking,		No change required
		traffic. People and homes should always have priority.	all users of the town centre.	
18	resident			No change required
			were identified as area requiring improvement in	
		St Andrews street does not need redevelopment, leave alone move to Tayfen Road/Station Hill	the Issues and Options consultation.	
19	resident	More electric car charging points needed		No change required
20	resident		<b>a</b>	No change required
			Areas are for reference only to enable people to	
			identify the areas of the town centre the	
		They are not really independent areas.	aspirations relate to.	
21	resident, worker		•	No change required
		We need the market cross gallery re-opened. This is 'Character' to the town.	the scope of the masterplan.	
22	worker		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
1			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
1			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
L		To increase blue badge parking in the town centre	provision.	
23	resident			No change required
1			consultation were residents of Bury St Edmunds.	
1			Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Cornhill	
			was strongly supported in this consultation. We	
1			recognise there is a need to both replace any	
			displaced parking and provide additional	
		Needs to be more for people of bury not just tourists! Full time workers don't have time to park and		
		walk for ages to get to one shop. We want to get in, park and get out asap. Need cheaper parking.	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
<u> </u>		More spaces.		

24	resident	I think that three other areas ought to be included as character areas: Out Risbygate - Location of	The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
		College, Leisure Centre and weekend parking - it is an important area of the town centre Station	policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
		Hill - This area should be included as an area of its own as many tourists, visitors and town	this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
		serves as a link between the growing residential areas of Bury towards Moreton Hall and the	Character Area 2.	
		historic centre.		
25	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
		I would also have included the Station area as a 'character area' especially as 'movement' was	of the station and this is included within	
		one of the overarching themes identifiedand rail forms part of this.	Character Area 2.	
26	visitor from less than		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
	10 miles away,		policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
	retired	The railway station and "Station Hill", an area clearly identified under the heading understanding	this area. However we recognise the importance	
		the structure of the town centre. Likewise the Lark and Linnet Riverside should be more	of the station and this is included within	
		specifically identified as a Character Area	Character Area 2.	
27	resident		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
		The character areas are helpful but I suggest they should be dropped in subsequent stages of the	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		masterplan process in favour of a single planned approach to the town centre.	to.	
28	resident, worker		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
		Station hill and approach to town from there	Character Area 2.	
29	prefer not to say			No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
		The Station Hill area should be included in the second map diagram (see note above)	Character Area 2.	
30	resident			No change required
			not specifically mentioned in the draft	
			masterplan, it is because no issues within the	
		Moyse's Hall not dealt with specifically. Angel Hill and required improvements. Conservation areas		
		(Well Street etc.) not mentioned.		
31	resident	All of the Water Meadows including Holy Water Meadows should be included with the Lark and	Thank you for your comment. Where areas are	No change required
	looldoll	Linnet Riverside or be identified as an additional Character area. The Water Meadows are a	not specifically mentioned in the draft	
		unique feature of the town. It is appreciated that No Mans Meadows has been identified in the	masterplan, it is because no issues within the	
		Lark and Linnet Character area but so too should the other water meadows particularly in view of	remit of the masterplan have been identified.	
		the proximity of residential areas to them.		
32	visitor from more		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
<i></i>		Character Areas are a vernacular concept, as I pointed out. What I need to actually see clearly	reference only to enable people to identify the	
	retired	marked are: Traffic free pedestrianised areas which enhance an experience of the historical and	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		heritage character of parts of the town Logical pedestrian routes - there are too many places	to. The aspirations you request are included in	
		where it is not safe to cross the road Dedicated bicycle routes and lanes which provide connected	Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
		travel across the town Proper access to parking for people who don't live in Bury via park and	Character Areas 1, 5, 5, 6 and 9.	
		drive sites which operate at appropriate times, i.e. 7 am to 12 pm		
33	rosidont	As outlined in my answer to an earlier question - the Character Areas have been extremely	Thank you, Please see the response to your	No change required
55	resident	loosely identified and could lead to problems.	Thank you. Please see the response to your previous comment.	No change required
24	rocidont	The other watermeadow areas should be inlcuded as they form a significant feature of the town		No change required
34	resident	· •		No change required
		especially The Holy Watermeadows which should be included as part of Number 8 Character	not specifically mentioned in the draft	
		area, Lark and Linnet Riverside. The Holy Watermeadows are currently an underused and a	masterplan, it is because no issues within the	
		neglected area which could be a potential asset adding to the character of the town especially	remit of the masterplan have been identified.	
		forming a cycle and pedestrian conduit from the South and southwest of the town (largely		
		residential) and/or for recreational use.		

35	resident, worker			No change required	
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of		
			this area. However we recognise the importance		
			of the station and this is included within		
			Character Area 2.		
36	resident		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required	
			reference only to enable people to identify the		
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate		
		Why do they need to be 'identified'?	to.		
37	resident, worker,		This is one aspect of the overall parking needs	No change required	
	retired		of the town which will be addressed through the		
			delivery plan and there is no single correct		
		How to solve the issue of residents' parking	solution.		
38	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required	
			policy in Vision 2031. Surrounding areas will be		
			fully taken into account when taking projects		
		Southgate area should have been included.	forward.		
39	resident, worker	*	Agreed, although little indication has been	No change required	
	,		provided as to what these facilities might be.		
40	resident	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required	
			any displaced parking and provide additional		
			parking. This is addressed under Character		
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
41	resident			No change required	
			the Borough Council works closely with the		
			Police on issues of community safety and anti-		
			social behaviour.		
42	resident, worker	It is right to stretch the area out towards the station and give appropriate emphasis to the Northern		No change required	
		Gateway.	·····		
43	resident, other		Thank you.	No change required	
44	resident			No change required	
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of		
			this area. However we recognise the importance		
			of the station and this is included within		
			Character Area 2.		
45	resident, retired			No change required	
			issues identified for the Traverse area although		
			it does fall under Character Area 9 'Across the		
			Town Centre' which includes and aspiration to		
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways		
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.		
		But again update the Traverse. paving there is in a dreadful state.			
46	resident, retired		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required	
			any displaced parking and provide additional		
			parking. This is addressed under Character		
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Blue badge parking is a		
			key requirement that will be considered when		
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations		
			that affect or influence parking provision.		
		Parking, disabled acess	and anot of mildence parking provision.		
47	resident		There is an aspiration to review options for Park	No change required	
			And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character		
			Area 9.		
48	resident		Thank you. The Delivery Strategy will set out	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	
-10			short, medium and long term targets for delivery		
			and will be responsive to different rates of		
L	1	Jacobion milestories - we need to be able to measure progress.	growth.	1	

49	resident, worker,		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
	visitor from less than		response to your previous comment.	
	10 miles awy, visitor			
	from more than 10			
	miles away, retired,			
	student, other,			
	prefer not to say			
		LEAVE WELL ALONE.		
50	resident, retired		More trees and slower/less traffic is already	No change required
50	resident, retired			no change required
			included. The masterplan cannot influence who	
			occupies shops, this is a matter of market	
			demand. However, a healthy town centre should	
			be capable of attracting a wide range of shops	
			and other facilities.	
51	resident, worker		There is an aspiration to review options for Park	No change required
		What about an out of town parking, transport hub? Each area makes reference to movement and	And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character	
		encouraging more pedestrians and cyclists but we are not tackling the main barrier which is	Area 9. Pedestrianisation or part	
		vehicle volumes and how we remove them from these spaces. What about an amazing	pedestrianisation of some key town centre areas	
		infrastructure and resource and environmental focus? After all 2031 is half way to the Government		
		2050 target for an 80% reduction in GHG emissions. I know I am in a minority but it does concern		
		me that the future vision only covers retail, parking and some housing. Is this really our only	forward.	
		aspiration for the town's development? What about no more fossil fuelled cats in 2040?	ioiwaid.	
52	resident, retired		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
52		Earlier comment re Compeigne Way and Station Hill - vital, apparentlybut not included.	response to your previous comment	ino change required
53	visitor from less than			No chongo required
55				No change required
	10 miles away,		shops, this is a matter of market demand.	
	retired		However, a healthy town centre should be	
			capable of attracting a wide range of shops and	
		No more Costa Coffees needed, there are too many already! look at Angel Hill and Abbeygate; not		
		too many trees and just small variety which don't obstruct building views or cause root problems re	appropriate species for the location.	
		pavement.		
54	resident, worker		Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to retain	No change required
		you are making it more difficult for people who want to shop and come into town briefly to go to the		
		bank, get a prescription from Crosdales/Boots etc, buy their lunch - impossible not everyone wants	and other parking nearby.	
		to spend the whole day shopping and eating and parking miles away, we have work to do - we		
		work in Bury or outside Bury and want to come in in hurry to get food/bank/chemist etc		
55	worker, visitor from		Thank you for your response.	No change required
	more than 10 miles			
		Not sure about that one, I'll see further into the questions		
56	visitor from less than		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required
	10 miles away,		who are members of the masterplan working	
	retired		group, to improve safety and convenience of	
			travelling into and around the town centre for all	
			users. The boundary of the masterplan is set by	
<b>F7</b>		of the town centre's strongest selling points.	the policy in Vision 2031	Ne shara na maina d
57	resident, retired			No change required
			not specifically mentioned in the draft	
			masterplan, it is because no issues within the	
			remit of the masterplan have been identified.	
		As the town's undoubted focal point for tourists and visitors, it seems a significant oversight that	Character Area 9 includes priorities to preserve	
		the Abbey Gardens does not form a distinct Character Area in itself, but instead straddles the	and enhance the character and appearance of	
			the town centre and to improve information	
		Abbey of St Edmund Heritage Partnership (of which the Borough Council is an active member) to	about the town centres heritage and areas of	
		enhance the management, access and understanding of the whole abbey site, the MAP would	interest for	
		seem to provide an ideal opportunity to emphasize both its unique character and its potential	visitors and residents alike, which includes the	
		significance to the town.	Abbey Gardens	
L				

58	resident, worker		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
00		The Station Hill area should be included in section 2. West Suffolk College/ University of Suffolk/	policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	- ·
		Abbeygate 6th form and King Edwards School should be included in area 3 or a new separate	this area. However we recognise the importance	
		area as this area will develop very quickly I wonder if Moreton Hall should have been included in	of the station and this is included within	
		one of the areas as will see a huge amount of growth in the next few years	Character Area 2.	
59 60	resident, retired	Please note that my 'yes' to Q5 above is a qualified 'yes'	Thank you for your response.	No change required
60	worker		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	Amend aspiration to o
				redeveloping the bus
		The bus station must remain - otherwise how are non-driving visitors going to access the town		positive aspiration to
		centre? Have a desirable arrival point is just as important as a desirable town centre	meet the needs of the town centre.	meet the needs of the
61	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	<b>a</b> .
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
1			of the station and this is included within	
1			Character Area 2. Borough Council colleagues	
		The train station and pedestrianised/cycle access to this important resource of public transport	are working with Network Rail and train service	
		should have been included. The paucity of car parking at the station is appalling. Surrounding	providers to seek to address the issue of parking	
1		land should be procured under a CPA so that the station has the necessary amenities to offer its	at the rail station.	
62	profer pet to equ	passengers. Although we could debate specific inclusion criteria (i.e. where Well Street and immediate	Agreed The structure, map and Character	No obongo roquirod
02	prefer not to say	environs fit) we feel that there are inconsistencies in some of the overviews. For example,	Agreed. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to	No change required
		'characterful properties' are to be found in more areas than just Churchgate (4). Clearly the mix of	identify the areas of the town centre the	
			aspirations relate to.	
		but so do some of the early Victorian developments including Well Street, Orchard Street, St.		
		Johns Street, Cannon Street, Garland Street and Northgate Street – all within the Northern		
<u> </u>		Gateway.		
63	resident, worker		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031. Surrounding areas will be	
		The Minefields	fully taken into account when taking projects	
64	resident, retired	The Vinefields. More 20mph or slower.zones.	forward. Thank you.	No change required
65	resident, retired		Agreed. The structure, map and Character	No change required
			Areas are for reference only to enable people to	
			identify the areas of the town centre the	
		Churchgate is not the only area with characterful properties	aspirations relate to.	
66	other		Agreed.	No change required
		The development of the MAP is welcomed as a logical way to approach growth and change in the		
		area. It has been developed to help achieve a particular vision for 2031 for Bury St Edmunds - it		
		may be that that was summarised in the excellent draft paper but I didn't spot it and would have		
1		found it helpful to consider alongside the MAP. Generally, whilst the approach is to be applauded,		
1		could we be more proactive with regards to the considerable assets that we have in the town.		
1		For example, we note that we have 'one of the best retail, culture and leisure centres in the region.		
1		We say 'our ambition is for our town to continue to be vibrant and prosperous' which we would agree but perhaps should have some key proposals for the future of say, the cultural		
1		facilities which are spread out across the character areas. The position of Bury in the centre of		
1		East Anglia and the ready accessibility of the town from the A14 provide opportunity to develop		
1		more of these strengths which will bring people to Bury and support the local economy.		
Į.		inere et alece et englie milet mil ening people to bury and support the local coolidity.		
67	other		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
67	other	Not much to the Abbeygate end apart from drawing footfall away (as already mentioned)	Thank you for your response. Please see response to your previous comment.	No change required
67 68	other worker, visitor from	Not much to the Abbeygate end apart from drawing footfall away (as already mentioned)		No change required
		Not much to the Abbeygate end apart from drawing footfall away (as already mentioned)	response to your previous comment.	

ge required	
ge required	
spiration to delete reference to	
ping the bus station and replace with a	
aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
needs of the town. ge required	
ge required	
ge required	
to required	
je required je required	
ge required	
je required	
ge required	
	1

Bits         mailtoni, student         The Automation Section	,
70         wider from loss haw, twicker form loss wave, critical         Lake it alone. You're duing too much too soon. Toilets in the Buttermarket with tourist information. The two contine, the town contre will sell bury. SEcturnate and the surrounding areas. Even in we do nothing, the town contre will sell dange in negronic inniver forces to use will dange in negronic inniver forces and developers to beak copatrunities to use will developers to accurate the well beak copatrunities to use will developers to accurate the surround beak optic and the surround beak optic the local users and the resident people.         No change required developers to accurate many and Chanceter Areas are for the local users and the resident people.         No change required developers to accurate many and Chanceter Areas are for the local users and the resident people.         No change required developers to accurate many and Chanceter Areas are for the local users and the resident people.         No change required to developers to active the method of the local users and the resident people.         No change required and accurate many and Chanceter Areas are for the local users and the resident people.         No change required and accurate many and Chanceter Areas are to accurate and the town centre appears to have been developed to accurate the local users and the resident people.         No change required           72         resident, resident, worker         Term material town centre appears to have been developed to accurate the local users and the resident people.         No change required accurate the town centre appears to have been to ac	
In miles away, relición     contor     Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding arcs, Even II we do norhing, lie forow nerve will all have no infinite, lie down one will will have no infinite the boruge will have no infinite the boruge milatives infinite minite the summation minite the summatis and the summation m	
retired         retired <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Result         Image in response to market forces but we will have no infuence over this. Will be decoupled within the non-centre, we will be decoupled of the non-term, we will be decoupled provision of events. The second provision of publicly accessible folders, as set out           71         readent         Town centre appears to have been divided into certain areas as viewed for their potential by developers to sake apportunities. Our pion should primarily address the needs and words of the tocal sects and the residem poople.         No change required           72         readent, folder di mproves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         The masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approvement of producting key statements.         No change required           73         Vielar from less than 10 miles away.         Total priority for the podestrians to readent, worker         No change required         No change required           74         readent, worker         Total priority for the podestrians to readent works of the masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approach the masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approach of the masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approach of the masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approach of the masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approach of the masterpian seeks to balance the needs of approach of the support of the low centre if you Podestrians if more.         No change required           74         readent, worker         Total priority for the podestrians if more.         N	
Image: Second	
Image: Second	
Resident, retired         Town centre appears to have been divided into certain areas as viewed for their potential by divelopers to seek opportunities to improve provision of publicly accessible tollets, as set out in Character Area 9.         No change required           71         resident, retired         Town centre appears to have been divided into certain areas as viewed for their potential by divelopers to seek opportunities. Our plan should primarily address the needs and waint of the tox centre the sagination relate to the coll users and the resident people.         No change required           72         resident, retired         It romains to be soon if the row roundabuut al Buts Corner proves to be safer to use and improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         No change required           73         vision from less than the own centre in explanation of Buttermarket and to mission in the town centre.         No change required         No change required           74         resident, worker         You will ruit the town centre. The appex is destroying the base soft in the own centre. The apper equired         No change required           75         visitor from less than to will apper equired and cost.         Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and very interesting print of the dot own as should be served and used.         No change required         No change required           76         visitor from less two         Vusit from less two         No change required         No change required           77         resident, retired         It wisitor mow isst	
Image: Section of the sectin of the section of the section	
Image: Section of the sectin of the section of the section	
Image: Section of publicly according to provide	
Image: second	
In Character Area 9.         In Character Area 9.           71         resident         Town centre appears to have been divided primarily address the needs and wands of the local users and the resident poople.         No change required           72         resident, retired         It remains to be seen if the new roundabout at Butts Corner proves to be safer to use and more set to use and to make to use and the town centre. There are a significants to increase priority for pedestrians         No change required           73         Visitor from less than 10 mises away         Total priority for the pedestrians in the town centre.         Total priority for the pedestrians         No change required           74         resident, worker         Total priority for the pedestrians it more.         Disagree. Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation.         No change required           75         Visitor from less than 10 miles away.         Leave the aro out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a more consultation.         No change required           76         prefer not to say         voi what new topping centres we built, aaay acces is provided by allocating space for public voir working within the saw and provide additional provide store and provide additional provide store and provide additional provide store and provide additionted voire provider to voir store and provide addition and provide	
Town centre appears to have been divided into certain areas as viewed for their potential by developers looking for opportunities. Our plan should primarily address the needs and wants of the local users and the resident people.         The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the assirations relate to.         No change required           72         resident, retired improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         To date, this improvement is working well.         No change required           73         visitor from loss than 10 miles away.         Total priority for the pedestrianis         No change required           74         resident, worker You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise in more.         To date, this being ignored. It is a profess consultation.         No change required           76         wisfor from less than 10 miles away.         Leave the ero out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a resident, worker         Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a lationic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced particle.         No change required           76         wisfor from less than 10 miles away.         Leave the ero out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a resident, worker         Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a lationic town cans and bused of not ward.>         No change required           77         resident, worker         You will ruin the town centre is	
developers looking for opportunities. Our plan should primarily address the needs and wants of the local users and the resident people.         reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town contre the aspirations relate to.           72         resident, retired         It remains to be seen if the new roundabout at Butts Corner proves to be safer to use and improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         To date, this improvement is working well.         No change required           73         Visitor from less than 10 miles away         To tal priority for the pedestrian         The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of aspirations to increase priority for pedestrianis toroughout the masterplan, including texp areas of pedestrianisation of Buttermarka and You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.         No change required           74         resident, worker You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.         Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarka and You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.         No change required           76         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.         Agrood. The masterplan is working will in the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any very interesting print of the old town and should by allocating space for public transport hubs and care parks nearly. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->         Agroed. The maste	
developers looking for opportunities. Our plan should primarily address the needs and wants of the local users and the resident people.         reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town contre the aspirations relate to.           72         resident, retired         It romains to be seen if the new roundabout at Butts Corner proves to be safer to use and improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         To date, this improvement is working well.         No change required           73         Visitor from less than 10 miles away         Total priority for the pedestrian         The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of aspirations to horease priority for pedestrianis to nocapout the masterplan, including key areas of pedestrianisation of Buttermarka and No change required         No change required           74         resident, worker         You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.         Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarka and Cornmiti was strongly supported in the Issues and Optione consultation.         No change required           76         visitor from less than 10 miles away. retired         Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a resolution there is a need to both reglace any every interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.         No change required         No change required           77         retired         very interesting print of the old town ans should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9         No chang	
Image: set of the local users and the resident people.         areas of the town centre the aspirations relate           72         resident, retired         It remains to be seen if the new roundabout at Butts Corner proves to be safer to use and improvement is working well.         No change required           73         visitor from less than 10 miles away         Total priority for the pedestrianisation or path exects in balance the needs of aspirations to increase priority for padestrianisation.         No change required           74         resident, worker         Total priority for the pedestrianise it more.         Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Combult was strongly supported in the issues and Options consultation.         No change required           75         visitor from less than 10 miles away. Total priority of the old town ans should be served and used.         The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is and Option consultation.         No change required           76         prefer not to say	
Lo.         Lo.           72         resident, retired improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         To date, this improvement is working well.         No change required           73         Visitor from less than 10 miles away         Total priority for the pedestrians         The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of aspirations to increase priority for pedestrians of pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation.         No change required           74         resident, worker         Total priority for the pedestrians         Disagree. Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation.         No change required           75         visitor from less than 10 miles away. retired         Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a retired         The ara already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?         No change required           76         prefer not to say retired         very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.         Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a needs under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9         No change required           77         resident, worker very interesting print of the old tow and should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations         The arc already exists. Is and yead. The arc already exists. Is and yead. The arc already exists.         No change required           78         resident, worker very interesting print of the old	
Improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         Improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         Improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.           73         visitor from less than 10 miles away         The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all users of the town centre. There are expiritable to increase priority for pedestrianisation.         No change required           74         resident, worker         Total priority for the pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Corrbit was strongly supported in the Issues and Options consultation.         No change required           75         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         No change required         No change required           76         prefer not to say         No change required         No change required           76         prefer not to say         No change required         No change required           77         resident, worker         No change required         No change required           78         prefer not to say         No change required         No change required           77         resident, worker         No change required         No change required           77         resident, worker         No change required         No change required           78         prefer not to say         No change required         No change required           77         resident, worker	
Improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         Improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.         Improves the flow of traffic to and from the town centre.           73         visitor from less than 10 miles away         The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all users of the town centre. There are expiritations to increase priority for pedestrianisation.         No change required           74         resident, worker         Total priority for the pedestrianise it more.         Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Cornhill was strongly supported in the Issues and Options consultation.         No change required           75         visitor from less than 10 miles away         Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a retired         The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?         No change required           76         prefer not to say         -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and bussed did not exist ->         No change required         No change required           78         resident, worker         Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations         No change required         No change required           77         resident, retired         Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St	
73       visitor from less than 10 miles away       Total priority for the pedestrian       The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all users of the town centre. There are aspirations to increase priority for pedestrianisation.       No change required         74       resident, worker       Total priority for the pedestrianise it more.       Disagree. Pedestrianisation of patt pedestrianisation.       No change required         75       visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired       Leave the are out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.       The are already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?       No change required         76       prefer not to say       -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed very centrules when cars and bueses did not exits ->       No change required         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       No change required       No change required         78       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       No change required<	
10 miles away       all users of the town centre. There are aspirations to increase protify top predestrians a increase protify top predestrians including key areas of p dedstrianisation.       Image: additional protection of part predestrianisation.         74       resident, worker       You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.       Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Options consultation.       No change required         75       visitor from less than 10 miles away, retrieved       Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a real ready exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?       No change required         76       prefer not to say       -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->       No change required       No change required         77       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as isgnificant locations       Agreed. The masterplan is working within the contransort Areas a 4       No change required         78       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as isgnificant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as isgnificant locations       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Yes in general but gr	
resident, worker       Total priority for the pedestrian       asprations to increase priority for pedestrianisation.         74       resident, worker       Total priority for the pedestrianise it more.       Disagree. Pedestrianisation or Buttermarket and Options consultation.       No change required         75       visitor from less than 10 miles away, retrired       Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?       No change required         76       prefer not to say       -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed and and concents during. This is addressed under Character Area significant locations       No change required         778       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate St rest are significant locations       No change required         78       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       The Barcudw with Suffolk County Counce and the town centre is a need to ident the town centre is a need to ident the town centre is a need to ident the town centre ender to be settled before moving this plan forward.       No change required         778       resident, retired       Points of interest.       Points of interest.       No change required </td <td></td>	
Image: section of performance in the section of performance in th	
Image: second	
Total priority for the pedestrian         Total priority for the pedestrian           74         resident, worker         Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Cornhill was strongly supported in the Issues and Options consultation.         No change required           75         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a retired         The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?         No change required           76         prefer not to say	
74     resident, worker     Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Buttermarket and Comhill was strongly supported in the Issues and Options consultation.     No change required       75     visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired     Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.     The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?     No change required       76     prefer not to say     -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->     No change required       77     resident, retired     Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations     No change required       78     resident, retired     Bus routes through the town centre need to be settled before moving this plan forward.     The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre     No change required       79     visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say     Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and acound the town centre the aspirations relate and discloured within weeks.     No change required area of the town centre	
2       You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.       Comfull was strongly supported in the Issues and Options consultation.         75       visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired       Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a redired wetry interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.       The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?       No change required         76       prefer not to say       -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->       No change required         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locator and are covered under Character Area 4.       No change required         78       resident, retired       Eus routes through the town centre need to be settled before moving this plan forward.       The Borough will work with Suffolk County count of and around the town centre       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Points of interest.       Points of interest.       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked       Although outs	
You will ruin the town centre if you Pedestrianise it more.     and Options consultation.       75     visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired     Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.     The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?     No change required       76     prefer not to say     -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->     No change required       77     resident, worker     Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations     Angel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locations and are covered under Character Area 4.     No change required       78     resident, retired     The Brough will work with Suffolk County prefer not to say     No change required       79     visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say     Points of interest.     No change required       80     resident, other     Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discolured within weeks.     Athough outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.     No change required area where high quality housing is sought.	
75       visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired       Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.       The arc already exists. Is there any evidence that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?       No change required         76       prefer not to say       -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->       Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9       No change required         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       No change required         78       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       The Borough will work with Sulfolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Points of interest.       The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas with the town centre       No cha	
10 miles away, retired       Leave the arc out of it. The Apex is destroying the Theatre Royal, which is being ignored. It is a very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.       that The Apex is impacting upon the Theatre Royal?         76       prefer not to say       -> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->       Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character       No change required         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locations and are covered under Character Area 4.       No change required         78       resident, retired       Bus routes through the town centre need to be settled before moving this plan forward.       The Brough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenince of getting in to, out of and around the town centre the aspirations relate to.       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Points of interest.       No change required       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacka and disc	
retired       very interesting print of the old town ans should be served and used.       Royal?         76       prefer not to say       Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9       No change required         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       No change required       No change required         78       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       No change required       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an low contrel within iterest.	
76       prefer not to say       Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 4.       No change required         77       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate Stras significant locations       Agreed. The masterplan is working within the constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 4.       No change required         77       resident, retired       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       No change required         78       resident, retired       The Borough will work with Sulfolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre need to be settled before moving this plan forward.       The Bructure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       No change required       No change required	
and       and       constraints of a historic town centre. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locations and are covered under Character Area 4.       No change required         78       resident, retired       The Borough will work with Suffolk County Councel and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre       No change required         79       visitor from more points of interest.       The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre to able people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an not change required	
Image: Section of the secon of the secon of the section of the section of the se	
-> What now shopping centres we built, easy access is provided by allocating space for public transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->       displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9         77       resident, worker       Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locations       Angel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locations and are covered under Character Area 4.       No change required         78       resident, retired       Sus routes through the town centre need to be settled before moving this plan forward.       The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Points of interest.       The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked area of the high quality housing is sought.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.	
transport hubs and car parks nearby. This cannot be done in Bury because its layout developed over centuries when cars and buses did not exist ->parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 977resident, workerYes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locationsAngel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locations and are covered under Character Area 4.No change required78resident, retiredThe Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centreNo change required79visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to sayPoints of interest.The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.No change required80resident, otherDevelopment around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.No change required	
Image: Constraint of the structure of the s	
77       resident, worker       Angel Hill and Abbeygate Street are significant locations and are covered under Character Area significant locations       No change required         78       resident, retired       The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Points of interest.       The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.       No change required	
Yes in general but greater emphasis should be placed on Angel Hill and Abbeygate St as significant locationslocations and are covered under Character Area 4.78resident, retiredThe Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centreNo change required79visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to sayNo change requiredNo change required80resident, otherDevelopment around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.No change required	
Image: significant locations4.78resident, retiredThe Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of Bus routes through the town centre need to be settled before moving this plan forward.The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre79visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to sayNo change required80resident, otherDevelopment around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.No change required	
78       resident, retired       The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre       No change required         79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       Visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       No change required       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.       No change required	
Image: Construct of the section of	
Image: Non-stateImage: Non-stateImage: Non-stateImage: Non-stateImage: Non-stateImage: Non-stateImage: Non-stateNon-state79Visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to sayVisitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to sayNon-state <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
Image: square	
79       visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say       The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.       No change required         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.       No change required	
than 10 miles away, prefer not to sayreference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.80resident, otherDevelopment around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.	
prefer not to say       prefer not to say       areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.       No change required	
Points of interest.       to.         80       resident, other       Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks.       Although outside the masterplan area, this is an area where high quality housing is sought.       No change required	
80 resident, other Development around railway NB - no more low-quality housing, please, with render that is nacked and discoloured within weeks. Although outside the masterplan area, this is an No change required area where high quality housing is sought.	
and discoloured within weeks. area where high quality housing is sought.	
81 resident, retired The boundary of the masterplan is set by the No change required	
policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
this area. However we recognise the importance	
of the station and this is included within	
Railway station at least should be included and preferably as far as Junction 43 on A14. Character Area 2.	

82	resident, vistor from			No change required
		Keep to the historic character, don't change it to make it modern. When I was young I could do all		
	away, retired	my shopping in the town centre. Now the place is full of eateries, coffee shops, charity shops and	set out in Character Area 9.	
		very little else. Everything else has gone out of town and I like a lot of others cannot get there.		
83	resident, student		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		Useful - but a bit too simplistic e.g. 2. Northern Gateway concentrates on the route from the station		
		to St John's/Andrews St. Northgate st and the large densely packed and attractive residential area		
		in the middle is ignores. Also there is no mention of the Brengovel/Looms Lane link down to Ram	within the remit of the masterplan have been	
		meadow or the development along it.	identified	

BSETC	CMP - Draft MAP	Q7 - Do you have any additional comments?	Total Representations: 119		
D S	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
r	esident		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required	
			any displaced parking and provide additional		
			parking. This is addressed under Character		
		a local independent shop and we constantly have people about the lack of parking availability as it	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
	a a i al a m t	is. Especially for the people who are not as able to walk long distances or even at all! Pedestrianising the town centre seems to me to be in conflict with the stated aim of 'Improving	We are working with Suffelly County Council	No shanna naminad	
ľ	esident	highway infrastructure to enable traffic to get in, out and around the town centre.' Ring roads are	We are working with Suffolk County Council, who are members of the masterplan working	No change required	
		already heavily congested, with very few options to get off the circuit, and no amount of	group, to improve safety and convenience of		
		'improvements' to roundabouts, lights controlled pedestrian crossings or cycle lanes is going to	travelling into and around the town centre for all		
		improve this.	users.		
r	prefer not to say	Sad that Improved pedestrian links to the arc and Cornhill is still in as this was soemthing that was		No change required	
r l			third party land interests. However, it should not		
		and its still in the planning.	restrict the aspiration.		
r	esident		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
		It is high time that Bury St.Edmunds received a "tidy up". You have made some brave proposals			
		and I feel that some of these will be met with a degree of hostility. There will be a certain amount			
		of upheaval, which is inevitable; however, in the long run, I think you have the best interests of			
		residents and tourists at heart. I am a Bury St.Edmunds resident, if that has any bearing.			
r	esident		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required	
			who are members of the masterplan working		
			group, to improve safety and convenience of		
		Whether we like it or not there will always be traffic petrol or electric so car parking and a good	travelling into and around the town centre for all		
		road system is very important. Traffic not moving is very bad for the environment.	users.	No. also as as we will all	
r	esident		Disagree. The masterplan provides a flexible	No change required	
			approach which can respond to range of possibilities. We do not yet know the future		
			proposals for the government offices, but we		
		The plan does not seem to address potential major changes in infrastructure or usage. For	need to be prepared should they become		
		example the disgraceful proposed closure of the government offices on St. Andrews street south.	available for re-use or redeveloppment.		
r	esident, worker		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required	
		Whilst everything should be done to encourage visitors to our lovely town as our economy	who are members of the masterplan working		
		depends upon it, please always bear in mind that this is a working town and not just a pretty place	group, to improve safety and convenience of		
		for others to visit. As a person who lives and works here I want to ensure that there is free flow of	travelling into and around the town centre for all		
		traffic around the town as like it or not, we do depend on our cars to go about our daily business.	users. We recognise there is a need to both		
		The LA has in the past had a tendancy to pretend that cars are null and void but this is not the	replace any displaced parking and provide		
		case. As always, there needs to be balance. There needs to be an increase in number of parking			
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
		not sure there is any need to stop the flow of traffic around centre of town (Buttermarket) as there			
		is already provision for this on a Wednesday and Saturday. Please can we have a sensible,			
	anidant national	balanced approach that is inclusive to the needs of everyone and not just the few.			
ľ	esident, retired	I am very concerned that the Council do not lose sight of the need for loads more car parking or a	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional	No change required	
		· · ·	parking. This is addressed under Character		
		people are going the short distance to Newmarket or the Park and Ride into Ipswich. Station hill	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Review options for Park		
		would have made an idea car park. The terrible and costly mistake in not giving the arc a proper	And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in		
		wide access from Cornhill as promised at the start of the discussions of the cattle market	Character Area 9.		
		development just shows how developers can ride roughshod over the incompetent council			
		officers. Please learn from your councils officers mistakes.			
r	esident, worker		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
		go off the deep end about it (like they did the arc) but stick to your guns!			1

10	visitor from less than 10 miles away	to live in the Chelmsford area and they have developed very successful park and ride schemes that are both popular with motorist and help traffic flow into and around the town. I confess a particular interest in these schemes having worked within ECC Passenger Transport during the time these schemes were developed and implemented. I have only lived a couple of years in BSE but it appears to me that such a scheme would be most useful for traffic coming from the South and West of the town with the parking area having easy access to Newmarket Road from which entry into to the Bus Station would be convenient. Since this plan is intended to take BSE through to 2031 and beyond, I am surprised that no	Review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in Character Area 9 Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan is designed to be a flexible framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different levels of growth and changing technologies.	
		housing, green spaces etc. Also as this service take-up increases then parked cars in many of the lesser ingress roads e.g. Queen's and King's Roads will diminish with the opportunity to offer up more road space for cyclists. Again, with the trend to buy 'mobility as a service' (rather than own) then the market for used car sales will whither and land freed up from these businesses. There are too many aspects to these developments to mention here, but certainly any planning department looking 14 years out will be blindsided by not taking this into account. (Scientific American July 2017 edition can offer some further info).		
12	worker, visitor from more than 10 miles away	Care should be taken to retain the intrinsic nature of the different areas of the town, allowing the requirements of any one particular pressure group or part of society to dominate the overall structure of the plan and its implementation would be a mistake.	Agreed.	No change required
13		Two comments. Wayfinding - I think one of the things identified in the MAP is the importance of signage. Whilst it seems a rather rudimentary point to reinforce, I do think this is key. Clear waymarking to connect the thinking of town planners (with respect to the character areas), to the minds of residents and particularly visitors, has to be one of the surest ways to see that the plan materialises in a very tangible way. Such that, the true heritage and diversity of the town centre as a whole is appreciated, enjoyed and maximised. Whatever efforts are put into the discrete areas identified, if waymarking is not effective, there will little or no perception of these efforts to the person on the street. This would seem to be a real missed opportunity, hence reinforcing its importance here in comment. Pedestrianisation - an observation here would be that, there is something attractive about being able to drive through the town centre per se but can still appreciate something of its story by driving through. And for local residents who do not feel that the centre is locked away in some way by it being overly pedestrianised. Of course, there has to be a compromise here somewhere. It's just hoped that this compromise will be sensitively worked out in the planning going forward.	Thank you for your comments. There is an aspiration to improve signage to key destinations in Character Area 9. A viability study will be carried out looking at the various options around pedestrianisation before any work is carried out.	No change required
14		We spoke with a Council representative at Sainsburys, who told us that everyone had been sent a leaflet about the proposed changes. We did not get one, and the first we heard of it was through an article in the Bury Free Press, announcing that they had received over 1000 responses. None of the top 10 responses mentioned the need for disabled access. After further discussion, the impression was that the organisations concerned with access had made a case for ease of	an information leaflet during the Issues and Options consultation. Unfortunately there were not the resources to leaflet surrounding areas. In Character Area 9 there is an aspiration to optimise access into and around the area for people with disabilities and mobility difficulties	No change required
15	resident	No	Thank you for your response.	No change required
16			There is an aspiration to review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character Area 9. This could include reviewing options for	

<b>—</b>	· · ·			
17	resident, worker			No change required
			St Johns Street must be in scale with the	
			buildings either side, but be sufficiently high to	
			allow commercial/delivery vehicle access. This	
		fall. Also consider banning through traffic and parking, apart from deliveries, in that street. Also	may be difficult to achieve. There is an	
		clear signposting to and from the train station directing passengers through st john street, with	aspiration in Character Area 9 to improve	
		widened pavements. redesign of street surfaces to direct people down st john st from cornhill.	signage to key locations across the town centre.	
		Also encourage shopowners to update and maintain their shop fronts, eg H SAMUEL/ERNEST	There is also an aspiration to improve	
			connectivity with St Johns Street from Cornhill in	
			Character Area 1.	
18	visitor from more		Organisations working with people with	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		additional needs have been involved in the	
	retired		development of the masterplan since the earliest	
			stages and will continue to be involved. There	
			are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and	
			maintain pavements and walkways using	
			sympathetic and appropriate materials and also	
			to optimise access into and around the area for	
			people with disabilities and mobility difficulties.	
			This could be achieved by addressing issues	
		person at risk of being thrown from their wheelchair, or having to resort to using the road. I would	such as dropped kerbs, reducing street 'clutter'	
			surfacing and access to shops and businesses	
		of the planning and when the final plans are drawn up and agreed, to ensure that appropriate		
		access is provided for.		
19	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		A repeat of my though fare to the arc comment.	response to previous comment.	
20	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
20			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
		There is so little disabled parking in the town centre and you are now going to remove that. Its no	other parking nearby.	
		good pointing us to the Arc car park etc because it is too far to wark norm there down to, say,	other parking hearby.	
		Marks and Spencers.		
21	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
	away		this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2. Borough Council colleagues	
			are working with Network Rail and train service	
			providers to seek to address the issue of parking	
			at the rail station.	
		Also there needs to be more than one train an hour, and the line should be electrified.		
22	visitor from less than			Amend aspiration to delete reference to
	10 miles away		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
	-		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	meet the needs of the town.
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
		•	No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre. Improved	
			access to Ram Meadow is the first aspiration in	
			Character Area 5. Improved links between the	
			arc and the historic core are a key element of	
			the masterplan.	
		smartened up?	וווס ווומסופוףומוו.	
		aniarchea ap:		1

23	visitor from less than		There is an aspiration to review options for Park	No change required
	10 miles away		And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character	
		I would have liked to have seen more clear thoughts on park and ride provision	Area 9.	
24	resident		Agreed. There are aspirations in Character Area	No change required
			9 to repair and maintain pavements and	
			walkways using sympathetic and appropriate	
			materials and also to optimise access into and	
			around the area for people with disabilities and	
			mobility difficulties. Blue badge parking is a key	
			requirement that will be considered when	
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
			that affect or influence parking provision	
		Make sure enough facilities for disabled as we have so many disabled in BSE		
25	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments. The	No change required
20			convenience of a limited number of car parking	
			spaces in the town centre come at a	
			considerable cost to the environment of the area	
			and the movement of pedestrians. However, the	
		I do not agree Cornmarket/Buttermarket should be pedestrianised any further. One of the big	loss of any on-street car parking will need to be	
			offset by alternative convenient car parking.	
		close to the shops if you want to and are prepared to pay for it. Nor do I see any real advantage in		
		moving the bus stop on St Andrew's Street further away from the shopping areas - it's well located		
		now in the middle between the old and new parts of the town centre. Please confirm what plans	within the remit of the masterplan have been	
		there are in respect of Northgate Street. It is a residential street and I would not like to see	identified.	
		shops/other developments appearing without further consultation with residents.		
26	resident	Tourist information at the bus station a big minus		No change required
27	resident			No change required
			Angel Hill Area is included in Character Area 4	
			which includes an aspiration to reinforce the	
			identity of Angel Hill as a multi-functional space	
		Overall I think the Master-planning exercise has been v well done and the resulting draft plan is	to continue as a car park and events space.	
		very promising. While I would , along with others I expect, have preferred the ambition to	Angel Hill has been identified as an important	
		pedestrianise areas of the town centre to have included Angel Hill- one of the most important civic		
		urban spaces in the UK. It is disappointing that this was not included in the plan.	east side of town.	
28	,	No		No change required
29	visitor from more		Agreed. There are aspirations in Character Area	No change required
	than 10 miles away		9 to repair and maintain pavements and	
			walkways using sympathetic and appropriate	
			materials and also to optimise access into and	
			around the area for people with disabilities and	
			mobility difficulties. Blue badge parking is a key	
			requirement that will be considered when	
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
			that affect or influence parking provision	
		Yes don't forget those who have difficulty walking!		
30	resident, worker		The rationale behind the aspirations are set out	No change required
			under the 'Why are we producing a MAP'	
		I'd like to see more detail around the rationale for the proposal before commenting further.	section.	
31	visitor from less than	is there any thoughts about a park and ride system. Parking in Bury is often a nightmare, and if	There is an aspiration to review options for Park	No change required
		disabled and elderly. Please ensure plenty of access for wheelchair users.	Area 9.	
32	resident, worker			No change required
		St Johns Street/Ipswich Street improve signage, encourage footfall, improve links to Cornhill, and		
		route to the railway station. This is stated as an aspiration and I would like to stress how important		
		signage to St John's street is for the independent shops are. Just this week 2 are closing down.		
		There are complaints about the A-boards at the top of St John's street but there is no other way for		
1		retailers to drive trade down the street. An attractive sign showcasing the different shops or at		
		least the fact that it is a shopping street would benefit retailers and customers alike.		
	l.		1	I

33	visitor from less than		Thank you for your comments. Consultees have	No change required
			been broadly representative of the population of	
		parking near to where people want to get to is essentia - I accept that this point applies particularly	Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding area. We	
		to older people, and/or those who are long-time locals and used to just driving in and parking.	recognise there is a need to both replace any	
		Most people in fact drive into Bury, few people use the bus (I know, because I do), and ditto the	displaced parking and provide additional	
		train (and of course the train station is 10-15 mins walk from the town centre). I therefore suspect	parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. in Character Area 5 there	
		Meadow is essential as the main car park on that (east) side of town, which is going soon to have	is a priority to maintain existing number and	
			potentially increase parking provision within any	
			redevelopment of Ram Meadow.	
		adequate alternative parking - definitely not so ! I suspect that local people want the town to		
		remain largely as it is, with a few tweaks here and there. People who volunteer as consultees may		
		not of course be particularly representative of the local population.		
34	resident		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required
			all town centre users and increase accessibility	
			and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists.	
		Car parking needs to be reduced. Cycling and walking actively encouraged.		
35	resident		There is an aspiration to review options for Park	No change required
			And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character	
			Area 9. We recognise there is a need to both	
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
			Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of key	
			areas of the town centre is included in Character	
			Area 1.	
36				No change required
37	resident			No change required
			shops, this is a matter of market demand.	
			However, a healthy town centre should be	
		Bury needs to maintain its independent shops, don't just focus on the Arc and its chain stores.	capable of attracting a wide range of shops and	
			other facilities.	
38	resident, retired		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area	
		More legitimate public toilets with less reliance on helpful shop keepers.	9	
39	resident, worker		Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of key	No change required
			areas of the town centre is included in Character	
			Area 1.	
40	resident, worker			No change required
			established by the adopted Local Plan. The	
		· · ·	purpose of the Town Centre Masterplan is to	
			prepare and protect the town centre from the	
			impact of that growth.	
	visitor from less than		The Borough will work with Suffolk County	No change required
41	visitor from less than			
41	10 miles away,		Council and public transport service providers to	
41	10 miles away, retired		Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	

42	resident	Improving traffic and therefore reducing pollution in ALL of Bury will mean a new way of thinking.	Thank you for your suggestions. The Borough	No change required
		The bus services need to be considerably improved. There should be more use of many mini	will work with Suffolk County Council and public	
		buses rather than the huge buses that are not suitable for small roads. Employers should	transport service providers to improve the	
		seriously consider offering bus passes at a convenient price to employees to encourage them to	convenience of getting in to, out of and around	
		use public transport to get into town. There should be a much more serious, convincing and	the town centre	
		widespread campaign to discourage people to use cars whenever possible. Lovely towns like		
		Bury St Edmunds (and not just the town centre) are suffocating with pollution, restricted spaces		
		which are taken up by unreasonably enormous cars (we are not in the USA) and are losing their		
10	and do not the stand	character.		Ne shara na maina d
43 44	resident, retired	Very helpful structure. Unifying but also recognises diversity.		No change required
44	resident			No change required
			for individual projects will be worked up as the	
			projects progress. Funding will come from a	
			range of sources including private investment	
			as well as funding by public bodies	
		It all looks very nice, how much is it going to cost ??		
45	resident, worker			No change required
		The Place aspect of the MAP does not consider the opportunity to enforce low traffic speeds in the	Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of key	
		town. By adopting policies recommended by Government and RoSPA on traffic calming, it would	areas of the town centre is included in Character	
		be possible to address safety concerns affecting pedestrians and cyclists where cars currently	Area 1.	
		exceed 20mph. It would also address concerns about damage to property resulting from collisions		
		from unnecessarily large vehicles trying to use the centre of town. The ideal case would be to be		
		bold, like Chichester, and make significant areas vehicle-free for certain periods of every day.		
46	resident, retired		Parkway plays an important	No change required
10			role and function in movement terms,	
		The development of the town centre over time will increase traffic using Parkway. This will	bypassing the main town centre, provides	
		exacerbate problems for local users of the Kings Road - Parkway roundabout. I notice that there	parking facilities, and is an important and	
		are no proposals to address this issue. I appreciate this is a difficult problem to solve as the	historic route into the town centre. Character	
		roundabout is used for U turns for traffic using the car parks and for traffic going to Robert Bob	Area 6 includes as aspiration to Improve traffic	
		Way. Replacing the roundabout with traffic lights is clearly not possible, but traffic light's	movement in the Parkway area including	
		controlling access to the roundabout from Parkway would help local resident's and traffic coming	prioritisation of pedestrian and cycle routes.	
		up Kings Road from the town direction.		
47	resident, retired			No change required
			light pollution. The number of shops will be	
			determined by market demand, but we must be	
			ready to respond appropriately to that demand.	
		1) Lighting should be down pointing (light pollution) 2) Do we really need more shops? 3) I do not	Carefully designed paving can avoid future costs	
		drive into town but do not think the cars parked in area 1 are troublesome. Waste of resources to	associated with maintenance and repair. The	
		"unify the areas with carefully designed paving". What happen to the promised wide thoroughfare	use of lower case for a proper noun is the choice	
		to join The Arc (I refuse to accept that a proper noun (or Name) should have lowercase initial	of the respective landowner and the council	
		letters "the arc" is an abomination. It is not too late to put this right. Accept the error, instate	cannot insist that a third party correct their	
		capitals and move on) to the Buttermarket.	grammar.	
48	visitor from less than		5	No change required
	10 miles away		policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
	10 miles away		this area. However we recognise the importance	
		The volume station and "Otation 1000 are seen already idea (fig. 1) at the Part of the Par	of the station and this is included within	
		The railway station and "Station Hill", an area clearly identified under the heading understanding	Character Area 2. Station Hill is subject to its	
		the structure of the town centre.	own adopted masterplan.	
49	other	The masterplan is very disappointing given the national importance of the heritage assets of Bury	Thank you for your response.	No change required
		St Edmunds.		
50	prefer not to say		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
		The Bury Society and CAA Open Meeting on 26 July generally agreed the character areas that	reference only to enable people to identify the	
		have been identified once people had talked them through. But several people commented that a	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		fuller and clearer description of each individual character area is needed.	to.	
51	resident, worker	No - it all looks very good	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
	-		· · · · ·	· · ·

52	visitor from more		The consultations were publicised through local	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		press including the East Anglian Daily Times,	
	retired		social media and via the Borough's and partners'	
			websites. In total, 20 public consultation events	
			were held over the two consultation periods,	
			including at the main supermarkets, library,	
			markets and leisure centre. We are sorry you	
		circumstances how would I know that this consultation is actually going on? I discover a magazine		
		called What's On West Suffolk which is called and "essential guide" so how am I meant to get this		
			aware of it and participated.	
		It strikes me that the consultation so far has been with those that are easy to contact only.		
	resident	no		No change required
				No change required
	visitor from more			No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Review options for Park	
		shouldn't have to carry your shopping for a half mile, least of all in the rain, because it's impossible		
56		to park. Maybe you should create a Park and Ride space to alleviate this. No	Character Area 9.	No change required
		NO	Thank you for your response.	No change required
	than 10 miles away, retired			
	resident		Thank you. The boundary of the masterplan is	No change required
57	resident		set by the policy in Vision 2031. Surrounding	No change required
		There clearly have to be areas identified and this is difficult. However, our part of the lower end of		
			projects forward.	
58				No change required
58 59	resident			No change required
55			responses to relevant comments.	no change required
60	resident	Thave expanded on public transport, shopping, shalle services under the various sections		No change required
00	resident		policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2.	
61	resident, retired,			No change required
-	other		from the Issues and Options consultation. We	
			recognise there is a need to both replace any	
			displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
ľ			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Blue badge parking is a	
ľ			key requirement that will be considered when	
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
			that affect or influence parking provision.	
ľ		actually afford to have empty premises on their hands when shopkeepers fail and they will.	·····	
62	visitor from less than		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
	10 miles away		any displaced parking and provide additional	
	,		parking. This is addressed under Character	
l			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
63		Although not stricly speaking part of the plan, the Tayfen development should make recognise and		No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the Tayfen Stream is	
		has more water flow in it than the River Linnet. It has been channelled in concrete, but could be a		
			appreciated and will be relevant to the	
		pleasant feature, like the R Linnet near Flemyng Rd, with more water. I consider that zone as part	appreciated and will be relevant to the consideration of any development within this	

64	resident, worker	Extreme fear that the unique, organic, flowing busyness of the town centre will be wrecked and it will become yet another anonymous set of shops with pedestrianised cafes littering the place. Look back at old photos of Bury St Edmunds and see how it's character is gradually being destroyed by 'modern' development ideas. Soon there will be nothing left and there will be no 'character' to distinguish this amazing town from other retail centres.	This is the very reason for the masterplan, to ensure that what makes Bury St Edmunds special is protected.	No change required
65	resident		Parking is addressed in Character Areas 1,3,5,6,7 and across the whole town centre in 9. If it is felt tht residents' parking has been overlooked, it could be raised in response to any	No change required
66	resident, worker, retired	There is no real provision for comments on issues overlooked like residents' parking There is not enough thought been give to public transport and the fact that a lot of our visitors are	of these areas. The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre. Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
67	resident	elderly and have difficulty in walking.	provision We are working with Suffolk County Council, who are members of the masterplan working group, to improve safety and convenience of travelling into and around the town centre for all users.	No change required
68	resident	St Andrews Quarter. We need to keep the bus station and extend the facilities for visitors and locals. Perhaps the coaches could use this area instead of Angel Hill. The current bus station is not user friendly. No clear timetables and routes displayed. It would be useful to have 24 hr toilet facilities for early departures and late arrivals. More buses are a necessity and a good service would encourage people not to use their cars.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and positive aspiration to provide bus meet the needs of the town.
69	resident, worker	I will be writing a Word document and sending it to Amy and Polly. In addition, I will post it on Facebook. David	Thank you for your response.	No change required
70	resident, retired	You need eg Moreton hall residents to come into Bury to spend money and use facilities. Event in central Bury yesterday and today when no public transport running into town from MH. Very important transport provided linking critical facilities eg railway station, bus station, car parks, main shopping zones especially market. It would be brilliant if this could be eco friendly eg electric vehicle with sponsorship from local companies or businesses on loop. Suggest driven as continuous loop with vehicle arriving at least every 20 minutes.	The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre. This includes consideration of a 'shuttle bus' facility.	No change required
71	resident	An urgent improvement would be to stop 'boy racers' taking over the Buttermarket area.	Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of key areas of the town centre is included in Character Area 1.	
72	resident, retired	The paving in central walk needs redoing. Very uneven especially near Boots. We need public toilets in the town centre, not just tucked away behind the Arc.	There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and maintain pavements and walkways using sympathetic and appropriate materials and also to optimise access into and around the area for people with disabilities and mobility difficulties.	

ange required	
ange required	
ange required	
ange required	
d aspiration to delete reference to eloping the bus station and replace with a ve aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
the needs of the town.	
ange required	
ange required	
ange required	
ange required	
ange requireu	

73	resident		The consultations were publicised through local	No change required	
			press including the East Anglian Daily Times,		
			social media and via the Borough's and partners'		
			websites. In total, 20 public consultation events		
			were held over the two consultation periods,		
			including at the main supermarkets, library,		
			markets and leisure centre. We are sorry you		
			feel the consultation was not publicised		
		The number of public views is a very small percentage of the whole population I would like to get a			
		larger range of views as its the public who have to live with the plans you take forward to change	aware of it and participated.		
		the town.			
74	resident	See Q6	Thank you for your response.	No change required	
75	resident, worker,		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required	
	visitor from less than		response to previous comment.		
	10 miles away,				
	visitor from more				
	than 10 miles away,				
	retired, student,				
	other, prefer not to				
76		LEAVE WELL ALONE.	Chood humpo are just and mathed for solution	No change required	
76	resident			No change required	
			traffic speed. No explanation is offered as to why		
			no more are wanted.		
77	resident, worker	I believe that the Masterplan has missed an opportunity to contribute to the Town, the Council and	These important aspects will be fully considered	No change required	
		Counties ambitions to reduce greenhouse gases and encourage energy generation. In addition,	as projects progress.		
		although the river lark and the spaces connected are highlighted, the issue of groundwater and			
		abstraction is not mentioned. Thanks			
78	resident, worker		Costings for individual projects will be worked up	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations	
10			as the projects progress. Funding will come from		
		Is there a sustainable stream of funding to deliver these projects or are we just raising unfulfillable			
			as well as funding by public bodies. Character		
			Area 1 includes an aspiration to retain delivery		
		replaced?	access		
79	resident, worker		Movement outside the town centre is addressed	No change required	
			by the Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031 document.		
			We are working with Suffolk County Council,		
			who are members of the masterplan working		
			group, to improve safety and convenience of		
		Accessing the town for workers at rush hours is increasing difficult and with the additional housing			
			5		
00	needelent		users	No share required	
80	resident, worker		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	ivo change required	
			be considered when carrying out a viability study		
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an		
		I worry that there will be little parking in the centre of town for those people with little or very limited	aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and		
			other parking nearby.		
81	resident		Yes. The majority of local councillors live in Bury	No change required	
		Do any of the commissioning body live in Bury St.Edmunds?	St Edmunds.	····	
82	resident			No change required	
02	I COLOCIIL		consultation were residents of Bury St Edmunds.	na onange required	
			Consultation were residents of bury St Edinunds.		
		Listen to the local population.			
83	visitor from less than		The market will remain in its current location.	No change required	
	10 miles away,		There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to		
		res. Thease please do not get na or the market its part of bary ot. Earnands and choodrages	support the continued success of the market.		
		visitors onto town centre to shop.			
84	worker, visitor from		Agreed. All aspirations will be full assessed for	No change required	
			value and deliverability before being taken		
			forward.		
			L	L	

	· ·		L	
85	worker			No change required
		I think taxi and private hire vahiolog should be exempt from ALL propaged abaptage	account along with all other forms of transport.	
86	resident, retired	I think taxi and private hire vehicles should be exempt from ALL proposed changes	These you for your ouggestion. We are working	No change required
00	resident, retired	There has been very little consideration of the traffic flow into bury st edmunds. It is very well to re		no change required
		design these inner areas BUT for those people who have to enter BSE from east and north of	of the masterplan working group, to improve	
		•	safety and convenience of travelling into and	
		been permitted particularly off mildenhall road and barton road, which will greatly increase this	around the town centre for all users	
		problem. Traffic comes to a standstill most mornings, especially during school terms. From Gt		
		Barton there is a hold up in either eastgate st or at tesco roundabout. For mildenhall road traffic		
		the hold up begins at Tollgate roundabout, when it meets culford etc traffic, fornham road being		
		insufficient, especially with jams occurring at station arch and northgate st junctions. A new		
		route into BSE is needed, perhaps driven over land at rear of Shire Hall to link with traffic entering		
		from east of BSE, and a new multi storey car park will be required in a fairly central location, as		
		the public will not walk far. BSE still uses a road system to the town centre devised by the Abbots		
		centuries ago which has obviously proved less than adequate for C21		
87	resident			No change required
			organisations working with a variety of people	
			with additional needs, including dementia,	
			learning difficulties and mental health. Some of	
			the findings do not fall directly udner the masterplan's remit but will be picked up ander	
		I am concerned by the lack of overt attention paid to people with disabilities (not just of mobility)	other areas of work.	
88	resident		There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to	No change required
00	resident	Away from main channing areas reads and nothe are in near state woods growing in varges. This	repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
		Away from main shopping areas roads and paths are in poor state weeds growing in verges. This has been pointed out from friends from Maldon and Shrewsbury.	using sympathetic and appropriate materials	
89	resident, retired			No change required
03	resident, retired	In pursuance of MAP Objective 2, might some of the Character Area names reflect more closely	reference only to enable people to identify the	
		the historical development of the town (CA 4 be renamed 'The medieval grid', CA 5 'Eastgate' and	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		CA 6 'Risbygate')?	to.	
90	worker	no	Thank you for your response	No change required
91	resident, worker		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		Current car parking areas should be identified.	to.	
92	resident, worker		Thank you for your suggestions. Character Area	No change required
		As overall comment and in particular in respect of the buttermarket/arc area, I think it is really	1 contains an aspiration to improve surfacing	
		important to try and join the middle of the town centre with the arc more clearly and open up where		
		possible this area (it is a shame that the opportunity wasn't taken when what is now the Barclays bank building was empty to open up the space) Need to promote more outside seating areas	aspiration to provide more public seating in Character Area 9. There are aspirations to	
		outside cafes and pedestrian areas, return to more of the town square concept . need to improve	improve the St Andrews Street North area in	
		look of town from st Andrews street bus station into the town as it does not currently give a good	Character Area 3.	
		impression for those arriving to the town by bus/coach and from the train station		
93	resident, retired		Agreed. Abbeygate Street is one of the key	No change required
	,		shopping areas. The structure, map and	
		Character Area 1 on the map includes Abbeygate Street yet it is not referred to as one of the main	Character Areas are for reference only to enable	
		shopping areas. As a town centre resident I consider it to be as important as the Buttermarket and		
		Cornhill and more interesting than the Arc.	the aspirations relate to.	
94	resident		There are no plans to pedestrianise Abbeygate	No change required
			Street entirely as the crossings provide vital	
			north-south links. There are aspirations to limit	
			vehicle access to the Churchgate Character	
		I think a clear plan for traffic routes if pedestrianise abbeygate street as do not feel you can have	Area to discourage through traffic.	
		traffic crossing this		

95	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
		It needs something about how the areas right outside the boundaries (eg Station Hill) have	of the station and this is included within	
		implications to the town centre. I know they have their own plans but most people do not live in the	Character Area 2. Information about the adopted	
		town centre, we live outside that boundary but we work in, commute through, shop and entertain	masterplans for the Tayfen Road and Station Hill	
		ourselves in it. Maybe links to these other plans or a seperate discussion page to explain already	areas are available through our planning	
		planned developments.	department	
96	prefer not to say		Thank you for your suggestions. Character Area	No change required
		All developments should be sympathetic to the historic heart of Bury St. Edmunds, utilising	9 includes priorities to preserve and enhance the	
		appropriate building materials, design and in context with local environment. Continue to ensure	character and appearance of the town centre	
			and to improve information about the town	
		Bury remains an excellent environment for us to all live, work, shop and play. • Brownfield site	centres heritage and areas of interest for visitors	
		development should be encouraged, obviously for additional housing but odd parcels of land could	and residents alike. Funding will come from a	
		become open spaces – be realistic, don't just squeeze a house in because it is technically	range of sources including private investment	
		possible. • Encourage mixed generation housing, which includes more affordable and social	and developer s.106 contributions. We are	
		housing. Maximise the potential benefits of developer section 106 contributions and enforce	working with Suffolk County Council, who are	
		contractual obligations. • Continue with encouraging the development of redundant space above	members of the masterplan working group, to	
		shops for housing. • Unified approach to traffic management and not left to specific small scale		
		initiatives in each character area. This includes improved co-operation between the Borough	improve safety and convenience of travelling	
		parking wardens and the Police penalty enforcement officers. • Emphasis should be on the safe	into and around the town centre for all users.	
		movement of pedestrians and not always considering or prioritising vehicles and parking. Resident	The Borough have taken the first steps to	
		and on-street parking should have priority over visitor parking. This can be achieved in conjunction	decriminalise parking enforcement, which	
		with the introduction of smart park & ride schemes, clearer more attractive pedestrian routes into	currently sits with the Police. This is a two year	
		town centre (i.e. from railway station or car parks). • Because of its topography and narrow streets	process as it has to go through an Act of	
		Bury is an ideal environment to develop an innovative integrated approach to making the town	Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
		centre even more attractive, safer and less polluted. • Improve where possible the town centre	2019. Aspirations to seek opportunities to	
		environment by developing vibrant well designed streets, maximising the access and use of	increase publicly accessible toilets and to	
		existing open spaces with the addition of seating, toilets, trees/planting and ensuring that they are	include provision of additional tree planting,	
		safe and always maintained.	planters and displays in all schemes where	
97	resident, worker	What are the plans to ensure the protection of the Abbey Wall (a Grade 1 monument) and St	The status of the walls as a scheduled	No change required
•		James Court and the former St James Middle School site on the boarder of Character Area 8 ie	monument ensures their protection. Vinefields	
		Vinefields? What are the plans for the enhancement of The Vinefields as a whole, partly in	lies outside the designated masterplan	
		Character Area 5 and partly in Character Area 8?	boundary.	
98	resident, retired	Too much is being made of so called benefits from paving and planting more trees.		No change required
99	resident, retired		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required
00			who are members of the masterplan working	
			group, to improve safety and convenience of	
			travelling into and around the town centre for all	
			users. Pedestrianisation or part	
			pedestrianisation of key areas of the town centre	
			is included in Character Area 1 and improving	
			access for pedestrians and cyclists is included in	
		Traffic management needs to be looked at on a townwide basis and not allow improvements for	several Character Areas. Review options for	
		one area to just push the problems into the adjacent areas. Safe movement of pedestrians must	Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in	
		be a key priority and the introduction of an intelligent Park & Ride system would facilitate further	Character Area 9.	
		pedestrianisation.		
100	resident		Character Area 9 includes priorities to preserve	No change required
			and enhance the character and appearance of	
		Maintaining the heritage and character of the central area vital in considering any new	the town centre	
		developments. No More Cornhill Walks!!		

101	resident, worker,		Thank you for your positive comments and	No change required
	other		suggestions. We recognise there is a need to	
		The physical size of the town centre and the way it is configured make it a very practical and	both replace any displaced parking and provide	
		attractive place to visit to shop or see friends etc. Friends remark on the ability to walk from one	additional parking. This is addressed under	
		side to the other without the need for public transport (clearly some people cannot do this but the	Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough	
		compact nature of the layout is a positive bonus). Where else can you shop until you drop with	Council is working with the Bury St Edmunds	
		the selection of retail, chains and independent shops, a wide range of restaurants and cultural	Dementia Action Alliance towards a a dementia-	
			friendly town centre.	
		theme as part of our branding related to accessibility - but we do need to sort out parking. The		
		demographics show that we will have a significant rise of elderly residents in the near future -		
		perhaps we could become a 'dementia friendly' town and look at themes to develop services for		
		the elderly across the character areas - facilities designed for that group bring a change/rise in		
		facilities that will benefit all residents		
102	resident		Thank you for your response.	No change required
102	worker, visitor from	all my life and now find myself as a customer of the town as I live in a rural location outside the	Thank you for your comments. The masterplan	No change required
105	less than 10 miles	town. My first impressions of the draft masterplan is that it is based on a wish list of desired	seeks to balance the needs of users of all forms	ino change required
	away		of transport including motorists. We recognise the town serves a large area that requires	
		cyclists and lastly cars. Bury St Edmunds serves a large rural area and for many the only transport		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		be the priority of the plan. I accept that once parked everybody becomes a pedestrian, but the	The masterplan is designed to be a flexible	
			framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to	
		to the town centre, I know exactly the shop or bank I am going to and expect to park outside which		
			changing technologies. The masterplan does not	
			propose the removal of the bus station, but it	
			does mention opportunities for its	
		in 2031 compared to today? • What is the projected car parking requirement in 2031 compared	redevelopment. This has led to	
		with today, taking into account higher usage from the new residential developments, older	misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed	
		population and reduction in bus services? • What is the additional car parking requirement for	without comprehensive solutions for providing	
		tourism? • What is the estimated Retail requirement in 2031 taking into consideration that more	the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
		people will be shopping on-line? There is reference to on-line reports but not easy to find and	access to the town centre. We are working with	
			Suffolk County Council, who are members of the	
		Whilst I agree in principle to the structure of Bury St Edmunds being divided into bite sized chunks		
		to add specific focus from a planning perspective the contents of these areas are mainly cosmetic	and convenience of travelling into and around	
		with development ultimately dependent on its commercial worth. Within these there are some key	the town centre for all users.	
		points I would like to comment on: • Town centre residential development should be encouraged. •		
		The town centre retail area should be kept as compact as possible and not allowed to spread such		
		as suggested in St Andrew Street and Tayfen Road (this is prime residential area). • The promised		
		link between the Corn Hill and the Arc should be delivered as initially proposed as a priority. The		
		idea of retaining the existing alleys should not be entertained and St Andrews Street South should		
		be developed from a service road into retail. • Independent retailers and restaurateurs should be		
		encouraged against bland national branded operators, although they are likely to be in secondary		
		locations. • The town should have a proper serviced bus station; the proposal for on-street bus		
		parking is not an option unless it is being driven by the belief that there will be no country bus		
		services in 10-years' time. If the area is seen as a development opportunity surely the		
		replacement of the ugly ex-tax office in St Andrews Street would be a better solution. • The		
104	resident, retired	Please try & reduce traffic & parking within the town by providing PARK & RIDE essential for the	Review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle	No change required
		future.	provision is set out in Character Area 9.	
105	resident	Our plan should prioritize sustainability, social housing, curtailing domination of cars, protecting	The suggested priorities do not conflict with	No change required
100			providing certainty for anyone with an interest in	
		to provide certainty to developers and landowners regarding their particular ambitions.	the town centre.	
	1	1		II

106	visitor from less than 10 miles away,	You'll always have youre say & it more of a formality for you to send these forms to us the Public. And I'll guarantee you already decided.	Disagree. The draft masterplan has been developed from the Issues and Option	No change required	
	retired		consultation, to which we received nearly 6000 comments. For example, pedestrianisation was not included in the Issues and Options document, but came through strongly as an		
			aspiration the public wanted through the consultation. It has therefore been included in the draft masterplan.		
107	resident, student	Lot's of work to do here. RETAIN THE BUS STATION we need a well informed hub with an excellently managed & cost effective Bus Service/shuttle bus/Park & Ride.Crossing points, cycle lanes, make car park more efficient *under ground?	· ·	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
	other	Edmundsbury Borough Council recognises the delivery of aspirations and objectives can only be achieved by working with partners, including the County Council. However, it should be noted that many of the aspirations for the Town Centre fall within areas of the County Council's responsibility, in particular highways and transport. Many aspirations involve changes to the adopted highway, which will be challenging without sources of funding identified for delivery. The County Council is open to discussion with St Edmundsbury Borough Council as to their envisaged role of the County Council, and as to how the aspirations of the Masterplan can be delivered. <i>Archaeology</i> - The character areas identified are strongly shaped by historic evolution, but without background information about the heritage and underlying historic factors shaping character areas. A sentence in each on general historic evolution would be welcome. The 'bubbles' on the MAP are intended for flexibility, but maybe could be tighter to the historic geography; only part of the Abbey Gardens is in one, for example. <i>Highways and Transport</i> - The County Council supports the objectives of the Masterplan which aim to improve and encourage sustainable methods of transport into and around the town centre of Bury St Edmunds, making the town centre and the train station. As projects to deliver individual aspirations come forward they will need to be assessed to ensure they are suitable and achievable. Additionally, appropriate funding of many of the aspirations, which involve changes to the adopted highway, has yet to be identified. Suffolk Count is always open to discussions with St Edmundsbury Borough Council as to the eleivery of sustainable transport to come to the best solutions to the issues within Bury St Edmunds and visitors: • improved opportunities for walking and cycling into and around the town centre, • pedestrianisation/part pedestrianisation of areas of the town centre, • pedestrianisation of areas of the town centre, • better and more coheren	Thank you for your response and support. The Borough Council fully intends to continue to work closely with our SCC colleagues to make the aspirations a reality. The structure, map and Character Areas are for reference only to enable people to identify the areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to. We welcome the opportunity to work closely with our SCC colleagues on environmental matters.		SCC questionnaire response. Received by email
109	resident, retired	People do enter the town by driving up Southgate Street and main water which could be made	Thank you. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. Surrounding areas will be fully taken into account when taking	No change required	
		more welcoming.	projects forward.	1	

110	vistor from less than		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required	
110	10 miles away		who are members of the masterplan working	No change required	
	TO TIMES away		group, to improve safety and convenience of		
			travelling into and around the town centre for all		
			users. Pedestrianisation or part		
		Cars are coming from every angle and direction	pedestrianisation of key areas of the town centre is included in Character Area 1.		
111	vistor from less than			No change required	
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways		
	10 miles away,	If you nodestrianies the combill and Buttermarket it will need to be given a much strenger base, or			
	retired	If you pedestrianise the cornhill and Buttermarket it will need to be given a much stronger base, or it will sink and leave unsafe areas which means more casualties. I have fallen myself on uneven			
			We hope you have recovered fully from your		
440		paving I broke my arm, I don't want to do that again.	injury.	No shanna naminad	
112	Prefer not to say			No change required	
			from the Issues and Options consultation. We		
			recognise there is a need to both replace any		
			displaced parking and provide additional		
		The planners have tried to produce a "modernized" pedestrians only area to the detriment of can	parking. This is addressed under Character		
		and bus users. Who will have to walk much, much longer distances. As a result people will be less	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
		willing to visit the town centre, shops, trade will decline, with many ceasing to trade.			
113	resident, retired		Any revisions to bus routes will have to be	No change required	
			agreed with the relevant bus operators. The		
		The bus route deduced from the text with make Risbygate and St Andrews St South into one long	masterplan is considering the principle of		
		queue (one way?).	possible changes.		
	visitor from more	No	Thank you for your response.	No change required	
	than 10 miles away,				
	prefer not to say				
115	resident, retired			No change required	
			document clear and understandable. As a		
			planning document certain terminology is		
		Will "ordinary" folk understand all the planners' jargon? e.g. Objective 1 in "issues and Options":	required and we apologise if this has made it		
		"Capitalising on Bury St Edmunds' status as the Sub-regional centre or west (sic Suffolk)"	less clear.		
116	resident, visitor from			No change required	
	more than 10 miles	People without cars, mostly the elderly or young mothers do not have transport to get the out of	masterplan deals only with the town centre.		
	away, retired	town shops and most of them are spread all over the place.			
117	resident, student		The masterplan does not propose the removal of		
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.	
			No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
			meet the needs of the town centre. We		
			recognise there is a need to both replace any		
		If the town is to work efficiently, it relies on traffic flows, additional parking excellent bus services	displaced parking and provide additional		
		and good signage. The "edges" of the town are essential to keep the whole running. *A HUB for	parking. This is addressed under Character		
		the Buses is ESSENTIAL with a good information centre and facilities etc.	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and		
118	Prefer not to say			No change required	
			engaged with during the consultation and was		
			available in different pages on the website,		
		It is hoped that the adopted version of the Masterplan can be presented in a different format to the			
			We are sorry you did not find it user friendly.		
		draft has proved inconvenient to read.			
	other	We feel that the areas as described do accurately reflect the various areas of the Town.	Thank you.	No change required	
119					

	TCMP - Draft MAP erplan consultation responses	Q9 - Character Area 1 - Cornhill, Buttermarket and arc - Do the aspirations proposed for this area ddress the issues - Do you have any other comments?	Total Representations: 227		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from less than 10 miles away	to the bank, quickly. At the moment I can park very close, and without this I imagine there would be a long walk! (2) I can't see the need for lots of extra sitting places. When I visit the town centre, people are walking around, between the shops, I see very few people sitting down - the cafes should be able to provide enough space for this when people are visiting them. (3) A better connection between Cornhill/Buttermarket and The Arc is very important - this could be achieved by demolishing Boots (which is a more modern and incongruous building given the surroundings) and creating a lovely wide pedestrian area linking the two.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.Additional seating was strongly supported through the Issues and Options consultation.	No change required	
2	resident, worker	Most of what I read I agreed with, but I do not believe St Andrews Street South needs to be pedestrianised. Instead this should be designated a shared space where pedestrians and buses have equal rights. I have no problems crossing that road and feel improvements in recent years have really helped. Removing the buses will make life a lot harder for those visiting the historic centre to get a bus. I don't think we should be extending the arc until all retail spaces in the town are filled.	If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then alternative locations for bus stops will be sought that are equally convenient prior to closure.	No change required	
3	retired	I agree with most of the proposals. But I would not like to see more decorative paving in the Town Centre. It does not last long before it becomes unsafe for pedestrians. I would much prefer tarmac. It could be done in different colours. The most dangerous area at the moment is St Andrews Street South, where the curved bits of path were put in. So many people trip up on them and the buses have to run over them to get parked along the kerb. Please get rid of them.	There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and maintain pavements and walkways using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	No change required	
4	resident, worker		There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and maintain pavements and walkways using sympathetic and appropriate materials and also to optimise access into and around the area for people with disabilities and mobility difficulties. This could be achieved by addressing issues such as dropped kerbs, reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and access to shops and businesses. any tree planting will be carried out senstively and will not impede mobility.		
5	resident	Pedestrianising Cornhill/Buttermarket and taking buses out of St Andrews Street South is in	Disagree. Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision.Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby. If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then alternative locations for bus stops will be sought that are equally convenient prior to closure.	No change required	

6	prefer not to say		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
		no reason why people shouldn't park in the town on non market days, niot everyone can walk far	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
		carrying their shopping, its still failing to provide a propper link between the town and the arc. you	provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
		could accommodate additional retail by using the old cornhill shoping centre and getting rid of	aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby.	
		bland buildings		
7	resident, student			No change required
8	working, visitor from		<b>o i o</b>	No change required
	less than 10 miles		popular streets in the town centre. Other	
	away		successful examples of pedestrianisation in a	
			historic centre include York and Norwich. There	
		town centre will kill the town and drive visitors out to retail parks. Small businesses, the lifeblood of	was strong support for pedestrianisation from	
		Bury; will not survive. Look at Ipswich, Stowmarket and wider afield as local examples. This will	the Issues and Options consultation.	
		be the deathknell of the town centre. Let Bury be different and succeed as it has for centuries		
9	resident		The market will remain in its current location.	No change required
			There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to	
			support the continued success of the	
			market. There is no mention of specific types of	
		The market MUST be the key feature to this area of the town, we are a market town don't lose that	business within the draft masterplan.	
4.0		identity. The draft looks to be more focused on the eateries and mass market retail.	<b>T</b>	
10	resident			No change required
			improve connectivity with St Johns Street from	
		just outside the old Corn Exchange. Bury has always been a town of small coffee shops and it's a		
		shame there is not more scope for pavement dining which could reduce the temptation for folks to	environmental enhancements.	
		'hang about'.		
11	resident, worker		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		I'm not sure that there are too many issues in the first place - see previous comment	response to previous comment.	
12	resident, retired			No change required
			users of all forms of transport including	
			motorists. We recognise the town serves a large	
			area that requires access by car. Provision for	
			car users is mentioned in Character Areas	
			1,3,5,6 and 9. The masterplan is designed to be	
			a flexible framework rather than a rigid blueprint,	
			able to respond to different levels of growth and	
			changing technologies.	
		No concrete proposals for vehicular parking and access, these must be sorted FIRST.		
13			Thank you.	No change required
14	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away		developer and is in the process of being	
	-		transformed.	
15		Yes, making the town centre car free is an excellent idea and will massively help businesses and		No change required
		improve its looks.		
16		Finally pedestrianisation! We have been saying this for ages, pleased you're listening.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
17	resident			No change required
			from the Issues and Options consultation. We	
			recognise there is a need to both replace any	
			displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
		the arc should be entirely pedestrianised with no buses or through traffic.		
18	prefer not to say		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
		The proposed plan reduces parking when there is even greater need for it. As I said where will the		
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
		•	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
		the bank, I don't want to have to park a ling way off and pay a small fortunate to allow enough time		
			Badge and other parking nearby.	
	1	אווטרד מוד ווד נווט שמווע מבחוטפר דע חווווענכס.	Dauge and other parking hearby.	

19	resident		Thank you for your positive comments	No change required
		Having lived in Germany and Italy for a number of years and returning to live in UK just a year		
		ago, Bury St Edmunds is my new home! The one things that attracts most people abroad in a		
		center is the 'main square' opening up this area and restricting the cars I think is a fantastic idea!		
		There is plenty of Parking options around Bury, perhaps extending the multistory would help. But		
		the butter market only offers a few spaces anyway. I really can't see what the issue is with taking		
		those few spaces away and opening up a nice main square for people to visit, stroll freely and		
		perhaps encourage cafes/bars with nice outside seating too, bringing people together sitting		
		outside and actually look arround at the old town architecture which most don't notice when		
		crossing the road watching for cars or looking for a parking space and perhaps children are free to		
		play a little outside whilst the parents relax with a coffee without the worry of cars there. There are		
		2 market days a week and I don't see any issue on those days for anyone with parking or taking a		
		bus or walking. The old town still has plenty of character it's beautiful to walk through and the arc		
		brings excitement for a bit of good shopping, I like the blend of old with the new. I think the car		
		park at butter market is a waste of space and most people pull up, park for 5 mins and they're		
		gone. As long as there is a little parking perhaps either end of the square for anyone with		
		disabilities. It works exactly the same across Europe.		
20	resident, worker	Again, overall, the aspirations seem well measured. Comments have already been made about	Thank you for your positive comments	No change required
		pedestrianisation and the careful balance to achieve between this and the value of being able to		
		access the centre by vehicle and these do indeed seem well understood in the proposals. It goes		
		without saying that planners will be careful to avoid a situation where the different areas creates		
		an artificial segmentation of the town which advances one area whilst leaving others behind. For		
		instance, with the arc, there was a sense that more could have been done to meld it together more		
		cohesively with the old centre. Whether this sense emerged from the distinctive architecture,		
		issues with access, an ineffective communication strategy or some other factor, all planning		
		aspects must carefully assess the means by which such perceptions can be avoided. The		
		character areas emphasise diversity but they must all equally contribute towards the idea of one		
		centre.		
21	visitor from less than		The consultations were publicised through local	No change required
	10 miles away,		press including the East Anglian Daily Times,	
	retired		social media and via the Borough's and partners'	
			websites. In total, 20 public consultation events	
		It states that Blue Badge parking will be nearby without stating where exactly. Currently being	were held over the two consultation periods,	
		able to park in the middle by M&S, my optician and bank are essential to retain my independence.	including at the main supermarkets, library,	
		If this access is removed I will be severely discriminated against and marginalised. To expect	markets and leisure centre. A leaflet was	
		someone with mobility problems to walk distances to access facilities, let alone then carry back	delivered to 23,000 Bury St Edmunds	
		any shopping, is cruel in the extreme. I am not part of any mobility organisation that I was told you	households in the Spring, but we could not get a	
		had liaised with, nor do I intend to be at this stage. By excluding the likes of people like me is	leaflet to everyone who visits the town centre.	
		totally against the whole ethos of keeping the elderly or those with disabilities part of the	We are sorry you feel the consultation was not	
		community. How truly depressing and upsetting. We spoke with a Council representative at	publicised sufficiently but we are pleased you did	
		Sainsburys, who told us that everyone had been sent a leaflet about the proposed changes. We	become aware of it and participated. Character	
		did not get one, and the first we heard of it was through an article in the Bury Free Press,	Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate	
		announcing that they had received over 1000 responses. None of the top 10 responses	Blue Badge and other parking nearby. The	
		mentioned the need for disabled access. After further discussion, the impression was that the	groups we have worked with around accessibility	
		organisations concerned with access had made a case for ease of crossing the roads in the	for people with additional needs have looked at	
		centre, but as to getting there in the first place seemed to have got lost on the way. I need to get	all aspects of the town centre.	
		to my Bank, optician, chemists, M&S and a restaurant where I can meet up with friends. Not for		
		much longer if the whole lot becomes pedestrianised.		
22	resident		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
		Increased pedestrianisation will still further reduce parking availability in the town centre	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
	I			I I

23	resident		Thank you for your positive comments and	No change required
			suggestions. Blue badge parking is a key	
			requirement that will be considered when	
		To repeat, this area should be totally pedestrianised to give a better feel for independent shops	carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
		and cafes which can expand seated outside. So many continental towns and cities have this	that affect or influence parking	
		arrangement. No cars at all and perhaps some sort of help for those with limited mobility such as	provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
		golf buggies or something similar. Also some way of transporting the heavy and multiple bags to	aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
		their car instead of the people lugging heavy bags around.	other parking nearby.	
	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away		seeing a decline in trade while The arc remains	
		To some extent. To attract visitors all year there needs to be more undercover areas to walk	extremely popular and an attraction individual to	
		especially in the arc shopping centre	Bury St Edmunds.	
25	resident, worker			No change required
-			explored. The Victorian frontage of the former	
		use old post office to create wider more attractive link to arc, ie opening up ground floor to through		
			overlooking Cornhill and should be protected.	
26				No chonge required
26	resident, worker	Dort nodestrianization		No change required
07		Part pedestrianization	under consideration.	
	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away,		comments.	
	retired	Please see comments for Q7		
28	resident		Character Area 1 contains an aspiration to	No change required
			improve surfacing and lighting in market	
		sort out arc to buttermarket access	Thoroughfare	
29	resident		¥	No change required
			explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
			are in separate ownerships and will need the	
			agreement of their respective owners to lose	
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
			frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
			important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
		rather than two separated areas.	should be protected.	
30	visitor from less than		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
	10 miles away		be considered when carrying out a viability study	
	,		of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			•	
			other parking nearby.	
31				No change required
			support the coninues success of the market.	
32	visitor from less than		All options for improving the link need to be	No change required
	10 miles away		explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
	·		are in separate ownerships and will need the	
			agreement of their respective owners to lose	
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
			frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
			important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
			should be protected.	
33	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments. There was strong	No change required
			support for pedestrianisation from the Issues	
			and Options consultation. We recognise there is	
		will hinder access to disabled people, people with young children, people with restricted mobility	a need to both replace any displaced parking	
			and provide additional parking. This is	
			addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and	
		Blue badge parking is helpful, but for good reason, not all of the people adversely affected by the	9. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	
1			accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
		lincreased distances are entitled to blue badges. I here should also be proposals to improve		
34		facilities for cyclists in the town centre eg. parking, signposted routes etc.	nearby	No change required

-	1			
35	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
	away		nearby. Blue badge parking is a key requirement	
			that will be considered when carrying out a	
			viability study of all aspirations that affect or	
		I am concerned for people who are less mobile. My parents visit the town every week, (sometimes		
			does not intend to create more toilet facilities	
			within the town centre, we will be examining	
		have a blue badge; he cannot walk far at all, but his condition requires that he does walk so a	initiatives including working with businesses and	
		wheelchair/scooter is out of the question. He would not be able to walk from Wilkos or the Arc car	developers to seek opportunities to improve	
		parks for example and certainly not Ram meadow. They would not be able to use a park and ride	provision of publicly accessible toilets, as set out	
		bus as my father could not get up the step onto or off the bus. The extra seating and lowered	in Character Area 9.	
		kerbs is welcome. Also town centre public toilets would be very welcome.		
36	resident, worker		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
		not all need to know where you propose to move the parking to.	detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
37	resident, worker		The market will remain in its current location.	No change required
		There is nothing in here regarding the market we rightly have a well known market place which	There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to	
			support the continued success of the market.	
38	resident	I think it would make a big difference to the centre of Bury St Edmunds if Buttermarket and St	Thank you for your positive comments. Cycle	No change required
		Andrew's Street between the ARC and centre are pedestrianised during the day. The area will be	access will be considered as a key requirement	
			for all aspirations going forward.	
		Andrew's St between the ARC and the town centre as this currently provides a safe route for		
		cyclists?		
39	visitor from more		If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	No change required
	than 10 miles away		be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
			currently in place elsewhere. Any	
			pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
		What about market vans?		
40	worker	Full pedestrianisation	Thank you.	No change required
41	resident		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
		therefore the facilities need to be there, not just the arc and abbey gardens - maybe convert one of	accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area	
		the shop units to a high status facility	9.	
42	resident, worker		In order for the masterplan to meet the	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
		enhancing Market Thoroughfare is reminiscent to the initial assurance to have a wide transit route		
		between Cornhill, Buttermarket and the Arc complex. We need a wide thoroughfare between the	is unable to specify sites for development or	
		two areas to encourage people to move more freely, and to allow development of shops and other	redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		commitment or obligation.		
43	visitor from less than		Agreed. The masterplan is designed to be a	No change required
	10 miles away		flexible framework rather than a rigid blueprint,	
			able to respond to different levels of growth and	
			changing technologies.	

44	resident			
			Thank you. We recognise there is a need to both	No change required
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. If	
			pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	
		In principle it is sensible to move towards traffic free areas. This would be reasonable in the	be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
		summer period, but in winter the area could look desolate. The provision of suitable parking and	currently in place elsewhere. Any	
		access (for shop deliveries) would be essential. In addition a good, cheap, rapid transit system	pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
		would be necessary to provide connectivity.		
45	resident, retired		Thank you. Please see response to previous	No change required
		This "Divide and rule" Policy is counter-productive, unhelpful and misguided. The Artists	comments.	
		impression of the Cornhill is dishonest. It should be accompanied by an Impression of the same		
		prospect at 3pm on a Thursday in January with a north wind blowing up St. Johns Street.		
46	resident, prefer not		Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
	to say	Removal of all traffic is excellent & will lead to a safer, friendlier, more accessible space for all.		
47	resident, retired		, °	No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
		Not our that outting of the town control to transport is the answer. Making people walk further will	includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
		Not sure that cutting of the town centre to transport is the answer. Making people walk further will not help retailers.	Badge and other parking nearby	
48	resident		The market will remain in its current location.	No change required
10	resident		There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to	
			support the continued success of the market.If	
			pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
			currently in place elsewhere. Any	
		If you have vehicles, how will the traders get their geode? Where will the market go?	pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
49	resident	If you ban vehicles, how will the traders get their goods? Where will the market go? More electric car charging points needed	Agreed	No change required
49 50	resident		Some trade waste is collected by the council and	
10	resident		some by private companies. A review of all trade	
		More frequent refuse collection to reduce the number of large wheelie bins, ugly and often	waste provision in the town centre may be	
		blocking pavements e.g. Skinner Street use electric dust carts.	required	
51	resident, retired		Some trade waste is collected by the council and	No change required
			some by private companies. A review of all trade	
		More frequent refuse collection to reduce the number of large wheelie bins, ugly and often	waste provision in the town centre may be	
		blocking pavements e.g. Skinner Street use electric dust carts.	required	
52	resident, worker			No change required
		Not more ugly housing re-development??	avoid all ugly forms of development	

53	worker			No change required
			If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	
			be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
			currently in place elsewhere. Any	
		tend to have large and heavy vehicles and these currently periodically damage the existing paving		
			There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to	
		capacity that is lost in this area be provided elsewhere? This "new" parking provision needs to be	repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
		businesses and of course their customers. Many businesses currently located in this area highly	Agree that new parking provision needs to be in	
		value the ability for customers to park close to their businesses and I would anticipate	place before existing provision is removed. part	
		considerable opposition, from businesses, to any proposal to fully pedestrianise this area. I	pedestrianisation is one of the main options	
		personally think a partially pedestrianised area would be more acceptable and provided benefits to	under consideration. There is an aspiration in	
		all with out losing whats currently in place. Conversely the current paved area at the top of Cornhill	Character Area 1 to improve connectivity with St	
		and St Johns St so could be made so much more attractive to the eye and improved as an	Johns Street from the top of Cornhill. This will	
			include environmental enhancements. One of	
		this area actually restricts the ability to transform this area. If these stalls could be relocated then	the key priorities in Character Area 1 is to	
			improve the connections between Cornhill and	
1			the arc by making the environment of Market	
			Thoroughfare more attractive and removing	
		vehicles and buses dodging pedestrians and travelling in both directions. The road needs to be	through traffic from St Andrews Street	
			South.	
		some form of temporary barriers at either end so it remains closed to vehicles between these		
		times of if necessary use technology to allow bus access from only one end. Once this has been		
		agreed then their needs to be some transformational change to enable visitors and shoppers to be		
		able to clearly see where the old town centre is when standing in the arc and vice versa. Currently		
		the town centre is made up of two locations that only locals know off and some visitors only ever		
		find one of the two locations. This ridiculous situation needs to be rectified asap through this		
		Masterplan		
54	resident	I see that the Cornhill and Buttermarket areas will be pedestrianised with the resultant loss of a	Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
		considerable number of short term parking bays. As a person with reduced mobility but not	accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
		registered disabled, this concerns me as I will not be able to park near to the shops and services I	nearby. Further detail will be provided through	
		most frequently use. I note that a shuttle bus service is planned from Ram Meadow, but : a.	the Delivery Plan and as individual projects	
		Where will the town centre drop off point be located ? b. How long will it take to get into the	progress.	
		centre on busy days? c. How frequently will they run? I hope these concerns will be fully		
		addressed when the final plans are developed.		
55	worker		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
1			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
1			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
1			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
1		Please ensure that blue badge holders can take their cars right into the Buttermarket and park.	other parking nearby.	
50	las side at	There needs to be an increase of disabled parking bays.	Deductric destruction and the first of the first	Ne shee na namina d
56	resident			No change required
1		They don't go far enough. Bury must be the last town in the country to allow cars to drive into, park		
1		and pollute its very centre. Cornhill and the Buttermarket should be traffic free on every day of the	•	
	lassident 1		balance the needs of all town centre users.	Ne shanna na mina d
57	resident, worker		<b>v</b> . <b>v</b>	No change required
1			popular streets in the town centre. Other	
			successful examples of pedestrianisation in a	
1			historic centre include York and Norwich. There	
1			was strong support for pedestrianisation from	
			the Issues and Options consultation. Character	
1			Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate	
1		Full pedestrianisation would have a negative effect on many shops/customers in the town centre. Lots of customers are old and the car parks are a significant distance away from the town centre.	Blue Badge and other parking nearby.	
		It ate at outcomore are ald and the car parke are a cigniticant dictance away from the town contro		

50		Ι		
58	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away,		requirement that will be considered when	
	retired		carrying out a viability study of all aspirations	
			that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
-		Need parking for the disabled and elderly	other parking nearby.	
59	resident			No change required
			badge parking is a key requirement that will be	
			considered when carrying out a viability study of	
			all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
		A pedestrian-only market square would be very beneficial to the whole town, clearly still requiring	other parking nearby. The market will remain in	
		disabled parking close-by and permitting trader vehicle access on market days.	its current location.	
60	resident			No change required
		Yes, but not enough.	understood.	
61	resident, retired	St. Andrews south: accepting that delivery access roads to be kept desirable that the East	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
•		frontages be enhanced by screening delivery shutter, trolleys and bins (Tesco. Iceland especially).		
		Restrict deliveries to late evening early morning. Soften the streetscape by planting - semi mature		
		trees in planters - to allow access to services.		
62	resident, retired		Thank you for your suggestions. Whilst the	No change required
		Yes, we will need extra shopping space with the planned increase in satellite housing. However,	Borough does not intend to create more toilet	
		the new developments should have at least the basic minimum of everyday shops and facilities in		
			examining initiatives including working with	
		lesson from Ely here). So, expansion of the Arc yes, but where to put replacement parking. Build	businesses and developers to seek opportunities	
		on the near side of the Parkway opposite existing parking? But not the same height - too tunnel	to improve provision of publicly accessible	
		like. Could be made interesting with some landscaping. Make sure enough loos in car park. Plus	toilets, as set out in Character Area 9.	
		attendant,	tollets, as set out in Gharacter Area 3.	
63	resident		Increasing the width of St Andrews Street would	No change required
			probably make the problem worse as it would	
			make the crossing wider for pedestrians. The	
		When the Arc was built they should have made St Andrews street wider. that is why you have the	problem lies primarilly with the conflict between	
		prolbem with busses and Artics delivery, to Tescos and Iceland	pedestrians and traffic.	
64	resident, worker		P	No change required
5-			explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
			are in separate ownerships and will need the	
			agreement of their respective owners to lose	
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
			frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
		The long promised attractive connection between the two parts of the town would make a	important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
			should be protected. Pedestrian only use of St	
			Andrews Street during the day is an option	
		Pedestrian-only areas during the day would be a very good thing, plus more variety of shops and	together with attractive mixed use frontage	
i		mixed use premises (residential as well as commercial) - but not just high-value residential.	development.	

65       resident, retired       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on popular streets in the town centre successful examples of pedestrian historic centre include York and N was strong support for pedestrian the Issues and Options consultative pedestrianisation will also be consubadge parking is a key requireme considered when carrying out a viall aspirations that affect or influer provision. Character Area 1 includ aspiration to accommodate Blue Blue Blue Blue Blue Blue Blue Blu	e. Other anisation in a Norwich. There inisation from tion, but part nsidered. Blue
successful examples of pedestrian successful examples of pedestrian historic centre include York and N was strong support for pedestrian the Issues and Options consultation pedestrianisation will also be considered when carrying out a vi all aspirations that affect or influen provision.Character Area 1 include	anisation in a Norwich. There inisation from tion, but part nsidered. Blue
historic centre include York and N was strong support for pedestrian the Issues and Options consultation pedestrianisation will also be considered when carrying out a vi all aspirations that affect or influen provision.Character Area 1 include	Norwich. There inisation from tion, but part nsidered. Blue
was strong support for pedestrian the Issues and Options consultation pedestrianisation will also be consecutive badge parking is a key requirement considered when carrying out a via all aspirations that affect or influent provision.Character Area 1 included	nisation from tion, but part nsidered. Blue
the Issues and Options consultation pedestrianisation will also be consultation badge parking is a key requirement considered when carrying out a via all aspirations that affect or influent provision.Character Area 1 included	tion, but part nsidered. Blue
pedestrianisation will also be cons badge parking is a key requireme considered when carrying out a vi all aspirations that affect or influer provision.Character Area 1 includ	nsidered. Blue
badge parking is a key requireme considered when carrying out a vi all aspirations that affect or influer provision.Character Area 1 includ	
considered when carrying out a vi all aspirations that affect or influen provision.Character Area 1 includ	ent that will be
all aspirations that affect or influer provision.Character Area 1 includ	
provision.Character Area 1 includ	
To completely pedestrianise the Cornhill and Buttermarket will effect the trade and effect the less aspiration to accommodate Blue H	
	Badge and
able in getting into the Town centre. Maybe do the the side by super drug /Moyses Hall but keep other parking nearby.	
one through lane would be a sensible alternative.	
66 resident There was a strong response call	
pedestrianisation during the Issue	
stage. All options for improving th	
be explored. The buildings on eith	
link are in separate ownerships ar	
agreement of their respective owr	
their commercial floorspace. The	
frontage of the former Post Office	
important feature overlooking Cor	ornhill and
should be protected.	
Do not completely pedestrianise. Market thoroughfare, etc need to be widened	Wan fee
67 resident, worker There was a strong response call	
pedestrianisation during the Issue	
stage. We recognise there is a ne	
replace any displaced parking and the buttermarket etc seems a shame, there is plenty of space to additional parking. This is address	
reducing the parking on the buttermarket etc seems a shame, there is plenty of space to additional parking. This is address introduce lovely seated areas and more planting without reducing the parking, town centre parking Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
supermarkets and out of town rather than town centre . its no good just looking at it in terms of peaktimes, the town centre runs 7 days a week, and to reduce car access will damage it.	
	gnised, however No change required
Area 1 includes a section of St Andrews St south alongside the ARC. This is currently the centre there are already many residents	
of the "night-time economy" with clubs, pubs and fast-food outlets operating until 3 am. The area Most prospective residents are av	
is also becoming increasingly residential as commercial buildings and sites are redeveloped.	
These two uses are incompatible because of night-time noise at weekends. This issue has not busy town centre with a night time	
been identified in the masterplan. There needs to be a recognition of the increasing residential	
use of the upper floors of properties throughout area 1.	
69 resident Think pedestrianizing buttermarket and cornhill is long overdue and will be a excellent space for Thank you for your positive comm	ment. No change required
the town to make better use of.	
	uirement that will No change required
be considered when carrying out a	÷ .
Day time parking in the Buttermarket and Cornnil should be retained. Removal of this would take of all aspirations that affect or influ	
away essential parking for those who cannot walk far (also damaging trading) and worsen the	
existing shortage of town centre parking places. There should be a stated long term objective to	
widen Market I noroughtare by demolition so as to improve the connectivity between the two main other parking nearby	
Isnopping areas.	
71 resident ensuring a clear link between the Arc and Town Centre. The existing pedestrian walk ways don't Agreed, improvements to this link	kage are a key No change required
adequately create this 'bond' aspiration.	

72	visitor from less than		<b>e</b>	No change required
	10 miles away,		from the Issues and Options consultation. The	
	retired	Extending pedestrianisation always seems a planner's dream. However, for people who need to	market will remain in its current location with	
			existing access. Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby. The aspiration to improve	
		physically between the Ark and the Butter Market is vital to link the town, and the proposal to close		
			•	
			Cornhill came from an issue identified at the	
			Issues and Options stage.	
		strange, as for me the area seems very well connected		
73	resident		Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
		The proposals for Cornhill, Buttermarket and St Andrews Street south are exciting and should be		
		a high priority. Extending the retail area of the Arc is a major concern and needs to be balanced		
		alongside the higher priority of the regeneration of the St Andrews Street North area. Retaining		
		this area for surface car parking for the period to 2031 would be a very positive proposal.		
74	resident, worker		Thank you for your suggestions. There is an	No change required
			aspiration in Character Area 9 to Include	
			provision of additional tree planting, planters and	
			displays in all schemes where appropriate and	
		square to supplement those present.	possible.	
75	prefer not to say		In order for the masterplan to meet the	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
15	prefer field bay		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			•	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		shuttle buses are needed and should be explained more fully.		
76	resident		Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	No change required
			accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
			nearby. We recognise there is a need to both	
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
		If people can't park in Cornhill/Butter market they won't walk to it from the other car parks		
77	resident		Thank you for your comments and suggestions.	No change required
			Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	
			accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
			nearby. We recognise there is a need to both	
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough	
			have taken the first steps to decriminalise	
			•	
			parking enforcement, which currently sits with	
			the Police. This is a two year process as it has to	
			go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this	
			to be in place during 2019. The Angel Hill Area	
			is included in Character Area 4 which includes	
		measures should be considered. Automatic bollards to prevent parking in pedestrian zone and cut		
			Hill as a multi-functional space to continue as a	
			car park and events space. Angel Hill has been	
			identified as an important parking resource close	
			to the town centre on the east side of town.	
		original ideas but I've decided to adopt). g. The preference for 'Mixed Generation' housing is most		
		welcome, houses in addition to flats (especially at Cornhill Walk).		
	1			<u> </u>

70				
78	visitor from less than 10 miles away, other	Any building development or renovation must be traditional fronted rather than bland modern frontages.	All new development must be appropriate to its setting in terms of form, character, scale and design. The existing historic core includes different forms from Georgian, Victorian, Edwardian and art deco in close proximity.	No change required
79	resident	If any small paving slabs are intended to be used it should be noted that they become a trip hazard very easily causing not only injury but increased maintenance costs. I would personally recommend other materials.	There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and maintain pavements and walkways using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	No change required
80	resident	1The aspirations do not address the issue of cyclists needing to cross this area in any direction. Bury St Edmunds is a a town whose size lends itself to residents being able to cycle in and out and across the town. They would almost certainly need to cross this part of the town. If they are forced to circumvent it due to lack of cycle provision then they will more than likely use their car instead thus increasing vehicular traffic movement around the town. There is reference to pedestrians and traffic movement in this area but no reference whatsoever to cycle movement. It is essential to provide designated cycle lanes/tracks within this area in order to encourage residents to increasingly use cycle power, a practical, beneficial and sustainable means of transport. I would suggest partitioning all roads in this area with a designated cycle lane such that both pedestrians and cyclists can move freely and safely. 2 Skinner Street could actually be made a real asset. Imaginative thinking could solve the bin issue.		No change required
81		I really like the idea of unified paving throughout, to bring the whole area together. However, I do think that the same level of vehicular access should remain in the Cornhill/Buttermarket area as I would be concerned that businesses might lose out because if someone needs to make the quickest visit to one of the places in town it is much easier to try and park as near as possible, maybe with a 30 minute parking restriction. I have never personally felt that the traffic in the middle of town was a deterrent to wandering around.	There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. Abbeygate Street is one of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich.	No change required
82	resident, retired	Unrealistic to expect visitors when central parking not available. What about blue badge holders>	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.	No change required
83		"Environmental enhancement of Skinner Street – screen refuse areas and renovate paving" - this is a GREAT idea - these buildings are beautiful and this street could be stunning if allowed to become a cafe/restaurant area with outside seating. Access from the west of town through parkway to the Arc is very poor - pedestrian crossings at the waitrose and B&Q roundabouts are needed.	Thank you for your positive comment. Pedestrian and cyclist priority in the Parkway area is addressed in Character Area 6.	No change required
84	resident, retired	An alternative for St Andrews Street South would be to open it up to traffic and introduce courtesy crossing. The heavy flow of pedestrians would deter motor vehicle flow without eliminating it and the problems this would create. For Buttermarket/Cornhill charge motor vehicle to enter the area during daytime hours, suggest an arrangement similar to The Causeway in Durham. There is an existing paving strategy for the town centre which is less than 10 years old, why is a new one needed?	Thank you for your suggestions. There is no suggestion to replace the existing Streetscape Strategy as refered to in Character Area 9 under the 'Place' priorities - 'Ensure consistency with adopted Street Scape Strategy.'	No change required
85	resident	I have concerns regarding the availability of parking and the high cost of it. I already limit visits to the town because of this.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking during the masterplan consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consider all options going forward.	No change required
86	visitor from more		Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comment.	No change required

07	resident, retired	It would be great to see the whole area pedestrian as at the moment it is a disaster wanting to	Thank you.	No change required	
07		happen.			
88		Make St. Andrews Street more visually appealing by integrating some of the market onto the pavements. Or making the design of the passageway into Buttermarket more in line with the design of the Arc, e.g. consistent paving, flower baskets. Expand the walkways into the buttermarket to be less like dark alleyways	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
89		ugly. What ever happened to the much talked about promised 'LINK'? I can not see any reason why there could not be more trees (real ones) planted and soft landscaping. Buttermarket and Cornhill would benefit from pedestrianisation although there would have to be good disability access. I certainly welcome the ideas to improve Skinner Street - one of the original medieval streets in the heart of the town. It is still a public thoroughfare and if the council can not organise a better system of waste collection, then it is important that the bins are reduced and hidden out of site. Improved lighting is essential and the street could be enhanced with owners taking responsibility for their listed buildings in a conservation area.	aspiration in Character Area 9 to Include provision of additional tree planting, planters and displays in all schemes where appropriate and possible. Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision.Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.		
90		It seems to me that you wishto banish anyone with a disability from this area. Not everybody with a disability uses a wheelchair or mobility scooter, but they are still unable to walk very far. If this	Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision.		
91	10 miles away	I have small children and have no problem walking through these areas. The pavements are wide but the crossing points are ambiguous. I think improving crossing points would make this more user friendly withou the need to pedestrianise the whole area. Turning this area into a plaza area will make the centre of bury just like every other redeveloped town across the country. We need to keep the access to shops for car users easy to encourage people to use our town centre. We could have car free days for those who would rather use the centre pedestrianised. Crossing between the Arc and the Town centre is more dangerous and this would benefit from pedestrianisation more. The plan doesn't address amenity or employment needs other that retail in the town centre.		No change required	
92	retired	Pedestrianisation should be enhanced, the area known as the horseshoe definitely need improvement		No change required	
93	visitor from more than 10 miles away	The car Paking in the centre has always caused problems to, pedestrians and the aesthetics of the town.	Thank you.	No change required	
94	retired		The Delivery Strategy will set out short, medium and long term targets for delivery and will be responsive to different rates of growth. Costings for individual projects will be worked up as the projects progress. Funding will come from a range of sources including private investment as well as funding by public bodies	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	

95	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby. There are aspirations in	
			Character Area 9 to repair and maintain	
			pavements and walkways using sympathetic and	
			appropriate materials and to optimise access	
		, ,	into and around the area for people with	
			disabilities and mobility difficulties. This could be	
			achieved by addressing issues such as dropped	
			kerbs, reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and	
			access to shops and businesses. Allowing car	
		Higher and Lower Baxter Streets during these times as this just causes confusion for pedestrians -		
		especially visitors. Get rid of some of those A-boards which stick too far out on the pavement thus	evening is one of the main options.	
		impeding progress for those in wheelchairs or pushing children in prams/buggies.		
96	resident		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		It is essential to remove access to traffic in the Town Centre. Bury St Edmunds cannot sustain the		
		current number of cars entering the Town Centre. The whole town is jammed with traffic and		
		unacceptable pollution. Hundreds of cars go round and round looking for a parking space in the		
		Butter Market clogging up the whole Town Centre. This density of traffic is a blight on the town.		
		The Historic Grid is assaulted by this number of cars seeking a way out using Whiting Street and		
		Guildhall Streets as rat runs. Under these aspirations this would hopefully cease.		
97	resident, retired	I am pleased that the plan addresses the issue of the integration of the arc with the old area of the	Thank you.	No change required
		town.		
98	resident, retired	Increased on street parking will be welcome as long as it is not extortionate.	Thank you.	No change required
99	resident, retired		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby. Character Area 9 includes	
			an aspiration to optimise access into and around	
			the area for people with disabilities and mobility	
			difficulties. This could be achieved by	
			addressing issues such as dropped kerbs,	
			reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and access to	
			shops and businesses.	
		very concerned about the lack of any consideration for the disabled		
100	resident		The need to improve cycling access and	No change required
			facilities across the town centre is recognised in	
			Character Area 9. Additional seating was	
			strongly supported through the Issues and	
			Options consultation and is included in	
			•	
			Character Area 9.	
		proposals is exclusively tailored to pedestrians. Cyclists will want to crss it in both directions e.g		
		currently cycling is not permitted in the Arc. This does not allow cyclists to cross this huge area.		
		The Masterplan needs to consider a network of cycle tracks criss crossing this area alongside		
		pedestrianisation. Skinner Street is a highly under rated asset to the town centre requiring more		
1	1	imagination than simply screening refuse bins. This could become like The Shambles in York and		
		a real tourist attraction. There is nowhere comfortable to sit it the entire area, only a few benches		
		a good proportion of which are concrete without back support. This can not be good for		
		a good proportion of which are concrete without back support. This can not be good for pedestrains especially those who are older and need time to rest and take in the ambiance of the		
		a good proportion of which are concrete without back support. This can not be good for pedestrains especially those who are older and need time to rest and take in the ambiance of the area. St Andrews Street South could accommodate a cycle track/lane to enable cyclists to cross		
		a good proportion of which are concrete without back support. This can not be good for pedestrains especially those who are older and need time to rest and take in the ambiance of the area. St Andrews Street South could accommodate a cycle track/lane to enable cyclists to cross town as is seen in Cambridge where cyclists are allowed to move within pedestrainised areas.		
101		a good proportion of which are concrete without back support. This can not be good for pedestrains especially those who are older and need time to rest and take in the ambiance of the area. St Andrews Street South could accommodate a cycle track/lane to enable cyclists to cross town as is seen in Cambridge where cyclists are allowed to move within pedestrainised areas.	Thank you.	No change required

102	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise	No change required
		In my opinion, the area around the butter market needs little in the way of modification. The	parking enforcement, which currently sits with	
		market needs supporting, but the market square is already free from traffic on market days. I	the Police. This is a two year process as it has to	
		cannot really see how pedestrianisation of the market will solve any issues during the day but I do	go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this	
		like the idea. I would love it to be more difficult to drive cars around the market area specifically at	to be in place during 2019. The Borough Council	
			works closely with the Police on issues of	
		silly driving). I understand pedestrianisation is supposed to mean you cannot drive your car but	community safety and anti-social behaviour and	
		this does not stop people from using the area beside McDonald (Brentgovel St) near the cafes and	is bringing in new Public Space Protection	
		Cornhill Walk as a carpark - along the edge of the road where it is double yellow lines and no	Orders which will provide new powers to tackle	
		stopping during the day as well as parking on the pedestrianised areas in the evening to visit	anti-social behaviour.	
		McDonald. This area, extending to the Chinese takeaway and the ramp behind McDonald has		
		become a centre of illegal behaviour, the parking, thieves picking through stolen wallets in the		
		evening on the ramp, people driving the wrong way up Well St (which is a one way road) people		
		peering through windows of unoccupied or temporarily empty houses and businesses. Only this		
		morning, there were two people having a smoke sat on my front doorstep watching a couple		
		arguing/cuddling, sat on the edge of the pavement. I would be very pleased to see improvements		
		to the Skinner Street area. I was never totally thrilled with the way it looked, but after all the carry		
		on with the missing airman, I have found it quite a creepy place. This will all be a waste however if		
		the redevelopment of the Cornhill Walk shopping centre is allowed to go ahead as planned. It will		
		be an mixture of the (admittedly better) proposed new front, with the currently ugly building. I full		
		story taller, it will eclipse local buildings and mock shop fronts will be brought onto the currently		
		residential Well St. The proposed loss of permit parking, and the sadly lacking provision of car		
		parking on the proposed development will only serve to exacerbate the traffic and parking		
		problems in this area. I think it is a good idea to improve the area behind the arc. It's terrible. I am		
		not totally sure the arc should be extended. It is successful now, but will it be if it is extended.		
100		More is not always better. I would be more convinced about this with more information.		
103	resident		•	No change required
			pedestrianised then alternative locations for bus	
			stops will be sought that are equally convenient	
			prior to closure. The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport	
		Largely the aspirations do BUT I believe that it is important for buses to be able to access the	service providers to improve the convenience of	
		ARC in order to cater for the elderly and infirm. I agree with the pedestrianisation of Cornhill and	getting in to, out of and around the town centre.	
		Buttermarket, and the enhancement of Skinner Street though. Having said that I'd like a small	This includes consideration of a 'shuttle bus'	
		electric shuttle bus to be allowed access from Looms lane.	facility	
104	worker, visitor from		Thank you. Dropped kerbs have been identified	No change required
104	less than 10 miles	Disabled and pushchair drop kerbs etc need to be flat to the road. Often the kerb isn't low enough	as an issue throughout the town centre and are	
	away	and it is difficult to get the wheel up without struggling. Also those pushing a wheelchair either as	addressed in Character Area 9.	
	anay	an attendant or themselves often have to go further to the drop and then back to get to there		
1		previous point or the ramps are very long making more work or further pushing.		
105	resident, retired,		Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most	No change required
	other		popular streets in the town centre. Other	
	_		successful examples of pedestrianisation in a	
1			historic centre include York and Norwich. There	
		Pedestrianisation is not good for shopping - people need car parking spaces near shops so they	was strong support for pedestrianisation from	
1		can load and reload their items of shopping - not everyone wants to walk to an overpriced car	the Issues and Options consultation.	
		park!		
106	resident, retired	Danger in over pedestrianisation that you will reduce acces to such an extent that you reduce use.	We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
1			any displaced parking and provide additional	
		spaces are wasted, because they are designated residents only - and when people go to work	parking. This is addressed under Character	
1		they sit empty all day. Surely residents need empty spaces when they return from work, not while	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
		they are away. The dead areas of grey wall in the Arc could be enlivened with murals/mosaics.	includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
			Badge and other parking nearby.	
		litterfree, unlike other areas of Bury St Edmunds.		

107	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		any displaced parking and provide additional	
	away, student		parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
			includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
		clone town (like lpswich etc) when traditionally it is a market town. I do think that more seating	Badge and other parking nearby. The Borough	
		areas are needed and it would certainly make the Buttermarket more safe. Something that does	Council works closely with the Police on issues	
		need to be taken into consideration is the 'unemployed' people that congregate in the town centre	of community safety and anti-social behaviour	
		and whether this would attract these even further? As you would be reducing the amount of short-	and is bringing in new Public Space Protection	
			Orders which will provide new powers to tackle	
		'pop' in the bank or dentist, there will be less spaces for them to do so without walking from a long-	anti-social behaviour.	
		stay car park.		
108	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away		deliveries would be accommodated during	
		Excellent idea to close St Andrew's Street South to through traffic and re-route buses. Service	restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere.	
		access should be outside of shop opening hours otherwise there will still be a danger to	Any pedestrianisation will take account of this	
		pedestrians.	need	
109	resident, retired		If St Andrews Street South is pedestrianised	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
		There are no practical alternatives to the bus stops outside Boots and Palmers for Arc and Market	then alternative locations for bus stops will be	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a
		areas. Re-routing buses along St Andrews St North, Risbygate or Parkway will clash with	sought that are equally convenient prior to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
		aspirations in 6 re. improving attractiveness of Risbygate area for pedestrians and cyclists. Just	closure The masterplan does not propose the	meet the needs of the town.
		where will the buses stop and where will passengers gather to wait? The pavements are not wide	removal of the bus station, but it does mention	
		enough for queues, especially if there are bus shelters, which there should be. One or two stops	opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led	
		on Parkway could work, if they are extra stops (not replacing stops for the market) and recessed	to misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
		into a lay-by to avoid holding up traffic on virtually the only central through route, especially when	No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		-	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		roads will increase. The sensible solution is to retain both the Arc bus stops and the bus station,		
		which should be manned and properly maintained. On-street bus stops also hinder cyclists - as		
		you cannot put cycle lanes where buses pull in to stop.		
110	resident, worker		The issues are set out under the 'What are the	No change required
	,		Issues and Options' sections of the draft	
			masterplan. The masterplan aims to be pre-	
			active as well as responsive in creating a safer	
			environment for pedestrians and cyclists. Both	
			the market and The arc are dictated by the	
			commercial environment, over which public	
			bodies have little influence. there is an aspiration	
			in Character Area 1 to support the continued	
			success of the market.	
111	resident, worker		If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
			that are equally convenient prior to closure.	
			Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
		I am concerned that it will require a long walk, for pedestrians to get to the centre of the town from		
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area	
		the way round to the back of Debenhams?		
112	resident, worker		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
112	i coluciit, wurker		any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Improved pedestrian	
		, , , , , ,	crossings are included in Character Areas 2,6,7	
i			÷	
	I	nooy.	and 9.	

	-			
113	worker, visitor from		Ŭ Î	No change required
	less than 10 miles		any displaced parking and provide additional	
	away		parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
			includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
			Badge and other parking nearby. Blue badge	
		Removing parking and a throughway for cars will heavily affect businesses as a result of longer	parking is a key requirement that will be	
		walks into the old town centre. This may result in disabled badge holders not even visiting the	considered when carrying out a viability study of	
		original town. Furthermore, as everyone already knows, there's a major shortage of parking in our		
		town, this needs to be rectified before anything else is changed. I'm open to change, and like the	provision. Additional seating was strongly	
		ideas, but this isn't original. It's going to look like stowmarket, and bury is meant to be the nicest	supported through the Issues and Options	
			consultation and is included in Character Area 9.	
		know the market is still going to be there, so it needs to fit in)		
114	resident	Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character	Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of	No change required
114	resident	Areas in the masterplan. I think the pedestrianisation of areas of the Town Centre should go	the masterplan working group and will be	no change required
		further. All of Cornhill and the Butter Market should pedestrianised. Road access is needed for	included in all key decisions relating to the	
		residents and businesses on High Baxter Street and Lower Baxter Street, however there should		
		•	masterplan as well as numerous individual	
		be rising bollards or even a Zebra crossing on Abbeygate Street for the safety of pedestrians.	projects. Thank you for your suggestions.	
		Perhaps consideration could be given to turning the streets off Abbeygate Street into shared		
115	rooidont worker	spaces, just like Abbeygate Street itself?	Plue hedge perfine is a key requirement the fund	No obongo required
115	resident, worker	concern if it is made nodestrippined how dischlad drivers are to seese areas. they asses to see	Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
		concern if it is made pedestrianised how disabled drivers are to access areas. they cannot leave their car far from where they need to be. there needs to be better signposting indicating where	be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
		places are in the town centre - eg signposts to abbey gardens, cathedral etc. there needs to be	provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
		greater access connectivity between the Arc and Cornhill - the narrow passages do not indicate to		
		visitors to the area that there is more beyond the passage ways is there possibility that the closed	other parking nearby. There is an aspiration to	
		Post Office building could be demolished and landscaped to allow access between the two halves	improve signage to key destinations in	
		of the town? Better signposting for Rams meadow carpark to the town centre which would take	Character Area 9.	
		people pass the Cornhill Walk which would encourage it to be used for shops		
116	visitor from less than	Leaves with expanding the are and the Veriety of shape evolution	Thank you for your positive comment	No change required
447	10 miles away	I agree with expanding the arc and the Variety of shops available.	Therefore Character Area 4 includes on	
117	resident, worker			No change required
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
110	raaidant	As long as the loss of daytime parking is accommodated elsewhere See Q6 for the need for extra parking for displaced cars in the Buttermarket and Cornhill areas.	other parking nearby.	No change required
118	resident	Making it a car free area is an excellent idea and I fully support it.		No change required
119	resident, retired	St and rews st is currently very dangerous with traffic restrictions rarely enforced	comments.	No change required
120	resident		Agreed. Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
120	resident		be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
		Any allowance to be made for disabled driver parking/access? What about collection of goods	aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
		from shops, e.g. Argos - vehicle access required ?	other parking nearby.	
121		Extend the Arc? Difficult to comment without detail - any change could be negative to the existing		No change required
	10 miles away	Arc.	owners and managers of the existing facility.	
122	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away		improve surfacing and lighting in market	
		Not keen on extending Arc are but agree it should ne linked to town area.	Thoroughfare	
123	resident		Character Area 1 contains an aspiration to	No change required
			improve surfacing and lighting in market	
		Better access from Arc to Cornhill.	Thoroughfare	
124	resident		Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
		Safety of pedestrians is essential when crossing St. Andrews from the arc to the Market. The		
		buses glide silently and the area is so crowded between 8.30 and 9. Suggest 10mph limit.		
125	resident, other		The aim is to maintain a vibrant town centre	No change required
			meeting the needs of residents and visitors	
		Don't turn the town into a twee tourist attraction filled only with cafes and gift shops.	alike.	
			•	· · ·

-				
126	resident, retired			No change required
			explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
			are in separate ownerships and will need the	
			agreement of their respective owners to lose	
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
			frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
			important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
			should be protected.	
127	resident			No change required
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
		purpose ) or that used on the Angel Hill ( uneven and not suitable for wheelchairs etc. ). If traffic is		
			traffic from areas of St Andrews South. The	
			market will remain in its current location. There	
			is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to support	
			the continued success of the market	
128	resident			No change required
			through the Issues and Options consultation and	
			is included in Character Area 9. If St Andrews	
			street south is pedestrianised then alternative	
		Would like see more casual seating and trees. Am concerned that if buses are re-routed then they		
			equally convenient prior to closure.	
		days.		
129	resident			No change required
			explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
			are in separate ownerships and will need the	
			agreement of their respective owners to lose	
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
			frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
			important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
			should be protected.	
130	resident			No change required
			decision taken by the Post Office over which the	
		our Dead of the Boer war? What about the post offices move from the lovely old historian building	Borough Council had no control.	
101		to W.H.Smiths?		
131	resident			No change required
			in both stages of masterplan consultation. There	
			is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to support	
			the continued success of the market.	
		type structure as a further asset to the area The link between the Arc and Cornhill (St Andrews		
		Street) is probably the worst part of the town and its improvement is probably the most pressing		
100		part of the MAP		
132	resident		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
100	vioitor from mon	restrict traffic / buses from St Andrew's Street area behind the Arc	Character Area 1 contains on conjustice (	
133	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away,		improve surfacing and lighting in market	
404			Thoroughfare	Nie skaan en meine d
134	resident, retired		If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
			that are equally convenient prior to closure.	
		elderly and walking disabled, not just visitors to the town.		

				I
135	resident		If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
			that are equally convenient prior to closure. If	
			pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	
			be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
			currently in place elsewhere. Any	
		struggle- Unloading for delivery to the arc should be from the car park side, They make St Andrew street look and feel like an Industrial Estate.	pedestrianisation will take account of this need	
136	worker		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
		Pedestrianisation simply moves the parking a car problem to other smaller residential streets	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
137	resident, retired			No change required
			an impression rather than detail. Character Area	
			9 includes a priority to preserve and enhance the	
			character and appearance of the town centre	
		Edmunds will be lost.		
138	visitor from less than		Thank you.	No change required
	10 miles away,			
4.0.5		Definitely need to remove vehicle access and parking	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
139	resident, worker			No change required
			owners and managers of the existing facility in	
			response to commercial demand.	
140	resident		Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	No change required
			accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
		Where are disabled drivers able to park?	nearby.	
141	worker	I defend the second static difference of a second static structure of the second difference of This		No change required
			aspiration in Character Area 9 to Include	
			provision of additional tree planting, planters and	
			displays in all schemes where appropriate and	
		pedestrianised area, this should include seating and flower beds to encourage more people to sit	possible.	
		and enjoy the town centre. I agree that better access between Buttermarket and the arc is needed		
		and the current bit of St Andrews Street is often abused with through traffic using it. Maybe have		
		rising bollards for authorised vehicles only.		
142	resident, retired		If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required
142			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	no onange required
		Stopping buses from using St Andrew's Street South means passengers (most of whom are	that are equally convenient prior to closure.	
			There was strong support for pedestrianisation	
		frailest of our residents from shopping in the town centre. There must be some parking for elderly		
		(not just blue badge holders) and disabled drivers on the Cornhill and in the Buttermarket. I do not		
			Bury St Edmunds.	
		the town - not for those of us who have lived here for many years.	Bury St Lumunus.	
143	resident		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		Its all in the detail which is missing in large general statements.		
·	1		1	I

144				No change required
			Cornhill in the evening is one of the main	
			options.	
		outside their homes when dinning out for the evening. Ram meadow and the Arc seem like a		
		secondary option once all other spaces are exhausted. I feel the town Center provides a much		
		needed parking area during non business hours. I would like to see the town centre more		
		pedestrian friendly between certain hours but I thing the area would be better suited for parking at		
		an evening.		
145			Each option suggested would require the	No change required
		down an alleyway. Can there not be a covered walkway/arcade with small shops, going through	agreement of the existing owners/retailers who	
		Boots/old Woolworths/Iceland? It would mean opening up the space in the ground floor but the	would lose a significant area of prime retail	
			floorspace.	
146	resident	It wold be good to have no traffic in the Buttermarket and Cornhill. I assume that taxi's will still use		No change required
		the 'square around the Corn Exchange/Traverse', would it be an idea to have a local bus route use	alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
			that are equally convenient prior to closure. Taxi	
			provision will be accommodated in all relevant	
		proposed plan for St Andrew's Quarter , that is also assuming that King's Road will still be open for	projects.	
		traffic.		
147	resident			No change required
			the requirements to be a Supplementary	
			Planning Document and therefore become part	
			of the Borough Council's official planning	
			guidance, it is unable to specify sites for	
			development or redevelopment. Rather it	
			provides a framework for individual projects to	
			come forward.Further detail will be contained in	
		They address some of the issues raised in the draft document which in itself has quiet limited	the Delivery Plan.	
		scope.		
	resident, worker,		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
	visitor from less than		Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
	10 miles away,		Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
	visitor from more		change in response to market forces but we will	
	than 10 miles away,		have no influence over this.	
	retired, student,			
	other, prefer not to			
		LEAVE THE CORNHILL AND BUTTERMARKET AS IT IS.		
149	resident, retired		This is an artistic impression and is just to give	No change required
			an impression rather than detail. Allowing car	
			parking on Buttermarket and Cornhill in the	
			evening is one of the main options.	
		not give that impression.		
	visitor from less than		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
	10 miles away,		be considered when carrying out a viability study	
	retired		of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby. Taxi provision will be	
			accommodated in all relevant projects.	
151	resident, retired		There is an aspiration in Character Area 9 to	No change required
			Include provision of additional tree planting,	
	1		Intentore and diaptours in all asherman where	
			planters and displays in all schemes where	
		Street link is visibly poor, the junction with Kings Road (opp. Denny's) is dangerous. More trees	appropriate and possible.	
152	resident, worker	Street link is visibly poor, the junction with Kings Road (opp. Denny's) is dangerous. More trees This area could provide an opportunity for investment in electrical infrastructure and generation.		No change required

153	resident, worker		Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most	No change required
			popular streets in the town centre. Other	
			successful examples of pedestrianisation in a	
			historic centre include York and Norwich. There	
			was strong support for pedestrianisation from	
			the Issues and Options consultation. Funding	
			will come from a range of sources including	
			private investment as well as funding by public	
			bodies. The market will remain in its current	
			location. There is an aspiration in Character	
			Area 1 to support the continued success of the	
			market. We recognise there is a need to both	
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
		to Market? How will it be funded and maintained?		
154	resident, worker		Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required
		vehicular access	understood.	
155	resident, worker		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby.	
			outor parking roarby.	
	· · ·	query how much parking will be available for those with limited mobility		
156	resident	This will be a similar response to most of the plans. They are a bit like some recently heard	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		election speeches and actually seem to work quite hard on hedging their bets. Maybe saying what		
		I had hoped to hear, but then again with a large dose of 'trust me'. Town Centre - long overdue for		
		pedestrianisation. I appreciate this is not likely to be implemented overnight, but meanwhile stop		
		encouraging cars into the middle. Sign advertising parking in the market only cause more traffic. I		
		can understand a need for useful disabled parking, but not for the rest. Signage on walkways		
		between the Arc and Buttermarket is good, though obviously the St Andrews St 'service rd' is		
		ridiculous. Sad that this was compromised originally, because it certainly presents a challenge to		
		put it right.		
157	resident, worker	As long as blue badge can park nearby and delivery can be achieved. Think it will be a big plus for	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
	· ·	the town centre. Have heard people say that it will stop some of the people pooping in to.one shop		
		for one thing but think our priority should be encouraging people to visit more than one shop by		
		creating a relaxed pedestrian environment.		
158	resident		Thank you for your reponse. Please see	No change required
		Please see comments to Question 1	response to previous comment.	
159	visitor from less than			No change required
159			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	no onange required
	10 miles away,			
	retired		will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
1			accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area	
		Don't forget public conveniences are needed.	9.	

	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
160	resident, worker		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
		Has anyone from the Council spent time, standing in the Buttermarket and the Cornhill during the	be considered when carrying out a viability study	
		day, especially in the morning? if you did take notice of the tax payers of Bury St Edmunds, you	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
		would noticed that approximately 25% of the people driving in and parking in that area are	provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
		disabled approximately 40% are elderly and unable to walk very far the rest are people who are	aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
		shopping in Marks and Spencer, going to the banks, getting a prescription, eye test etc etc you	other parking nearby. There was strong support	
		cannot, if you take this parking away, make ANY provision for parking elsewhere that is near to	for pedestrianisation from the Issues and	
		those shops and banks - it is impossible we need those 100 parking spaces in the Cornhill and the		
		Buttermarket if you take them away, you will ruin the town and make people's lives so difficult that	respondents were residents of Bury St	
		they will go shopping in Morton Hall or somewhere else. not that there are any banks elsewhere,	Edmunds. The Borough Council works closely	
		apart from Barclays and since the Lloyds outside the centre of town has closed, we don't give the		
		small Barclays much longer either. it's all very well saying that we have so many tourists and it	and anti-social behaviour and is bringing in new	
		would be nice for them to sit outside in the summer $\sim$ (?) but it's the people who pay your Council	Public Space Protection Orders which will	
		tax and work here who you want to help and make their lives easier I'm sure the people who live in		
		the town are very happy to have it pedestrianised - they and the other people with their own	behaviour.	
		parking spaces have no problems just nipping to the bank etc also, it is statistically proven that		
		pedestrianised areas have a higher crime rate - we really don't need that either please take these		
		points into account, please don't spoil our town it's almost like we have to have change for the		
101	<u> </u>	sake of change when everything works ok now		
161	worker		Agreed.	No change required
		As this is a prominent part of town I feel there should be more provision for electric vehicles- its a		
		great place to display our commitment to sustainability and improving local air quality. The current		
		low emissions carpark is not fit moving forward due to tax structure alterations and lack of		
		enforcement. There should be clear parking reserved for EVs including charging infrastructure.		
		Not all bays will require charging points especially as battery technology improves but providing		
		priority spaces in prominent locations will incentivise members of the public to make the change.		
		Initially I feel this space (low emissions car park) should be available for plug-in hybrid and battery		
		electric vehicles. In time this can be restricted to battery electric vehicles only. Not only will this		
		help to improve our public commitment to sustainability but shall help to improve local air quality		
		which is an issue in a couple of localities.		
162	resident		There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to	No change required
			support the continued success of the market.	
			We recognise there is a need to both replace	
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
		The market and trading are important issues and need to be supported. Parking is always a major	parking. This is addressed under Character	
		problem.	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
163	working, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles		traffic from areas of St Andrews South. If	
	away		pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	
		If SASS is to remain open as a service road then it'll still be used by all as a cut through. The bus	be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
		service will be too far from the town centre, and skinner street is too narrow to hide the	currently in place elsewhere. Any	
		commercial bins. Current staff that currently use it have no respect for it's historic value, a few	pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
		screens and better paving won't change that.		
164	worker		Taxi provision will be accommodated in all	No change required
104	WUINCI			
		Providing taxis and private hire vehicles can access	relevant projects.	
165	visitor from more		Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
	retired	Pedestrianisation and a cafe culture is definitely the future for most towns.		
COT	than 10 miles away,	Pedestrianisatjon and a cafe culture is definitely the future for most towns.		

166       esclerit       Image regime       Image regime       Image regime       No change regime         176       sector the desite or sett on coards ty case to the Date material Coard in these and coards of the desite or coards and the desite access of the desite or coards and the desite access of the desite or coards and the desite access of the desite or coards and the desite access of the desite or coards and the desite access of the desite or coards and the desite of the desite of the desite or coards and the desite of the desite or the desi					
Image: Second	166	resident			No change required
Image: second					
Image: Instant and the second to be good to be go				town centre is recognised in Character Area 9,	
Image: Second				including secure cycle parking. Pedestrian and	
Image: space of the second space of the space o					
Image: Section of progenetics need something to improve them, but most important is the need to improve productions is not consistent a how productions is and pediadrinus, but not understanding the priprotity. The junction of the names, but not understanding the priprotity to be an improve the consistent a how productions is and pediadrinus in the constant pediadrinum balance of the names, but not understanding the priprotity to be an improve the constant pediadrinus of names of				in Character Area 6.	
Image: solution of kings Rest and same solutions have priority. The junction of St Andrews         Image: solution of kings Rest and solutions for the motions and solutions and sol			by being 'enhanced'. However St Andrews St South alongside the Arc does need enhancement,		
Street South and Kings Road need uppet utgent attention. It is confusing for both motorities and podestraines. both our diversaling their priorities.         Image: Confusion of Priorities in the second configuration of the Confusion of Priorities.         Image: Confusion of Priorities in the second configuration of the Confusion of Priorities.         Image: Confusion of Priorities in the second configuration of the Confusion of Priorities.         Image: Confusion of Priorities in the second configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the priorities in the second configuration of the configuration of the configuration of the priorities in the second configuration of the second configuration and the second configuration of the priorities in the second configuration of the second configuration the second configuration of the second configuration of the seco					
Image: construct the second			pedestrian links across the street, and make pedestrians have priority. The junction of St Andrews		
167         They will prevent while access and improve the 'climate'/pedetition character of the area, howover thar has boom obscussion of improvement to the works of access.         Thank you. The masterplan seeks to balance the No change required           188         visitor from less than 10 miles away, cuiced         Podetitianisation should be floable to accommodule parking. In particular the less miless away, cuiced         The works         No change required         The works           170         visitor from less than 10 miles away, cuiced         The works         The works         The works         The works         The works         No change required         The works           189         resident, works         The works         No change required         The works			Street South and Kings Road need urgent attention. it is confusing for both motorists and		
Image: Content of the second					
188       wiltor from less than       Pedestrainistic no should be line days and i particular in the exoning. The amphasis should be on improvide the basis and the appropriate lines. The line should be participant with new paying and street furniture without excluding cars at appropriate lines. The line should be any torm. Voke make that major retail expansion and the torm control to the without participant is a key requirement that will No change required be called participant. Note many participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note many participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note many participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note many participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note that the course of the main options.         169       resident, worker       Bue badge participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note many participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note that the course of the main options.       No change required be called participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note that the course of the main options.         169       resident, worker       Bue badge participant is a key requirement that will no change required be called participant. Note that the course of the main options.       No change required be called participant. Note that the main options.         170       visitor fram less than to course the town centre will exclude disabled residents from the area.       Disagree. Ableygate Street is on of the most poole at streets in the town centre. Will werequired the streasthill not the town centr	167			Thank you. The masterplan seeks to balance the	No change required
In miles away, relived       busy days and in particular in the evening. The emphasis should be on improving the public strained and particular intervention excluding cars as appropriate times. The illustration of a pedestrianised Comhil could be any town. Work must enhance the town carrying out a viability study of all appriators that factor or influence parking provision. Allowing car parking on Buttermarket and comhil in the evening is one of the main options.       No change required         189       resident, worker       Bue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all appriators that effect on influence parking on advance trade in the town centre to the detriment of the historic core. Expansion should be spread to maintain the current balance.       Bue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all appriators that effect on influence parking on advance trade in the town centre to the detriment of the historic core. Expansion should be spread to maintain the current balance.       Bue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study of all appriaton the account of the maintain the current viability study of all appriaton the factor on influence parking of all appriaton the account of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful axamples of padestrainabianto in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support or pedestrainabiant in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There and the factor and the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful axamples for the town centre will mean shops lose trade, closing St. Andrews st wold be a tradestrained in the town centre will mean shops lose trade, closing St. Andrews st wold be a tradestratin the town centre. Will be impaired.       N					
retired	168			Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
Illustration of a pedestrianised Comhill could be any town. Works must enhance the town centres distinctive character not dilute it. There is a risk that major retail expansion around The Arc could unbalance trade in the town contre to the detrimont of the historic core. Expansion should be spread to maintain the current balance.       Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will No change required be considered when carrying out vability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.       No change required         170       Visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired       Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most successful examples of padestrianisation from the Issues and Strainisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norvich. There was strong support for padestrianisation in fax historic centre include York and		10 miles away,			
distinctive character not dilute 1. There is a risk that major retail expansion around The Arc could ubisatione trade in the town centre to the detriment of the historic core. Expansion should be spread to maintain the current balance.       and ComMill II: the evening is one of the main options.         169       resident, worker       Removing vehicle access to the town centre will exclude disabled residents from the area.       Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will. No change required be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.       No change required         170       Visitor from less than to miles away, retired       Removing vehicle access to the town centre will exclude disabled residents from the area.       No change required         171       Worker       Access to Buttermarket will be impaired.       No change required       No change required         171       worker       Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops fore practice and casing St. Andrews st wool ad save prior to podatianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong approvide of podestimination in toxi ranks.       No change required         172       resident, retired       Buttermarket will be impaired.       Disagree. Abergate Street is on of the most historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong approvide additional parking. This is addresad undar Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         172       resident, retired       Buttermarket will be impaired.       Disagree. Abergate Street is on of th		retired			
Implementation       unbalance trade in the town centre to the detriment of the historic core. Expansion should be options.       Dispension       Implementation         169       resident, worker       Breident, worker       Bue badge parking is a key requirement that will No change required be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.       No change required         170       visitor from less than 10 wishor from the same.       Disagree. Abbeggate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation. If no the Issue and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Area 1 in the Issue and Options consultation. We recognise that is and street is no of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. We recognise that is and street and the issue and Options consultation. We recognise that is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 6, and 9.       No change required         1711       worker       Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St, Andrews st would be addressed under Character Areas 1, 5, 6, and 9.       No change required         1721       worker       Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St, Andrews st would be addressed under Character Areas 1, and State and State for bues and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congregiston. No method that wangespron for ped					
end         spread to maintain the current balance.         End           169         resident, worker         Blue badge parking is a key requirement hat will. No change required be considered when carrying out a vability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking haspirations that affect as an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.         No change required           170         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         visitor from less than 110 miles away, retired         No change required         No change required           1711         worker         Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.         Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most parket is and that for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkwy causing more congestion. No montor that affect is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.         No change required           171         worker         Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade, closing SL Andrews st would be assister for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkwy causing more congestion. No memory tasistice astrom and Options consultation. Taxi taxis.				ů, se	
169       resident, worker       Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will No change required be considered when carrying out a viability study of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision. Character Area in Includes sin aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and observations appriction to accommodate Blue Badge and observation to accommodate Blue Badge and observations appriction to accommodate Blue Badge and observations and the parking. This is addressed usamples of podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention of advarse strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for podestrinanisation in a historic				options.	
170       Visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired       Access to the town centre will exclude disabled residents from the area.       Disagree Abbaygate Street is on of the most successful examples of pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for York and Norwich. There successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for your product anisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was s					
170       visitor from tess than 10 miles away, retired       No change required       No change required         171       visitor from tess than 10 miles away, retired       No change required       No change required         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation from the issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to bot prepace and updriving. This is addressed under Character Acces to Buttermarket will be impaired.       No change required         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most successful examples of pedestrianisation from the issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to bot prepace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing 6t. Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st function consultation. Taxi the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st Would be the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews st would be	169	resident, worker			
170       Wisiter from less than 100 miles away, retired       No change required       No change required         171       No miles away, retired       No change required       No change required         171       worker       Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention taxi ranks.       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vita to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the somestiful USA. Proposals to extend dayrim pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews Street Suth), are					
Image: spiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.         aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby.           170         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         No change required         No change required           171         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.         No change required         No change required           171         worker         Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.         Disagree. Abbergate Street is on of the most parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.         No change required           1711         worker         Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing \$1. Andrews st wold be a disater for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mention of the Issues and Options consultation. Taxi the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in \$1. Andrews Street Stupped streets is on of the most the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in \$1. Andrews Street Stupped streets and Options consultation. Taxi the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in \$1. Andrews Street Stupped streets is and 0.         No change required           17/2         resident, retired         tits vitils to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the poestion isotic in an knew or the connecting of the connecting or the sources and cornhill. The present disjuncture between the poestive connectivity between Gonhill. Stabritis Street and Cornhill. Walk. Proposals to extend daytime poedstrinainsation in the tow one edid					
Removing vehicle access to the town centre will exclude disabled residents from the area.         other parking nearby.         other parking nearby.           170         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         No change required         No change required           1870         visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired         No change required         No change required           180         Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.         Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.         No change required           171         worker         Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most pauliar streets in the town centre. Uther successful examples of pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.         No change required           171         worker         Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most pauliar streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Nonwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Dybos connectivity between the ARC and Comhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planing, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street and South and caping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the sidstinch, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the sidstinction, through landascaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widenin				•	
170       visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mentior of the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in S1 Andrews Street South an udern-day Stinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Conhill, S1 John's Street and Conhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the tow neet (s S1 Andrew S1 South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required					
10 miles away, retired       10 miles away, retired       popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeggate Street is on of the most a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mention of taxi ranks.       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Comhill. The present disjuncture between the tow site displaced portions consultation. Taxi Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Comhill, St John's Street and Comhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (g St Andrews St St Out), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other resential users.       No change required					
retiredsuccessful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.No change required171workerExcluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St. Andrews st would be a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention of taxi ranks.Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. There was strong support for pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation in taxi ranks.No change required172resident, retiredIt is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furmiture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Comhill, St John's Street and Comhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the needs of other essential users.Thank you for your positive comments.No change required	170				No change required
171       worker       Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       bistoric centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St. Andrews st would be a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention of taxi ranks.       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. Taxi       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is till to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furnitize or widening of the connecting routes, is to be velcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation it he needs of other essential users.       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is to contracting of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furnitize or ordening of the connecting routes, is to be velcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill. Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation in the needs of otheres					
171       worker       Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on edet to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       No change required         171       worker       Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St. Andrews st would be a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention of taxi ranks.       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrainisation in a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention of taxi ranks.       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connectivity between the compacitive between cornhill. St. John's Street and Cornhill. Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St south), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required		retired			
171       worker       Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       bisagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion. No mention of taxi ranks.       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Comhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between comhill. St John's Street and Comhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St Stout), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required					
Image: Instrument of the second se					
Image: Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.       Image: Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       Image: Acces to Buttermarket work and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation.					
171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre, Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. Taxi       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Comhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews St reed to the twose store widening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between the two centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required					
171       Worker       Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.       Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.         171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mention of taxi ranks.       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the owne centre (gSt Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required					
Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.Accès to Buttermarket will be impaired.171WorkerExcluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St. Andrews st would be a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mention of taxi ranks.Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation. TaxiNo change required172resident, retiredIt is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between furniture or widening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.No change required					
171       worker       Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. Taxi       No change required         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrinisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required				Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between the addiscreating St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       No change required	474		Acces to Buttermarket will be impaired.		
Image: Subset in the section of the secting of the secting of the secting of the	171	worker			No change required
Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St. Andrews st would be a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mention of taxi ranks.historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation. Taxi172resident, retiredIt is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.No change required					
172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between the needs of other essential users.       No change required         No change required       No change required					
a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion.No mention of taxi ranks.       was strong support for pedestrianisation from the lasues and Options consultation. Taxi         172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       Thank you for your positive comments.       No change required			Excluding vehicles from town centre will mean shops lose trade. closing St. Andrews st would be		
172       resident, retired       It is vital to improve connectivity between the ARC and Cornhill. The present disjuncture between the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.       Thank you for your positive comments.       No change required			a disaster for buses and taxis forcing them onto parkway causing more congestion No mention of		
the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.					
the two is a legacy of poor planning, and has created in St Andrews Street South a modern-day Skinner Street. Any softening of this distinction, through landscaping, surface treatment, street furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.	172			Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
furniture or widening of the connecting routes, is to be welcomed. Likewise improved connectivity between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.					
between Cornhill, St John's Street and Cornhill Walk. Proposals to extend daytime pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.					
pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.					
they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.					
			pedestrianisation within the town centre (eg St Andrews St South), are very welcome, wherever		
			they are compatible with the needs of other essential users.		
	173	worker			No change required
Good idea to be pednestrise to make the area more attractive and more european in nature, Not Andrews Street North was identified as an issue					
sure about St Andrews Street North, can't see why this is needed yet at the Issues and Options stage.			sure about St Andrews Street North, can't see why this is needed yet	at the Issues and Options stage.	

174	resident, worker			No change required
			changes are led by car parking studies.	
		heritage. No parking/ limited parking could be fine during the summer months but on a cold wet		
		winter's day a lot of customers could be lost as they wouldn't want to walk from the car parks.		
		Could the parking vary during the year? Has there been a car survey to ask the question how		
		many people just 'pop' into town for 1/2 - 1 hour and like to park near M and S.	<b>—</b>	
175	resident, worker	in part but think very important to open up and bring these areas together - less parking and more	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
170		outside areas and wider walkways		
176	resident, retired	Disconsists that much as the OO shows is a swelified basel. Much is made of the lowerd winning		No change required
			is designed to be a flexible framework rather	
		market', and of the arc 'shopping centre', without seeming to tell us what this claim is based on.	than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different	
		Bury is now largely another cloned town with the same old shops and eating outlets, a lot of this	levels of growth and changing technologies.	
		partly based on debt-fulled consumption, and a great deal of what gets bought in these shops are		
		items which are not sustainable particularly in terms fossil fuel use/carbon consumption. Given		
		that more and more is going to be bought online in the future, real attention needs to be focused in		
		retail units which can easily be adapted to other uses in the future, to avoid rows of 'dead' shops in		
		future downturns. There seems to be very little mention of other leisure uses apart from shops,		
		and food/drink outlets. What about opportunities for small arts venues and craft workshops? The		
		market is indeed an important feature, particularly as it provides more environmentally friendly		
		opportunities to buy fruit and veg, fish, cheese, bread etc., as well as providing a range of		
		independent pop-up fast food outlets. But there is a real danger that if the pitches continue to be		
177	resident	over-priced, that it will revert to rows of stalls selling ephemera for leisure shoppers.	The masterplan cannot influence who occupies	No change required
177			shops, this is a matter of market demand.	no change required
			However, a healthy town centre should be	
		Increase in retail and leisure facilities: - More high street chains will not improve Bury. It would turn		
			other facilities. We recognise there is a need to	
			both replace any displaced parking and provide	
		•	additional parking. This is addressed under	
		, , ,	Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
		extend? - Where is and what will be the provision for art and galleries?		
178	visitor from less than		Thank you for your response.	No change required
	/	Some issues but not all.		
179	resident		All options for improving the link need to be	No change required
			explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
			are in separate ownerships and will need the	
			agreement of their respective owners to lose	
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
			frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
			important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
400			should be protected.	
180	visitor from less than		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
	10 miles away		any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There has been	
			significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking	
			during the masterplan consultation stages. We	
		As someone who lives in one of the villages hear DSL where there is no reliable bus service, my	have passed this to our colleagues in Parking	
		ווומטו כטווכבווו וז נוומג מטבעטמנב מווט ווובאצבווזויב צמותווע וז צוטיוטבע וטו נווטזב אווט וומיב נט נומיבו	Services, who will consider all options going	
		into town by car.	forward.	
181	resident		Thank you for your suggestions. Pedestrian and	No change required
		1) Pedestrian crossings are needed to safely navigate the dangerous and confusing intersection of		
			in Character Area 6. In Character Area 7 there is	
			an aspiration to Enhance pedestrian crossing	
			facilities across Kings Road from Robert Boby	
			Way area to The arc.	
		shopping centre and the main street for independent businesses would be correctly interlinked.		

182	resident, worker		Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most	No change required
			popular streets in the town centre. Other	
			successful examples of pedestrianisation in a	
			historic centre include York and Norwich. There	
			was strong support for pedestrianisation from	
			the Issues and Options consultation. Any	
			additional retail provision will be determined by	
		No to padastrianization of Carabill/ Buttermarket. Cartain people people park people ut is free to	commercial demand, as reflected in recent	
		No to pedestrianisation of Cornhill/ Buttermarket. Certain people need to park nearby it is free to	,	
		people on mrkt days. No to rerouting buses. Buses and service access only. Do we really need MORE SHOPS?!	Retail Studies.	
4.0.0	na state e t		The selection for a second second second	Nie ek en we we welve d
183	resident	I think we need to attract some more art collectives/independents as this is what gives Bury its		No change required
		character, and not be all cafes and restaurants. Attracting a John Lewis even if next to Waitrose in		
		old pc world would be good, and draw people from Norwich / Cambridge, a baby gap would be		
		good too		
184	resident		, and the second s	No change required
			organisations who assist people with additional	
			needs in developing the draft masterplan. If an	
			individual has issues which require parking	
		Making this area pedestrianised will make it extremely difficult for those people who have	closer to businesses this would be criteria for	
		disabilities but are not eligible for Blue Badges to gain access to the business in that area. There	applying for a Blue Badge.	
		are people with hidden disabilities who need to be considered.		
185	resident		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		Close Buttermarket + Cornhill only Weds + Sat for tradional market allow parking as at present.		
186	worker		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
		area. Bury doesn't have enough parking and not everyone can walk from the outer reaches of the	includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
		town. We need to encourage cars and shoppers in to town not discourage. We need better links	Badge and other parking nearby. There has	
		between the old town and the arc such that there is a seamless integration. Making this area free	been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car	
			parking during the masterplan consultation	
			stages. We have passed this to our colleagues	
		the long term, we need to encourage retailers and other business into this area too. St Andrews	in Parking Services, who will consider all options	
		Street South needs to be made more attractive as it is a very grey area	going forward.	
187	resident	Street South heeds to be made more attractive as it is a very grey area		No change required
107		Will there still be a Market on Cornhill Buttermarket area?	location.	no change required
188	prefer not to say		It is acknowledged that the southern end of Well	No change required
100	prefer flot to say		-	No change required
			Street, particularly on its eastern side does	
			relate to this area, however, it is predominantly	
		buildings to achieve this (Mountain Warehouse?). Protect existing buildings of character i.e. the	residential in character to the north and on its	
			western side. The boundaries between the	
		town centre but get no mention? • More effective police and borough enforcement of parking and	different areas are broadly indicative. The	
			process towards decriminalisation of of parking	
		McDonalds restaurant in Brentgoval street. Penalty enforcement, signage, rising bollards. This	which will provide the council with the means of	
			enforcement has already started.	
		town centre, be aware of the knock-on effect of forcing cars out into residential areas. • Extend		
		resident only parking permits to discourage visitors and illegal parking. • Monitor delivery vehicle		
		licencing hours (M&S, McDonalds and possibly new retail in Cornhill Walk redevelopment).		
189	worker, visitor from		Agreed. Character Area 9 includes a priority to	No change required
	less than 10 miles		preserve and enhance the character and	
	away	As long as they are in keeping with the materials and feel of the area	appearance of the town centre	
190	resident		Any part pedestrianisation would be undertaken	No change required
			so differentiation between the different areas is	
		Part pedestrianisation sounds like a dangerous suggestion. Walkers will think all parts are free of	clear. There is an aspiration in Character Area 9	
		traffic.Paving should be selected with care. Riven slabs can be a trip hazard and allow rainwater	to repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
		to collect in large puddles. The granite setts we have used in town are poorly maintained with	using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
		missing grouting. Where they have been repaired this has been carried out very poorly.		
I	L		L	L I

4.04	1	Ι	I <del>r</del> i i al i contrat	
191	resident	Characterization of a state of the first of the second state of th	These are both key aspirations for this area.	No change required
		Strong emphasis should be put on finding a way to create better access between Cornhill and the		
100	raaidant	Arc, difficult as that may be. St Andrew's St South should be closed to through traffic. Improved access from Cornhill to the Arc very important, including no through traffic on St	These are both key contrations for this area	No change required
192	resident	Andrew's St South	These are both key aspirations for this area.	No change required
193	resident		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
195	Tesident		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	no change required
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
		Given the success of Bury St Edmunds as a retail and recreation destination, I would like to see	for individual projects to come forward.Further	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		More specifically, the need to consider a multi storey car park nearby.		
194	resident, worker		Thank you for your positive comments. The	No change required
			need to improve cycling access and facilities	
			across the town centre is recognised in	
			Character Area 9. There are aspirations in	
			Character Area 9 to repair and maintain	
			pavements and walkways using sympathetic and	
			appropriate materials and also to optimise	
			access into and around the area for people with	
			disabilities and mobility difficulties. This could be	
		St Andrew's Street. Surely you won't be closing this to cyclists? Beware of altering Skinner Street.	achieved by addressing issues such as dropped	
			kerbs, reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and	
		area. There are far too many differing surfaces to navigate and far too few dropped kerbs at	access to shops and businesses.	
		present.		
195	resident, worker	The parking challenges in Bury suggest a radical review is needed to clear the centre of town - the		No change required
			for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set	
		0 0 1 1	out in Character Area 9.	
		activity at the Apex and consideration of related services? For example developing local		
		rehearsal rooms, or encouraging other restaurants in this sector to provide support for concert		
100		goers?		
196	resident, retired	Do not agree with rerouting buses so that they are not at back of Arc. Buses need to be where	If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
		of the Arc shopping centre - this will only be to detriment of other shopping areas and independent		
			expansion of The arc will be led by the owners	
		in long term. We don't want to end up with lots of boarded up shop premises which have disfigured so many other towns.	and managers of the existing facility in response to commercial demand.	
197	visitor from less than			No change required
131	10 miles away		any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
			includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
			Badge and other parking nearby. If St Andrews	
			street south is pedestrianised then alternative	
			locations for bus stops will be sought that are	
		•	equally convenient prior to closure.	
		St South as suggested for Ram Meadow???? for elderly.		
198	worker		Disagree. There was strong support for	No change required
		NO issue with pedestrian and traffic movement in Buttermarket or Cornhill on an everyday basis	pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options	
		at present.	consultation.	
	1	1	1	

100	1 · · · · ·				
199	worker, visitor from		Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other	No change required	
	less than 10 miles				
	away		successful examples of pedestrianisation in a		
			historic centre include York and Norwich. There		
			was strong support for pedestrianisation from		
		This will not be achieved until a proper link is provided as promised in the original plan. I do not	the Issues and Options consultation.		
200		agree with the proposal to remove parking as it will be detrimental to the town. managing and enabling accessibility *buses, cycling, pedestrians, & capitalising on green & blue	In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required	
200		spaces is a priority. Little mention of provision for children in the centre. *No green spaces - no	requirements to be a Supplementary Planning		
			Document and therefore become part of the		
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it		
			is unable to specify sites for development or		
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework		
			for individual projects to come forward.Further		
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.		
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Flan.		
201	resident	Our town would not be enhanced by enlarging the 'arc', which is totally out of keeping with the	In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required	
		historic character. Market square needs access and parking for motorists, but the PRIORITY must			
			Document and therefore become part of the		
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it		
			is unable to specify sites for development or		
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework		
			for individual projects to come forward.Further		
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.		
202			The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required	
	•		Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.		
	retired		Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still		
			change in response to market forces but we will		
			have no influence over this.		
203	resident	Past examples show that paved areas do not stabd up to market traders heavy vehicles ruining	Agreed. There are aspirations in Character Area	No change required	
		surfaces. Blue badge holders need to be parked close to shops.	9 to repair and maintain pavements and		
			walkways using sympathetic and appropriate		
			materials. Blue badge parking is a key		
			requirement that will be considered when		
			carrying out a viability study of all aspirations		
			that affect or influence parking		
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an		
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and		
			other parking nearby.		
204	resident, retired	с с с	If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required	
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought		
			that are equally convenient prior to closure. Any		
			expansion of The arc will be led by the owners		
			and managers of the existing facility.		
205	resident	This area should be kept unique to other towns - if it's pedestrianised, rather than the same block	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
		paving as every other town, look at the old photos pre traffic and take inspiration			
206		Do Part 1 first & see how it works before continuing with the rest. What about when we have		No change required	
207	other		response to previous comments. If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required	SCC questionnaire response.
201		Highways and Transport Bus stops on St Andrews Street South are very popular, as they are in	the Borough Council would work clsoely with		Received by email.
		close proximity to arc, making it convenient for passengers traveiling there. Rerouting buses away	Suffolk County Council to seek alternative		
		from St Andrews Street South would involve moving or removing these bus stops, which might	locations for bus stops that are equally		
		make methods of sustainable transport to the arc less appealing, if they are moved further from			
		the arc shopping centre. This aspiration should be balanced against other objectives of the plan. Please see the ' <i>Highways and Transport</i> ' comments in question seven.	convenient prior to closure.		

208			In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required	
1			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning		
			Document and therefore become part of the		
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it		
			is unable to specify sites for development or		
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework		
			for individual projects to come forward.Further		
		It looks your nice but where do blue bodge and other partiting go? It says 'pearby' but where is			
	na sidant natina d	It looks very nice but where do blue badge and other parking go? It says 'nearby' but where is	detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.		
000	resident, retired	this?			
209	visitor from less than			No change required	
	10 miles away	Just a car park	understood.		
210	resident, worker		Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	No change required	
			accommodate Blue Badge and other parking		
		Parking for blue badges and a few others for nipping into shops - for short term - would be good.	nearby.		
211	visitor from less than			No change required	
	10 miles away,	I think your ideas will change the whole nature of the town. It is old, serve it, don't make it look like	and enhance the character and appearance of		
	retired	everywhere else.	the town centre		
212	resident		The Victorian frontage of the former Post Office	No change required	
		Re Artists impression of Cornhill, Buttermarket Arc - not pleased to see the Post Office building in	is a very important feature overlooking Cornhill		
		it!	and should be protected.		
213	resident, other	I hope whatever gets achieved will be better in the near future.		No change required	
214	resident, worker	Clear boundaries erected to ensure vehicles and pedestrians are kept safe. (precautionary	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
		measure due to increased terriorist activity recently) Resite bus station to this area making it			
		central to town. Access via parkway. Close St Andrews St behind Boots to all traffic (commercial			
		vehicles access only during designated times only.			
015	profer pat to any		Discarse Abbayasta Street is an of the most	No change required	
215	prefer not to say			No change required	
			popular streets in the town centre. Other		
			successful examples of pedestrianisation in a		
			historic centre include York and Norwich. There		
		General comment 1) making Bury town centre less accessible will benefit out of town trading	was strong support for pedestrianisation from		
		locations and other towns. 2) town centre shops are already suffering loss of trade and profitability	the Issues and Options consultation.		
		because of high property taxes and use of the internet ->11			
216	resident, worker		This is an artistic impression and is just to give	No change required	
			an impression rather than detail. The market will		
			remain in its current location. There is an		
		Where will market go as artist's impression of Cornhill ped sq looks great but can't see hour	aspiration in Character Area 1 to support the		
		market could be integrated.	continued success of the market.		
217	resident,retired	ř ř		No change required	
			explored. The buildings on either side of the link		
			are in separate ownerships and will need the		
			agreement of their respective owners to lose		
			their commercial floorspace. The Victorian		
1					
1		ANY FAILURE TO WIDEN ACCESS LANES BETWEEN THE ARC AND THE MARKETPLACE	frontage of the former Post Office is a very		
1			important feature overlooking Cornhill and		
040	na side a t	DEFEAT ALL ASPIRATIONS FOR BETTER PUBLIC FACILITIES.	should be protected.	Annual contraction to delet	
218	resident, retired		If St Andrews Street South is pedestrianised	Amend aspiration to delete reference to	
1				redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	
1				positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
1				meet the needs of the town.	
1			removal of the bus station, but it does mention		
1			opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led		
1			to misunderstanding and needs to be amended.		
1			No proposals will be progressed without		
1			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
1			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
			meet the needs of the town centre.		
		market and the ARC.			
L	1		1		

040		A1-		No shara na mata d
219		No	Thank you for your response.	No change required
	than 10 miles away,			
	prefer not to say			
220	resident, retired	(A) Every the closure of St. Andrews St South next to the arc up to the corner of Risbygate St. Re-	Thank you for your commonto	No change required
220		routing buses and where they can go for pick-ups etc. (B) Whole road needs redesigning	Thank you for your comments.	no change required
		[Pedestrianisation from Arc through to market square is good option].		
221	resident, retired	[Pedesthanisation from Arc through to market square is good option].	Through troffic in this contact refers to troffic	No change required
221	resident, retired		Through traffic' in this context refers to traffic	no change required
			passing through an area of St Andrews Street	
			South. In order for the masterplan to meet the	
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
			there are no plans to remove the paving in	
			Skinner Street.	
	resident, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles		town centre are outside the remit of the	
	away, retired		masterplan and the control of public bodies. We	
			work, however, to encourage a wide range of	
			businesses within the town centre.	
223	resident, retired			No change required
			popular streets in the town centre. Other	
			successful examples of pedestrianisation in a	
			historic centre include York and Norwich. There	
			was strong support for pedestrianisation from	
			the Issues and Options consultation. We	
			recognise there is a need to both replace any	
			displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Character Area 1	
			includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue	
			Badge and other parking nearby.	
		the Bank P.O. Where is the parking for them in your plan.	<u> </u>	
224	prefer not to say	please could you take into consideration the provision of taxi ranks in the town. We have over 60	Thank you for your suggestion. Taxi provision	No change required
			will be accommodated in all relevant projects.	
		concern at the long walk from angel hill to the rank. The newly formed taxi and private hire council		
		forum would like our opinions heard and I would suggest a rank on angel hill would be easily		
		accommodated .		
	1			II

225	prefer not to say		All options for improving the link need to be	No change required
			explored. The buildings on either side of the link	
			are in separate ownerships and will need the	
		proposal that is supported by BTT. However, more significant proposals than just improving	agreement of their respective owners to lose	
		lighting and surfacing materials need to be made to this pedestrian route if it is to become a more	their commercial floorspace. The Victorian	
		effective link between the Arc and Cornhill/Buttermarket. There needs to be a commitment to	frontage of the former Post Office is a very	
		redeveloping adjacent buildings to provide active frontages and a widened passageway. Simply	important feature overlooking Cornhill and	
			should be protected. The suggested method for	
		should aspire to and state the requirement for these additional measures. The screening of refuse		
		areas in Skinner Street is not a particularly appropriate way of resolving the problems which	recognised, but collection of trade waste does	
			not rest with one body and will need agreement	
			with private waste collection companies. We	
			recognise there is a need to both replace any	
		improving the environment of Skinner Street has the support of BTT but a better conceived	displaced parking and provide additional	
		scheme is required than that which is incorporated in the MAP. Pedestrianisation of the	parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The need for robust	
			materials and ongoing maintenance is	
			acknowledged.	
		The loss of this parking, especially for people with disabilities, together with the servicing needs of		
		businesses will need to be fully assessed and considered before this proposal is adopted.		
		Furthermore, if pedestrianisation of the Cornhill/Buttermarket becomes an adopted proposal, the		
		authorities responsible for bringing about the scheme will need to allow for materials and		
		specifications that will be durable to ensure that damage is not caused by vehicles, particularly		
		those of market traders, which will access this area. There is evidence from other parts of the		
		town where works to the public highway have not been robust enough to withstand use by		
		vehicular traffic in the short and medium term. The failure to use adequate specifications has		
		resulted in the deterioration of the surfacing to the detriment of the appearance of the Town		
		Centre. This problem of the condition of the public highway has become aggravated by the either		
		the lack of repairs or the poor quality of the repairs that have been carried out.		
226	other		Thank you for your suggestion. The types of	No change required
			retailers trading in the town centre are outside	
			the remit of the masterplan and the control of	
			public bodies. We work, however, to encourage	
			a wide range of businesses within the town	
			centre.	
227	other		The Borough Council works closely with the	No change required
		if there are to be more sitting areas in the Cornhill and Butter market serious thought must be	Police on issues of community safety and anti-	
		•	social behaviour and is bringing in new Public	
			Space Protection Orders which will provide new	
			powers to tackle anti-social behaviour.	
		removal of litter. What arrangements will be put in place, public squalor will turn people away.		
	1			

	erplan consultation responses	Q11 - Character Area 2 - The Northern Gateway - Do the aspirations proposed for this are address the issues - Do you have any other comments?	Total Representations: 162		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from less than 10 miles away	The area between the railway station and the town centre could be improved greatly, perhaps with more direction towards St John's Street as a gateway to the town centre.	Agreed.	No change required	
2	resident, worker	New pedestrian signage should point the direction to the Historic Centre (via Northgate street) and Shopping Core (via St Johns Street)	Agreed.	No change required	
3		While a pedestrian crossing is needed. What happens to the traffic? Over the years you have pushed all the traffic onto Parkway. This proposal will only back traffic up. We have enough gridlock now at times.	will make no difference to traffic flow. However, this is not normally the situation and it is a matter of balance between traffic flow and pedestrians. When traffic is flowing freely it becomes difficult for pedestrians to cross and a crossing is required. This junction needs to be seen together with Northgate Roundabout and Station Hill/Out Northgate junction. If traffic heading west is held at Tayfen Road, it will allow the queue Northgate Roundabout to reduce, so there will be less waiting at that junction.	No change required	
4	prefer not to say	St Johns Street/Ipswich Street improve signage, encourage footfall, improve links to Cornhill, and route to the railway station. but dont make this or the other streets a rat run for cars, encourage safe cycling and walking along these streets.	Agreed	No change required	
5	resident, student	There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	Issues in this Character Area were identified during the issues and Options stage.	No change required	
6	resident		Agreed.	No change required	
7	resident	The first time I came to Bury in 1979 I was astounded at the dilapidated nature of the lower end of St Johns St and the awful Station Hill. I've remained horrified at Station Hill especially with the dreadful Forum Ct housing development and have little faith that the new build will improve matters although anything is better that Chick King etc. we need to have a welcoming gateway into town which the Beerhouse although post industrial has started (there's nothing wrong with red- brick!). There also has to be an offer to attract footfall down towards the lower end of St Johns St so entrepreneurs like Vinyl Hunter can thrive - a bit of "alternative culture" as (for example) Norwich has in spades.	Agreed.	No change required	
8	resident, retired	As before	Thank you for your response. Please see response to earlier comments.	No change required	
9		I fear that they do not go far enough in opening up the area as a link between the Railway Station and the Town in particular developing a safe and attractive passage for pedestrians between the Station and St Johns St. A significant financial investment may have to be made to fully develop the potential of this area to bring additional shoppers and workers into the town using the public transport rather that a car.	There are already adopted masterplans for Station Hill and Tayfen Road. Any regeneration will be in line with the aspirations of the masterplan.	No change required	
10		area should be zone A permit holders like the surrounding area like the surrounding roads. It is	Thank you for your suggestion. This is outside the remit of the masterplan. There is a process for requesting changes to resident parking through Suffolk County Council.	No change required	

	-			
11	-	Please avoid shared spaces as much as possible. These cause undue problems for those of		No change required
	more than 10 miles		regarding pedestrianisation and part	
	away	access to the train station by vehicles is also a priority along with enhancing the parking provision	pedestrianisation will be explored before any	
4.0		for same.	decisions are made.	
12	resident, worker	The importance of this area in supporting on effective (velocme) to the centre ocen well	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		The importance of this area in supporting an effective 'welcome' to the centre seem well		
		understood in the proposals. For one thing, this area connects the centre with the railway station		
		and this alone gives it perhaps an unparalleled opportunity to support an effective welcome. At the		
		same time, it is a complex area. One wonders whether this might be one of the most challenging		
40	na al da nat	areas under the plan to get right. Efforts should be accordingly aligned.	These way for your average tions. These are no	
13	resident			No change required
		As I mentioned before there needs to be easy and placement access from the station to the town	plans to pedestrianise further areas outside	
		As I mentioned before there needs to be easy and pleasant access from the station to the town centre by perhaps expanding St Johns street and St Andrews street and stopping cars and allow	those mentioned in Character Area 1 to balance	
			the needs of all town centre users. Shuttle buses	
		centre. Again improve the road and surrounds of those streets.	are among the options that will be explored	
4.4	visitar from loss than		across the town centre.	No change required
14	visitor from less than	area and it can be tricky for pedestrian but I also drive through it so would like to see a balance	Thank you for your comments. The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of users of all forms	No change required
	10 miles away		of transport.	
		additional housing will make it worse.		
15	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments. The masterplan	No change required
10		While it is good to strengthen links with the station the limited rail services will continue to limit the		
			information regarding routes from the rail station.	
		of St Andrew's street will have limited chance of success.		
16	resident, worker		Northgate Street is not specifically mentioned as	No change required
10			no issues were raised which needed to be	
			addressed other than pedestrian access at	
		improved signposting to match that proposed for Tayfen Road and in places improved paving. It is		
			to provide additional choice and information	
		road because there are no better places for them to stop to load and unload, which impedes the	regarding routes from the rail station.	
		flow of traffic.		
17	worker, visitor from		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
	less than 10 miles		be considered when carrying out a viability study	
	away	Again, prioritising cyclists and pedestrians is good but parking for the disabled should also be	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
		considered a top priority.	provision	
18	resident		Tayfen Road and Station Hill already have	No change required
		It is a pity the Station approach was not included in the plan area. The approach to the town from	adopted masterplans which will improve the	
		this major public transport location is, frankly, shameful and it would have raise the issue in a	appearance and amenities in these areas.	
		more significant way. It is not enough to just address the safety issues of crossing Tayfen Road a		
		fully developed design for this important gateway should be prepared.		
19	resident			No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
		This part of BSE is in real need of improvement and will make the first impact on the town for	Character Area 2. Borough Council colleagues	
			are working with Network Rail and train service	
			providers to seek to address the issue of parking	
			at the rail station.	
		restaurants under the railway arches, maybe a thought?		
20	resident		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required
		traffic lights next to roundabouts are dangerous - should know that already - drivers concentrating	a public consultation regarding roads and travel	
		on traffic flow sometimes miss the lights - either walkways over the road or subways should be	in this area, the results of which will be available	
0.1		there - maybe make station hill a complete roundabout with one way traffic flow	soon.	
21	resident, worker		<b>°</b> °	No change required
		that much enhancement or development to the Risbygate end of Parkway beyond Tayfen Road.	Network Rail and train service providers to seek	
		Don't forget the needs of car drivers who will want access to the station to use this for commuting	to address the issue of parking at the rail station.	
		beyond Bury and need a place to park their vehicle when driving into the station.	1	

22	resident	This area should be linked to the Station Hill development. Pedestrian and cycle access to the	Agreed, Character Area 2 requires integration of	No change required
		town should be enhanced with a rapid transit system - ideally driverless - giving regular access	Station Hill with the town centre.	
		between the station area and the town.		
23		St Johns Street/Ipswich Street improve signage, encourage footfall, improve links to Cornhill, and	· · ·	No change required
		route to the railway station. As previous comment.	comment.	
24	resident, retired			No change required
		This "Divide and rule" Policy is counter-productive, unhelpful and misguided. The Artists	response to earlier comments.	
		impression of the Cornhill is dishonest. It should be accompanied by an Impression of the same		
		prospect at 3pm on a Thursday in January with a north wind blowing up St. Johns Street.		
25	resident, prefer not		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required
	to say		a public consultation regarding roads and travel	
			in this area, the results of which will be available	
		zebra crossings on station hill roundabout	soon.	
26	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		Leave St Andrews Street Bus Station Alone alone		
27	resident	More electric car charging points needed		No change required
28	resident, retired	Shuttle bus from station to town, the gradients are quite demanding, and discourage shoppers/	Shuttle buses are among the options that will be	No change required
		visitors from using the train station.	explored across the town centre.	
29	resident, worker	I actually like the character of St Andrew's street in contrast to St John's street.		No change required
30	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		We need a working bus station and should increase the council tax to fund it - essential.		
31	worker	I am hoping my previous comments in this section have been retained within my submission even		No change required
		though I can't see them!! but if not pedestrian route from railway station into town needs to be	response to earlier comments.	
		greatly improved visually and ensure that pedestrians and cyclist feel happy to sue this route into		
		town. The environment outside the entrance of the railway station also needs to be improved. If		
		there are going to be more people accessing the town centre by cycle then we need to provide		
		more and better cycle storage facilities		
32	resident, retired		There are currently no plans to pedestrianise St	No change required
			Johns Street. The Borough have taken the first	
			steps to decriminalise parking enforcement,	
			which currently sits with the Police. This is a two	
		St Johns Street is, at present, a free for all as far as cars are concerned with unrestricted access	year process as it has to go through an Act of	
		St Johns Street is, at present, a free for all as far as cars are concerned with unrestricted access and free parking. St Johns Street should be the beginning of an attractive pedestrian route to the		
			year process as it has to go through an Act of	
33	resident	and free parking. St Johns Street should be the beginning of an attractive pedestrian route to the	year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.	No change required
33	resident	and free parking. St Johns Street should be the beginning of an attractive pedestrian route to the	year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.	No change required

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
34	resident	I commute daily by train to Cambridge and it is so important to raise the profile of the station within the town. Large numbers of people travel in and out of Bury St Edmunds each day using the station. The station area itself has seen significant improvements in recent years and Station Hill is now following suit with the recent demolition work but you are right to highlight the need to improve link between the station to the town centre. I have long-thought that a food market at the station or on the Station Hill area at weekends would be a good idea and could be an extension of the town centre market - perhaps offering pop-up food and drink outlets in an evening. There is clearly a need to link this area with the improvements to Area 8 the riverside for pedestrians and	Agreed. Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		cyclists.		
35	resident		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required
			a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon.	
36	resident, retired		Character Area 2 includes recognition that	No change required
		Yes, but attention need to be given to the compiegne Way gateway noting the possibility of an	outside the MAP area, Compiegne Way gateway and Station Hill are key locations. It is critical to ensure these are integrated into the wider town centre	
37	resident, retired	Not entirely. The traffic in this area will be a VERY serious problem, with hopefully the new bus station at the railway station ( a good suggestion at the meeting), commuters to-ing and fro-ing from the improved station, all those residents in the new development there going off with kids to		No change required
38	resident		Where redevelopment opportunities arise, clear	No change required
			frontages overlooking the street will establish a	
			safer and more attractive environment. These redevelopments could include retail and service	
			opportunities.	
39	resident, worker	What on earth is "The Northern Gateway Character Area of Innovation"? Where is the "Innovation"? Closing the bus station is a bad idea. This is a space that can be developed to be a welcoming gateway to the town with toilets, information centre, and good transport information. It	Innovation is what is being sought with any future development within this important approach to the town centre. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	No change required
40	resident	Bury St Edmunds Railway Station Supporters Group - comments The Railway Station Supporters Group was formed in 2015 by members of the Bury St Edmunds Society. We liaise with Greater Anglia and Network Rail making suggestions how to improve facilities at the station. We also have long term objectives including track and timetable improvements to reflect the town's increasing importance as a dormitory for Cambridge and Ipswich. The group is generally supportive of the aims outlined in the Master-plan in respect of Character Area 2, especially the improvements of links back to the town centre and better crossing points on Tayfen Road. We also see the merits of improved bus connections to the station, although we would not wish to see the removal of the existing bus station. The group has identified that one of the major constraints to the development of the station is the lack of adequate car parking, which we consider will	Thank you for your positive comments. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre. Borough Council colleagues are working with Network Rail and train service providers to seek to address the issue of parking at the rail station.	

41	resident, worker	during the christmas fair 2016 particular attention was made to bold signage directing train travellers towards the town centre via st johns st. the effect was quite dramatic for the traders in st johns st, the footfall increased dramatically, and made the street feel included in the event. the crossings and signage is to be welcomed, but it must be clear, st johns street is by far the best route into the town centre from the station and this should be clear		No change required
42	resident	Need more information about how growth in railway traffic and the likely hood of investment on the Bury - Peterborough line will impact the town and how the town masterplan can make better use of a busier train station by possibly creating a larger transport hub.	the railway station is located outside the	No change required
43	resident, retired	Ridiculous to put the buses on the street. Where is the information, the shelter in bad weather and where are the loos to be? If you must close the bus station (why?) then put it by the railway station and have a shuttle service of SMALL buses to the town centre.		
44	worker	Although the needs of pedestrians and cyclists are important, the requirement for free flowing traffic in Parkway, Tayfen Road and Ipswich Street is a high priority.	Agreed.	No change required
45	visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired	The aspirations address the issues to some extent, I fear that proposals, that might be	There are no current aspirations to pedestrianise St Johns Street	No change required
46	resident	This area has been blighted by the long delay in bringing forward a deliverable development	Thank you for your comments. We are working with Suffolk County Council, who are members of the masterplan working group, to improve safety and convenience of travelling into and around the town centre for all users	No change required
47	prefer not to say	More specific and clearly defined proposals for the suggested pedestrian and cycle links and suggested development are needed for this character area and also the railway station and the Station Hill character area. More car parking at the railway station and shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. The current and separate road junction proposals by the County Council do not include the raised pedestrian crossing at Tayfen Road and Station Hill or the wider area enhancement suggested by the Draft Masterplan.	requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan. Borough Council colleagues are working with Network Rail and train service providers to seek to address the issue of parking at the rail station. Shuttle buses will be considered acrss the town centre.	
48	resident, retired	Basically OK but query the need to concentrate on cycle access. The trains do not seem to carry large number of cyclists. We are not a cycle town in same way as Cambridge.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
49	resident	a. St John's Street is a nice street. b. Any improvement to St Andrew's Street and Tayfen Road is welcome. c. Pedestrian crossings are required at the roundabout where the Beerhouse is situated (improve safety for those arriving by train) and a crossing on St Andrew's Street North for school children who use this route to get to King Edward's and County.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
50	visitor from less than 10 miles away, other	The artist's impression shows very bland fronted premises which is seen all too often in other towns. Surely we can have more imagination in blending new buildings in?!	This is an artistic impression and is just to give an impression rather than detail.	No change required
51	resident, working	This is excellent and much needed in the Tayfen Road area	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
52	resident, retired	Parking!!!!!!!!! And please may we have some more pedestrian crossings - I take my life in my hands every time I go to the station or to Sue Ryder. When the area is redeveloped, there will be even more people wanting to cross the road.	Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required

50	an at da a t	It are that this area of the town woods to be an key and viewally and a more particular friendly.		No share na main d
53	resident	I agree that this area of the town needs to be enhanced visually and a more pedestrian friendly route through to the train station	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
54	resident	Please do not make the new architecture homogeneous and corporate - learn from Cambridge!!!!	Thank you for your observation.	No change required
55	resident, retired	No mentions of the TOC which runs the trains, they would be a key player. I'm am not completely convinced by the suggestion of a raised crossing similar to Angel Hill. This works intuit location owing to the separation of motor traffic flows, low speeds and high levels of pedestrian flow. Suggest a revisit to High Street, Borehamwood which was the inspiration for the Angel Hill arrangement	The provision of train services is outside the remit of the masterplan. Suffolk County Council have recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon.	No change required
56	resident	Hard to visualise. It definitely needs improving. Visitors find the journey from the station to Bury town centre very confusing and the surrounding area looks so run-down visitors must wonder about our claim to be a' jewel in the crown of Suffolk'.	Thank you for your comments. Tayfen Road and Station Hill already have adopted masterplans which will improve the appearance and amenities in these areas, alongside the improvements outlines in the masterplan.	No change required
57	visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	see before - this will repeat for this section	Thank you for your response. Please see response to earlier comments.	No change required
58	resident	Totally agree that there is a need to improve routes from the Railway station to the town especially for pedestrians and cyclists - there are no safe crossings and the signage is extremely poor. There needs to be far more trees on all routes and the station itself must be smartened up - it is a wonderful Grade 2 building.	Thank you for your comments. The station itself is outside the masterplan area.	No change required
59	resident, worker	It will almost certainly create more traffic issues	It may have a minor impact on vehicular traffic, but if it improves safety for pedestrians that would be a positive benefit.	No change required
60	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Could consideration of dualing the road here be given with this redevelopment.	Dualling of the road would create significant environmental damage, including demolition of people's homes and would not address the problems created by the junctions. The option was ruled out by a government inspector.	No change required
61	visitor from more than 10 miles away	This has always been a very industrial area and the quality of the buildings is shoddy. Not a good	Tayfen Road and Station Hill already have adopted masterplans which will improve the appearance and amenities in these areas, alongside the improvements outlines in the masterplan.	No change required
62	resident	Full marks given to those who have improved the entrance to the railway station making it much more attractive to travellers. Also I like the planted roundabouts - please don't remove them.	Thank you for your positive comment, these have been passed on.	No change required
63	resident	I approve of the Overview. This area has long needed to be incorporated into the Town Centre. There has always needed to be a better connection between the railway station and the Town Centre.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
64	resident	Tayfen Road should have the car lots redeveloped as these are very unsightly and also the Pizza places should be pulled down and moved to a proper part of the shopping precinct as these look like temporary buildings. Car showrooms should be on an industrial estate.	Redevelopment of the area will be encouraged by the masterplan but the sites are privately owned.	No change required
65	resident	If you are improving cycle access across this character area the accessability across the other character areas needs to be considered too so that cyclists do not find themselves stranded as at present with nowhere to go without having to get off and walk with their cycles or join busy roads. Due to the current oneway systems within this character area cycle access is very difficult. It is imposssible to get unimpeded access into town without having to dismount and walk against the flow of vehicular traffic along one way streets. Consideration should be given to cyclists being able to use one way streets the wrong way as in Kings Road and in parts of The Grid.	Cycle provision across the town centre, including secure parking, is set out in Character Area 9.	No change required
66	resident, worker	I think the planting of trees will greatly enhance the area.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required

-				
67	resident, worker		Cycle provision, including secure parking, is set	No change required
			out in Character Area 9. it is considered to be a	
		I am keen on all of these ideas. I think the only difficulty is with the cycleway. This is a very hilly	key requirement across the town centre.	
68	resident	part of town. Would anyone actually want to cycle there? An electric shuttle bus would encourage more use of the Railway, it is quite a walk to the Town	Thank you very much, this has been a popular	No change required
00	resident	Centre especially if you are elderly or infirm	point and we will consider this further.	no change required
69	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Not a good idea to remove the bus station	meet the needs of the town centre.	
70	resident, retired		Area 9 includes a priority to preserve and	No change required
10		Tayfen Road area already marred by hideous flats. I do not object to new buildings at all, but the	enhance the character and appearance of the	
		quality of architecture in recent years in Bury St Edmunds has been appalling. Finest example of	town centre.	
		new build is Handelsbank building. Poor examples are just about everything else - especially the		
		Cinema complex and the hideous wood and wire covered box near it.		
71	worker, visitor from		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
	more than 10 miles	The idea of making it a greener space is very positive. There is also an issue with the lack of		
70	away, student	footfall, particularly with tourists, on these streets, so making them more appealing is a good idea.	Derevel, Courseil collegences are working with	
72	resident	The plan fails to address the needs of people leaving, or worse still arriving, by train. It is one of	Borough Council colleagues are working with Network Rail and train service providers to seek	No change required
		the few stations in the country which has absolutely no carpark for cars meeting passengers (or	to address the issue of parking at the rail station.	
		seeing disabled or vulnerable passengers to the platform). Most stations have some free 20		
		minute spaces for this. Bury only has one parking rate at the station - which is for the whole day,		
		all of which spaces are used almost all day, every day. Although not currently in the council's		
		remit, the failure to secure station parking will have a deleterious effect. SImilarly, strangers		
		arriving at the town have no information re. onward buses towards the town and to the South and		
		East. The bus stop on station hill is not visible from the station and there are no clear signs or		
		pedestrian crossing to the stop, where there is no shelter, no information boards and not even any		
73	resident, worker,	timetables. So the stop is very rarely used - no one knows when a bus might turn up.	The mesternian does not propose any shanges	No change required
13	retired		The masterplan does not propose any changes to bus and coach parking at the station, which is	No change required
	Tellieu		outside the masterplan area. However, separate	
			discussions are taking place with Network Rail,	
		It is a long walk for pedestrians from the rail station to the centre and is up and down hill. Where	which need to take account of the masterplan.	
		will the busses and coaches stop?		
74	resident, worker		This is an area which does require	No change required
			improvement. The companies which operate	
		Improve access and car parking for the two pizza delivery businesses because the area at present		
		is relatively run down, difficult to get in and out of and has a fairly shoddy excuse of a car park outside.	and maintenance of their premises.	
75	worker, visitor from		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required
	less than 10 miles	However the crossing is too close to that roundabout. Not well thought through, much like the	a public consultation regarding roads and travel	
	away	crossing between the train station and the back of tescos. Also so maybe needs to be a traffic light		
		system, it gets dangerous having other crossings	soon.	
76	resident	Clear signposting needed for access to town and other facilities e.g. hospital.	Agreed.	No change required
77	resident	Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character		No change required
		Areas in the masterplan. At the moment, the area around Tayfen Road and Station Hill is	the masterplan working group and will be	
		dilapidated and run-down. The new development proposed next to the railway station will improve		
		the character of the area and make it more attractive for visitors arriving by train. However, more attention needs to be given to Station Hill and this needs to be seen as part of the gateway	masterplan as well as numerous individual projects. Thank you for your suggestions.	
		between the station and the town centre.	projecto. Thank you for your suggestions.	
78	resident, worker		Shuttle buses are among the options that will be	No change required
	.,	maybe a landtrain between the station and the town centre	explored across the town centre.	
<u>.                                    </u>		mayor a landian between the station and the town benue		I I

70	rocidont		The masternian intends to be empirique in the	No change required
79	resident		The masterplan intends to be ambitious in the	No change required
			context that what is proposed is realistic and	
0	raaidaat	over optimistic With proposed development work along Tayfen Road, use as opportunity to widen the road to	deliverable.	No oberge required
80	resident		Thank you for your suggestions. This option was	no change required
		ccommodate 3/4 lanes, and change Station Hill to one way (out of town only) and introduce	ruled out by an inspector	
		giratory system to avoid congestion in Fornham Road/Out Northgate as seen now. Put traffic lights		
		on all roundabout exits/entrances to avoid the clogging up of roundabouts as now happens on		
		Northgate Roundabout due to crossing at side of Tax Assist premises. Change pedestrian		
		crossing ner railway bridge to light controlled.		
81	visitor from less than		Cycle provision is considered to be of key	No change required
	10 miles away		importance across the town centre and received	
		Cycle access improvement could cause more problems and be of limited value to serve bus a	strong support during the Issues and Options	
		few.	consultation.	
82	visitor from less		Cycle provision is considered to be of key	No change required
	than 10 miles away		importance across the town centre and received	
			strong support during the Issues and Options	
		Is cycle access really necessary. Where do all the cycles/cyclists come from?	consultation.	
83	resident, retired	They do not address the difficulties experienced by residents of Northgate street who live on the	Not clear which part of Northgate Street this	No change required
		opposite side of the road to the car parking. Another crossing facility is desperately need by old	relates to, but the principle of improving	
		and young too.	pedestrian crossing points is acknowledged.	
84	resident, worker	This is an important issue and moves could be made on the signage at an early date.	Agreed.	No change required
85	resident		Redevelopment of the area will be encouraged	No change required
			by the masterplan but the sites are privately	
		Car show room on St. Andrew street South is not an idea view on the way into town.	owned.	
86	resident, other	Needs attention	Agreed.	No change required
87	resident, retired		It may have a minor impact on vehicular traffic,	No change required
51		"NO" to traffic lights/ pedestrian crossing at junction. It will slow all traffic and will be just a bottle	but if it improves safety for pedestrians that	
		neck.	would be a positive benefit.	
88	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
50	resident		policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the Compeigne Way area and this is included	
			within Character Area 2.The Borough have	
			taken the first steps to decriminalise parking	
		Need to include the area between St Saviour's interchange and the railway station. Traffic	enforcement, which currently sits with the Police.	
		restrictions need to be strongly enforced from Cornhill and along St John's Street and signage	This is a two year process as it has to go	
			through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to	
		parked where they like, blocking the road, and causing problems especially when vehicles are	be in place during 2019.	
		trying to make deliveries.		
89	resident	Hopper bus between railway stn/town centre/ Angel Hill area. Not all vistors arriving by train are	Shuttle buses are among the options that will be	No change required
		capable of long walk- most of it uphill.	explored across the town centre.	
90	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus station and replace with
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		Do not move or lose the bus and coach station, I run a small coach tour operation, and what we	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		have is perfect. I live in St. Andrews St (N) and using it as a 'Bus Station' is bloody daft, Enhance	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		the street, by closing car wash and car sales, develop a garden area.		
		Ithe street by closing car wash and car sales devolop a gardon area		

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

				<b>.</b>
91	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre. Review	
		The bus station must be demolished with an enquiry office, newsstand, lavatories and a café, all	options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision	
i		properly manned -with proper easy access to the railway station -ditto for that too. a good park and		
		ride system is essential (like Cambridge's)		
92	resident		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2. As you mention, Suffolk	
		As stated before, extend the area to the Railway Station In a recent Suffolk CC consultation I	County Council have recently carried out a	
		suggested that the development of a giratory system involving Out Northgate, Station Hill and	public consultation regarding roads and travel in	
		Tayfen Road would greatly enhance traffic flows in the area. It would also facilitate the	this area, the results of which will be available	
		establishment of cycle paths around this important gateway	soon.	
93	resident	Yes, easier access to the town, across Tayfen Road, for pedestrians from the railway station is	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		desperately needed, as are clear directions to the town centre.		
94	resident, retired		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required
		There was talk about making Station hill one way, with traffic flow going over station hill from	a public consultation regarding roads and travel	
		Tayfen Road, and with traffic coming from Fornham road going up to the Tayfen Road	in this area, the results of which will be available	
		roundabout.	soon.	
95	resident		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required
			a public consultation regarding roads and travel	
			in this area, the results of which will be available	
		MORE PARKING AREAS AT STATION AND EASIER DROP OFF AND PICK UP FOR BUSES.	soon. Borough Council colleagues are working	
		IMPROVE TRAFFIC FLOW FROM FORNHAM ROAD UP STATION HILL AND CHANGE ZEBRA	with Network Rail and train service providers to	
		CROSSING UNDER RAILWAY BRIDGE, A NASTY ACCIDENT IS JUST WAITING TO HAPPEN	seek to address the issue of parking at the rail	
		THERE	station.	
96	resident, retired	I don't Tayfen Rd, is an aproch for the town centre. Is all the building shown on the left new and all	There is an existing adopted masterplan relating	No change required
		the trees eventually will lift the pavements the leaves cause slippery surface. whats going to	to development along Tayfen Road. It does not	
		happen to tayfen house, old people home.	have any impact on Tayfen House.	
97	resident, worker		The development of the Tayfen Road and	No change required
		Not sure shops are needed in Tayfen Rd. After all it is not far from the main shopping area. Just a	Station Hill areas may result in the demand for	
		more attractive and pedestrian friendly environment will be great.	retail but this will be market led.	
98	worker		Thank you for your comments. Cycle provision	No change required
		Better signposting is definitely needed and the walk into the town centre needs to be more	across the town centre, including secure	
		attractive. I always see people waiting to cross at the roundabout at the bottom of St Johns Street.	parking, is set out in Character Area 9	
		Cycle paths are also needed - and cyclists should use them rather than holding up traffic on the		
		roads. Too many of the cycle paths end abruptly almost throwing you back into traffic.		
99	resident		Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required
		traffic still o/s	understood.	
100	resident, worker	Please do not use them horrible metal trees. Never meet anybody who likes them.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
101	resident, worker	I think this is a high priority. Visitors arriving by train get a very bad first impression of the town.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		By integrating it is hoped that more residents will also venture down towards the station, as well as		
		those who are coming up from the station.		
102	resident	with the present work going on at Station Hill, it would be good to make the route from/to	Agreed.	No change required
		Cornhill/Railway Station much more of an inviting walk		
103	resident	Safe crossing from the station is very important, and seems to be a long time coming. More	Agreed.	No change required
		signage required.		

nd aspiration to delete reference to	
veloping the bus station and replace with a	
tive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
t the needs of the town.	
han na manuina d	
hange required	
hange required	
liange required	
hange required	
5 1	
hange required	
hange required	
hange required	
hange required	
hange required	
hange required	
anange required	
hange required	
5 1	
hange required	
hange required	
hange required	
- •	
hongo required	
hange required	

104	resident, worker,			No change required
	visitor from less than		response to earlier comments.	
	10 miles away,			
	visitor from more			
	than 10 miles away,			
	retired, student,			
	other, prefer not to			
	say	LEAVE WELL ALONE		
105	resident, retired			No change required
			Network Rail and train service providers to seek	
		have a second	to address the issue of parking at the rail station.	
100	na state of successions	Improve the flow to the station, provide parking at station		
106	resident, worker		Agreed. The proposals may have a minor impact	No change required
		I lower the main shallower for an even sing and evelope and evelope in the values of traffic if	on vehicular traffic, but if it improves safety for	
		However, the main challenge for encouraging pedestrians and cyclists is the volume of traffic if	pedestrians that would be a positive benefit.	
407	na state of successions	this is addressed in other areas then apologies	The all second and a still second second	Ne shara na manda d
	resident, worker	Improvements to signage and routes to railway station good.		No change required
108	resident, worker		Disagree. Many people arrive to Bury St Edmunds by train. Improving routes to the rail	No change required
		Its too for to walk for most poople, a wasta of manay for competing that will be little used	station was strongly supported in the Issues and Options consultation.	
109	resident, retired	Its too far to walk for most people, a waste of money for something that will be little used		No change required
109	resident, retired	I had the most problem understanding this one. Surely St Johns St is a ready made desireable	choice and information regarding routes from the	
		entry to the town from the North? Yet the inference seems to be to assist in using St Andrews St	rail station, including St Johns Street, St	
		which is an eyesore.	Andrews Street and Northgate Street.	
110	resident, worker	Think a footbridge from Railway station to St Andrews St could make it easier.for pedestrians and		No change required
110	resident, worker	keep traffic flowing	likely to be cost prohibitive.	no change required
111	resident, retired			No change required
			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
			Character Area 2. Borough Council colleagues	
		The railway station is not covered in the plans and I am surprised and disappointed that no	are working with Network Rail and train service	
		thought appears to have been given for adequate car parking at the station. I use it regularly and	providers to seek to address the issue of parking	
		often have to park an unacceptable distance away from the station as parking on-site is very	at the rail station.	
		limited. In fact some nearby parking was lost recently.		
112	resident, worker		Borough Council colleagues are working with	No change required
•••=			Network Rail and train service providers to seek	
		You need to organise a proper car park for the railway station so many more people are using the	to address the issue of parking at the rail station.	
		trains and now there is no-where to park this is the sort of help the town needs		
113	worker		Borough Council colleagues are working with	No change required
			Network Rail and train service providers to seek	÷ .
		There should be increased parking provision for those wanting to use rail transport.	to address the issue of parking at the rail station.	
114	resident	To me this has always been an unattractive and neglected part of town. These proposals will		No change required
		appear to improve the situation.		
115	worker, visitor from		We are working with Suffolk County Council,	No change required
-	more than 10 miles		who are members of the masterplan working	
	away		group, to improve safety and convenience of	
			travelling into and around the town centre for all	
		-Because the characterful buildings have already been demolished, they should've been kept and	users. The development of the Tayfen Road and	
		used accordingly. The plans don't cover or allow the additional traffic coming into town, who will	Station Hill areas may result in the demand for	
		shop at the new places along SASN, and where will their staff	retail but this will be market led.	
116	resident, retired			No change required
110		my previous comments regarding traffic flow are relevant to this area.	response to earlier comments.	
117	resident			No change required
			•	
117	resident	This is the number one area for improvement. There should be an electric hopper bus from the station to town & back for those of limited mobility as Station Hill can be challenging for some. This could be paid for partly by the BID/Town Council as it will benefit businesses & town centre dwellers most.	Shuttle buses are among the options that will be explored across the town centre.	No change required

Centre. At present any visitor arriving by train confusing and potentially hazardous route. If flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are to miles away, retired121visitor from less than As is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, th the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and sa are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear component is needed.	prove the pedestrian links from the station to the town in and wanting to walk to the town centre has to take a But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. Drt from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan rimprovement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	Station Hill areas may result in the demand for retail but this will be market led. As you mention, Suffolk County Council have recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required No change required No change required No change required No change required	
119residentThe most important proposal here is to impr centre. At present any visitor arriving by trai confusing and potentially hazardous route. E flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cen these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	prove the pedestrian links from the station to the town in and wanting to walk to the town centre has to take a But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. Drt from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan rimprovement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	retail but this will be market led. As you mention, Suffolk County Council have recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required No change required No change required	
119residentThe most important proposal here is to impr centre. At present any visitor arriving by trai confusing and potentially hazardous route. E flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.121resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, th the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	prove the pedestrian links from the station to the town in and wanting to walk to the town centre has to take a But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. Drt from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan rimprovement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	As you mention, Suffolk County Council have recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required No change required No change required	
119residentThe most important proposal here is to impr centre. At present any visitor arriving by trai confusing and potentially hazardous route. E flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.121resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, th the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	prove the pedestrian links from the station to the town in and wanting to walk to the town centre has to take a But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. Drt from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan rimprovement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required No change required No change required	
The most important proposal here is to impr centre. At present any visitor arriving by trait confusing and potentially hazardous route. If flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, th the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear co town are to commuters. The curvilinear co town are becoming a commuter town for Cambric are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear co town are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear co town are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear co town are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear co town are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear co town are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase	in and wanting to walk to the town centre has to take a But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this use traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. For from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. If y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate to the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. If a railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. The walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required No change required No change required	
centre. At present any visitor arriving by train confusing and potentially hazardous route. If flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are to miles away, retired121visitor from less than 10 miles away, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, th the addition of are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	in and wanting to walk to the town centre has to take a But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this use traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. For from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. If y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate to the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. If a railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. The walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	regarding roads and travel in this area, the results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
confusing and potentially hazardous route. E flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	But any solution should not significantly interrupt the inty Council recently published some proposals for this uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. Due to the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. If y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan or improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate to the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. If a present both uninviting and twe both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern if Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. The walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	results of which will be available soon. Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
flow of traffic along Tayfen Road. The Cour area which seemed to be wanting to introdu slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross w120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are 10 miles away, retired121visitor from less than 10 miles away, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	Inty Council recently published some proposals for this uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. Interference for the term of the central and other areas of the entry point to the central area. Interference for the term of	Any changes to public transport provision will need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
area which seemed to be wanting to introduce slowed down to allow pedestrians to cross we120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpone such as adding "counter direction" bus routed and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway approach is needed.122resident, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed.123workerThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improve town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear combination of greenery is important,123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear set of commuters. The curvilinear set of commuters. The curvilinear set of commuterset for the set of commuters. <td>uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. ort from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan rimprovement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and twe both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We</td> <td>need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.</td> <td>No change required</td> <td></td>	uce traffic lights, as is seen on Angel Hill traffic can be without the need for traffic lights. ort from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan rimprovement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and twe both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are 121121visitor from less than 10 miles away, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cen these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	without the need for traffic lights. ort from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
120resident, workerThere are options to improve public transpo such as adding "counter direction" bus route and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	brt from the Rail station to the Central and other areas es (opposite to car traffic) and these must be frequent rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
such as adding "counter direction" bus routed and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are and practical joining the whole BSE MAP are approach is needed which defines highway approach is needed which defines highway retired121visitor from less than 10 miles away, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cen these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	rea, not just to the central area. y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	need to be agreed with operators and providers. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
121visitor from less than 10 miles away, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
121visitor from less than 10 miles away, retiredAs is acknowledged, this is the key gateway approach is needed which defines highway the station and its links back to the town cer these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambric increase the number of commuters. The cur	y but offers the poorest first impression A masterplan improvement as well as access to and activity around ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
retiredthe station and its links back to the town cert these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	ntre. Will current development in this area frustrate o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	policy in Vision 2031. However we recognise the importance of the Compeigne Way area and this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
these ambitions? The area should extend to A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	o the entry point to the town centre at the A14 junction. e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the course of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
A bolder approach is needed.122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	e railway station is at present both uninviting and we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the ourse of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	this is recognised within Character Area 2 Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
122resident, retiredThe northern approach to the town from the difficult to navigate, and proposals to improv town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear co boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the ourse of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.		
<ul> <li>difficult to navigate, and proposals to improvious town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear consistence of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the historia serve to emphasise and reinforce the historia the addition of greenery is important ,</li> <li>124 resident, worker More car parking at the railway station and serve to emphasise and commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear construction of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the historia serve to emphasise and</li></ul>	we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the ourse of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We			
<ul> <li>difficult to navigate, and proposals to improvious town are to be welcomed. The curvilinear consistence of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the historia serve to emphasise and reinforce the historia the addition of greenery is important ,</li> <li>124 resident, worker More car parking at the railway station and serve to emphasise and commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The curvilinear construction of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the historia serve to emphasise and</li></ul>	we both the signage and pedestrian/cycle access to the ourse of Tayfen Road marks the historic northern Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We		No change required	
boundary of the town and southern edge of serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	Tay Fen, and any new development along it should ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	Thank you.	No change required	
serve to emphasise and reinforce the histori123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	ric character of this boundary. the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	Thank you.	No change required	
123workerThe answer is it will try too, apart from hill, the addition of greenery is important ,124resident, workerMore car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	the walk from the station could be better that it is now, shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	Thank you.	No change required	
the addition of greenery is important ,           124         resident, worker         More car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur	shuttle bus links to the town centre are needed. We	Thank you.	No change required	
124 resident, worker More car parking at the railway station and s are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur				
are becoming a commuter town for Cambrid increase the number of commuters. The cur				
increase the number of commuters. The cur		Shuttle buses are among the options that will be	No change required	
	dge and the development arund the station will	explored across the town centre. Borough		
County Council do not include the related as	irrent and separate road junction proposals by the	Council colleagues are working with Network		
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	edestrian crossing at Tayfen Road and Station Hill or	Rail and train service providers to seek to		
the wider area enhancement suggested by t	the Draft Masterplan. The route from Station Hill must	address the issue of parking at the rail station.		
be improved.				
125 resident, worker again in part - need to improve look of town	n from railway station area	Thank you.	No change required	
126 resident, retired Please note that my 'yes' to Q10 above is a	a qualified 'yes' The sketch showing mixed	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
development along Tayfen Road stretches t	the imagination. At present this area is blighted by			
seriously bad air quality and noise from very	y high traffic levels. Unless through traffic including			
school and shopping traffic can be all but eli	liminated, this 'gentle' street image shown, stands no			
chance.				
127 resident Trees - SEBC. Havebury or SCC do not have	ve money or staff to look after the trees and planted	Talk to Damien	No change required	
	ry. So who would be paying for planting and the long			
	anning is being made to ensure that any trees and			
	any paving to be suitable, safe and accessible to all,			
not just aesthetically pleasing like the paving	g around Angel Hill. This is uneven and lethal when it			
is wet.				
128 residentvisitor from As someone who lives in one of the villages	s near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my	Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required	
less than 10 miles major concern is that adequate and inexpen	nsive parking is provided for those who have to travel	response to earlier comments.		
away into town by car.				
	d areas should be extended to include St John's St		No change required	
	shel) at least on Wednesdays, Saturdays and Sundays			
	ntre, the old shopping centre and the main street for	pedestrianised has not been strongly supported		
	interlinked. 2) The bus station's current location	during consultation.		
	enated. 3) A refuse bin should be located outside Pea	-		
Porridge/The Cannon.				
	he station and town centre for cyclists and pedestrians	Shuttle buses are among the options that will be	No change required	
easier access for people who are less mobil		explored across the town centre.		
Andrews St North returning via St John's St	lie is needed. A shuttle bus from the station to St			

131	resident		Thank you for your comments. The masterplan	No change required
		I do not think a crossing like Angel hill would work - a zebra crossing or lights would be better. Signage definitely needs improving , and street furniture/bins on end of St. John's street as pavements feel narrow	will seek to provide additional choice and information regarding routes from the rail station.	
132	prefer not to say	• Protect and encourage the unique retail operations in St John's Street. This is a wonderful and vibrant part of the town's retail provision and needs to be supported. • The preferred route from the Railway station to the town centre should be via St John's Street and not St. Andrews Street. Only coaches and buses should be directed to St Andrews Street. • This will involve improved signage along the whole route and the creation of a pedestrian crossing at the roundabout on Tayfen Road. this will also benefit children walking to any of the upper schools. • Give serious consideration to a footbridge from the railway station across Tayfen Road to Ipswich Street (St.John's). The hills and general topography either side would reduce the need for expensive ramps or stairs. • The residential area between St Andrew's Street and Northgate Street, encompassing Well Street, Orchard Street, Cannon Street etc is a densely populated community of many small houses alongside St John's Church and some grander early Victorian houses. It has its own clear identity, later than say Churchgate, but still its own area of character - unfortunately marred by the uncontrolled traffic passing through and illegal parking. • The fabric of St. Andrews North and Tayfen Road needs significant improvement and all options would be supported, including a pedestrian crossing. We would not support the development of an on-street bus facility – this would create chaos and confusion. The existing bus station should be developed as a bus/coach/P&R drop and not redeveloped for any other use. • The bus station, as a minimum, should be expanded, staffed, have an information point, toilets and integrated into the town travel plans so that the use of public transport is once again seen as a safe and reliable option. Currently, many people waiting for their bus or coach use the adjacent library as a waiting area!	No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	meet the needs of the
133	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Please ensure the materials used are in keeping with the architectural design and feel	Character Area 9 includes priorities to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre	No change required
134	resident, retired	The raised crossings suggested could be a problem. The one on Angel Hill is treated by some drivers as a chicane they try to get through as fast as possible. It takes quite a while to cross here as you have to wait for someone to slow or stop to let you across safely. Should it be a pelican type crossing?	Suffolk County Council have recently carried out a public consultation regarding roads and travel in this area, including crossings, the results of which will be available soon	No change required
135	resident, retired	Make St John's Street the preferred route from the station. Give serious consideration to an elevated walkway across Tayfen Road. Embed way marks in the pavement.	Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan will seek to provide additional choice and information regarding routes from the rail station.	
136	resident	Foot traffic from railway station should be directed up St John's St. A bridge over Tayfen Road?	Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan will seek to provide additional choice and information regarding routes from the rail station.	
137	resident	Measures to further pedestrianise and make this area more cycle friendly, will require greater bike safety measures, including safe, places cyclists can securely leave their bikes.	Cycle provision across the town centre, including secure parking, is set out in Character Area 9.	No change required
138	resident, worker	The junction of Compiegne Way and Etna Road must be made safer for local residents. Cyclists and pedestrians should be able to leave the railway and enter the town in a safe and straightforward manner. More cycle parking needed at this end of town as current provision at the station is woeful (yes, this includes the new stacking bike rack which is poorly designed and doesn't address the capacity issue for cycle commuters). Any new cycle parking should consist of wide Sheffield racks under cover.	The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031 and the station and Compeigne Way are outside of this area and aspirations for these areas cannot be included. However, we recognise the importance of these areas and they are included in Chracter Area 2.	No change required
139	resident, worker	Suggest build in support of further independent retail development in St John Street. As we are improving signage to improve links to the town centre we can take advantage of the people who will pass the route. Are there any particular issues to pursue to fulfil the overview first sentence 'The Northern Gateway Character Area of innovation' Innovation didn't come through.	The masterplan cannot influence who occupies shops, this is a matter of market demand. However, a healthy town centre should be capable of attracting a wide range of shops and other facilities and any increased footfall in St Johns Street should provide a positive benefit.	No change required

delete reference to s station and replace with a provide bus facilities to ne town.	

	1		1	1	
140	resident, retired		Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan	No change required	
			will seek to provide additional choice and		
		know who should give way to whom. I thought govt. was not recommending against ramps as they	information regarding routes from the rail station.		
		cause pollution, I suggest dropped kerbs instead. Proposal for more buildings nearer to street			
		edge leads to build up of pollution from traffic and fumes trapped between buildings e.g. Cross			
		Street in Sudbury. Suggest that there is an environmental audit of all proposals in the Masterplan			
		to ensure not adverse effects on health in terms of increased vehicle pollution.			
141	worker, visitor from		Issues in this Character Area were identified	No change required	
	less than 10 miles		during the issues and Options stage.		
	away	Don't understand why this is seen as a priority.			
142	resident, retired	This area should be the top priority	Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
143	resident	1ST PRIORITY should be to provide bus stop with shelter for passengers going to town centre.	Thank you for your suggestion. The rail station is	No change required	
		Improve signage and environment	outside the masterplan area.		
144	resident	Our plan must include SOCIAL HOUSING with off-road parking and garden included in the		No change required	
		design. A wide verge to the road with trees included is important to make a safe and pleasant	policy requirement.	ů i	
		environment to encourage walking - see Spring Lane as example.			
145	resident, student		Where areas are not specifically mentioned in	No change required	
		small gardens and few social areas & no playgrounds. The population, esp. of families, is higher	the draft masterplan, it is because no issues		
			within the remit of the masterplan have been		
		of a rat run for fast cars & lorries. Moyses hall area could be enhanced. new development at	identified.		
		Cornhill Walk an amazing opportunity for landscaping and enhancing this area. St Johns & Pease			
		Porridge = oasis of calm, need seating to encourage use by children & community.			
146	other		Thank you for your comments and ongoing	No change required	SCC questionnaire response.
140	ounci	Highways and Transport - The County Council and the Borough Council are already working	support.		Received by email
		logether to better connect the train station and the town centre for pedesthans and cyclists.	Support.		
		Consultation took place between 10th July and 7th August 2017 on measures at the roundabout			
		connecting Northgate Street, Out Northgate, Tayfen Road and Compiegne Way, and the			
		roundabout connecting Tayfen Road, Ipswich Street and Station Hill designed to improve safety			
		and connectivity for pedestrians and cyclists. The results of this consultation are still to be collated			
		and released, but it is understood that they will be taken into account in the Masterplan. The			
		County Council is also developing a scheme to improve cycle links between the station and town			
		centre via Northgate Street and Cannon Street. The County Council will continue to work with the			
		Borough Council to improve connectivity and safety within Bury St Edmunds. Please see the			
		'Highways and Transport' comments in question seven. Archaeology - The Aspiration 'improve			
		information about the town centres heritage and areas of interest for visitors and residents alike' in			
		the 'Across the Town Centre' Character Area is welcome, but in the Northern Gateway the town			
		defences were definitive of Tayfen Road. Improving information about this below ground feature			
		has the potential to be its own aspiration in this Character Area. The feature could also be used to			
		inform design, or worked into development in other ways.			
147	residents, retired		Suffolk County Council have recently carried out	No change required	
		The Crossing places at present are very dangerous at times. Would these new crossings be	a public consultation regarding roads and travel		
		pelican ones? Would these affect the flow of traffic though. New signage etc. Would greatly aid	in this area, including crossings, the results of		
		new visitors to Bury.	which will be available soon		
148	Visitor from less	Access for cars another	Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required	
	than 10 miles away		understood.		
149	resident,worker	Could be ok?	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required	
	Visitor from less			No change required	
	than 10 miles away,		and other areas where issues are longstanding		
	retired	I don't think you really know how to cope with this area, it has been pondered over for years and	is one of the main objectives of having a		
		nothing sensible have come out of it.	masterplan.		
151	prefer not to sav			No change required	
			including long and short stay provision.		
		TIO DE CONSIDELED AS A TOWN CENTRE CAL DARK. SIMONY, MORE ALE NO SUBADIE IOCAMONS TOL ADOMONAL			
		to be considered as a town centre car park. Simply, more are no suitable locations for additional new car parks ->13	including long and short stay provision.		
152		new car parks>13		No change required	
151	prefer not to say	3) Existing car parks (Parkway, Tayfen Rd) are far from from Cornhill. Ram meadow is too far too	A variety of parking solutions is required	No change required	
	resident, worker			No change required	

	resident, retired		Widening Tayfen Road would not increase its	No change required
		Tayfen Rd needs widening.	capacity, but would create a larger barrier to pedestrian movement.	
54	visitor from more	No.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
54	than 10 miles away,			No change required
	prefer not to say			
	prefer not to say			
55	resident, other		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		See comments re 6.	response to previous comments.	
56	resident, other		Thank you for your suggestion. The rail station is	No change required
	negislant netingd	Access to station (rear entrance) from A14 Footbridge would be helpful.	outside the masterplan area.	
57	resident, retired	Particularly need for links to railway station (including the station) to be improved. Se character area 3 comments.	Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required
58	resident, retired		The boundary of the masterplan is set by the	No change required
50			policy in Vision 2031 and the station is outside of	
			this area. However we recognise the importance	
			of the station and this is included within	
		Activity Introduce new uses ouch as? Surely the relivery station itself should be included	Character Area 2	
59	resident visitor from	Activity: Introduce new uses - such as? Surely the railway station itself should be included. I agree we need better access to the town centre from the railway station. Station Hill needs	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
59	more than 10 miles	tasteful development in keeping with a historic town, not copying other towns with all their modern	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
	away, retired	constructions Remember this is an old town and attract people to that idea.		
60	resident, retired		This junction is included as part of a wider	No change required
			scheme of improvements proposed by Suffolk	i to onango i oquirou
			County Council and subject to separate public	
		What about widening the road to avoid the present Jams at the bottom of Station hill where the	consultation, which will, in turn, inform the	
		roundabout it - at the IPSWICH St. Junction.	masterplan.	
61	other		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to p
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Keen the Rue Station where it is	meet the needs of the town centre.	
62	other	Keep the Bus Station where it is. I have read with interest the proposals outlined in the Town Plan for Bury St Edmunds. I am	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amond aspiration to c
02	other	encouraged by some of the new and exciting concepts – however I am appalled by the suggestion		
		of building on the site of the existing Bus Station. I do not see anywhere in your plan a site for its	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to p
		replacement. At present the Bus Station provides a service for the community to access the rest	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
		of Suffolk, to London and beyond. For obvious reasons bus timetables are adversely affected by	No proposals will be progressed without	
		other road problems and arrival and departure times of buses need to be displayed as they are at	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		the existing Bus Station. Persons waiting patiently for buses or waiting to pick-up passengers	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		expected to arrive must have suitable accommodation for their waiting and especially somewhere	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		nearby, to park their own car after dropping off or collecting passengers. There is a basic		
		necessity for a Bus Station and any proposal to dispense with the existing Bus Station is to be		
		abhorred. Rather than demolish a public facility it should be improved to cater for future		
		passenger needs.		

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

		Q13 - Character Area 3 - St Andrews Quarter - Do the aspirations proposed for this	Total Representations: 203		
Master	plan consultation	area address the issues - Do you have any other comments?			
10		Deserve extertion	Deserves	Here Street and the second	Natas
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from		The masterplan does not propose the removal of		
	less than 10 miles		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
		The removal of the Bus Station would be a retrograde step. It has already been made a lot worse	for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended.		
		than when it first opened by the removal of the front half of the inside waiting area - this should be	No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
		indeed the proposal to use Pishvaste Street as an evit route, for better to redesign the Rus Station	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
		with an entrance and exit directly onto Parkway, using the existing car park ground.	meet the needs of the town centre.		
2	retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of		
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to		
		Bus/Coach station. Its where it needs to be. But must have its ticket office re-opened. There is no access to toilets on a Sunday for coach travellers. What does that say about our Town. The only	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.		
			No proposals will be progressed without		
		Street North one way. That has been suggested and thrown out because of the strength of feeling	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
		<b>o</b>	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
		road would cause chaos, with buses queued up waiting to offload passengers. Have some sense please.	meet the needs of the town centre.		
3	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of		
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
			for its redevelopment. This has led to		
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
		Not sure 'on-street bus facilities' will successfully replace the bus station, where reduced staffing has already created problems for bus users who can't find their service. And where will they wait?	meet the needs of the town centre.		
4	prefer not to say		The masterplan does not propose the removal of		
	-		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
			for its redevelopment. This has led to		
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
		On-street bus facilities on St Andrews Street North freeing up the existing bus station for	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
		redevelopment. Bury used to have one of the few decent bus stations around here (Cambridge is a nightmare, Newmarket doesnt exist) improve it donr destroy it	meet the needs of the town centre.		
5	resident, student	מ חוקחנחומוב, ועבשיוומוגבו טטבטוג באוטן וווויוטיט וג טטווי טבטוטא וג	Issues were identified in this Character Area at	No change required	
		There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	the Issues and Options stage		
6	resident	See comments on town centre	Thank you for your response. Please see response to earlier comments.	No change required	
7	resident, retired			No change required	
	,		any displaced parking and provide additional	5	
			parking. This is addressed under Character		
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
		As before, it needs pedestrianising for safety alone but find better parking.			

8	worker, visitor from		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
	less than 10 miles		any displaced parking and provide additional	
	away		parking. This is addressed under Character	
	anay		Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to	
			consider provision of additional parking with new	
		Retaining adequate parking nearby the shopping areas whilst ensuring access for those with a		
			access in this Character Area. Individual people	
		disability to adequate parking facilities in close proximity to the shops will be key to successful	with disabilities were consulted and involved as	
			part of the Issues and Options consultation and	
		disabled people along with those organisations that represent same and taking their input	the accessibility tour of the town centre.	
		seriously would be a step in the right direction.		
9	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		There needs to be some special facility for buses, not only for the town centre but also inter-town	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		and intercity buses to move in nd out of Bury easily	meet the needs of the town centre.	
10	visitor from more		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		response to earlier comments.	
		Please see comments for Q7		
11	resident		Thank you for your comment. We hope the	No change required
· ·			masterplan will go at least some way to	
		Not sure how you will sort out St Andrews street	addressing the issues in this area.	
12	worker, visitor from			No change required
12	less than 10 miles		Exit' car parking during the masterplan	
		Providing that a Multi Storey Car Park is included with a Pay on Exit provision as this is quite	consultation stages. We have passed this to our	
	away			
		central to the Town Centre and will allow vistors and regular users to be able to park close to the	colleagues in Parking Services, who will	
		town centre for both shopping and to visit our many restaurants and eateries.	consider all options going forward.	
13	visitor from less than		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
	10 miles away		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Moving buses onto the street is a poor idea, pollution and noise. It could be improved appearance		
		wise at the town centre end.		
14	resident, worker		Acknowledged, The two areas overlap. Is stated	No change required
	,	The proposal to move the bus station use onto the street will conflict with the desire to enhance	in Northern Gaterway so no need for it to be	
		the street experience. The northern gateway aspiration to connect St Andrew's Street to the	repeated	
		railway station does not seem to appear in the St Andrews Quarter aspirations.		
15	resident, worker	Seems OK.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
16	resident			No change required
			masterplan will go at least some way to	
		The most awful area of Bury	addressing the issues in this area.	
17	worker, visitor from		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
	less than 10 miles		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
	away	I am concerned you are taking a step backwards with the on street bus parking. Bury has coaches		
	,, ,, ,		misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		smartened up the loss of the bus station is I think not a good idea at all, it was bad enough when		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		the bus station lost it's staff who were the first port of call for may visiting the town and who were very knowledgeable and helpful not to mention the public convenience and refreshments.	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
	1	Wary knowlandaania and naintill not to mantion tha hilblic convaniance and retreenmente	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		PLEASE KEEP THE BUS STATION.	meet the needs of the town centre.	

18	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		would be regretted. The idea of relocating this to the Railway Station seems seductive but would	meet the needs of the town centre.	
10	and the set of the set	remove the convenience of the buses being so close to the town centre.	The most content of a second content of the most second of	
19	resident, worker		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		If you take away the bus station, where are people who catch coaches to go on holiday or day trips	•	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		then be extended to contain more long term parking for workers, traders, and visitors. Also you will		
		need to put some toilets in the area, as the elderly cannot always wait until they get to the other	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		side of the Arc before they need to use a toilet, and the coffee shops or shops will not be happy if	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		people pop in just to use the loos, rather than buying anything.		
20	resident		Any new housing would be expected to provide	No change required
		If the site of the current bus station is developed it would be good to see more affordable housing	30% affordable housing in line with existing	5 1
			adopted policy.	
21	resident			No change required
	rooldont	difficult area which does not look good to the eye - proposals sound good but buses using on	masterplan will go at least some way to	
			addressing the issues in this area.	
22	retired	Sileet instead of bus station may not work - certainly smarten up fromages - get nu of the galage	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
22	reured			
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed	
			without comprehensive solutions for providing	
			the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
			access to the town centre.	
23	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
		number of buses able to pick up passengers at any one time. Also, confusion about where to	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
		catch your bus might also increase. Clearly this aspiration will result in the closure of the bus	No proposals will be progressed without	
		station (which will allow for more redevelopment - is more development - shops, residential etc.	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		(although visitors to the town would not necessarily know of the availability of toilets in the library).		
24	resident, worker		The reference to mixed use development would	No change required
- '		See my previous comment. The area bound by St Andres St North, Tayfen Road, Parkway and	not exclude residential use. The importance of	
		Risbygate St is largely set over to the "Wilco" car park, with some trading on Tayfen Road and the		
			recognised as demonstrated by the inclusion of	
			residential development with the arc	
			development.	
		largely already set over for business use or is domestic dwelling. It would be a shame to see an		
		increase in business use without appropriate development for residential accommodation to		
		maintain the vibrant heart of the town. A hotel would not necessarily achieve this balance.		
25	resident, worker	There is heavy traffic in St Andrews Street North from lorries, buses and taxis which is very noisy	Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan	No change required
		for residents. One idea would be to reroute the buses so that they enter the bus station via Tayfen		
			cannot move individual privately owned	
		Andrews Street North to access the bus station so it would make sense to change the entrance.	businesses.	
		Also there is an awful lot of noise and disruption and vandalism from people walking along St		
		Andrews Street late at night/early hours of the morning on their way to buy pizzas from the		
		eateries at the bottom of St Andrews Street North - could they not be moved to the centre of the		
		town??		
	1			1I

26	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		Somewhat concerned about the bus parking facilities. The replacement of the bus station by on-	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		street stopping loading and unloading could be a hazard with people and luggage waiting on the	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		pathways. Better perhaps to recognise the need for an integrated transport hub, probably located	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		at the station with additional access to the town areas.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
27	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		This "Divide and rule" Policy is counter-productive, unhelpful and misguided. The Artists	response to earlier comments.	
		impression of the Cornhill is dishonest. It should be accompanied by an Impression of the same		
		prospect at 3pm on a Thursday in January with a north wind blowing up St. Johns Street.		
28	resident, prefer not		Thank you for this information, but disagree	No change required
20			more parking is not needed. We recognise the	no change required
	to say			
			town serves a large area that requires access by	
		"Consider provision of additional parking" No! We don't want more pollution and congestion, and it		
			supported throughout the consultations for the	
<u> </u>		case-for-converting-street-parking-into-bike-lanes/387595/	masterplan.	
29	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		Further for people using buses to walk consider the elderly and people with physical injuries.		
30	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		Proposing to close the bus station and moving buses onto an already busy narrow street is just to		
		stupid for words, who came up with that silly idea? Disabled or blind people will not find the correct		
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		man it and open the toilets.		
31	resident	More electric car charging points needed	Agreed.	No change required
32	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		Do not remove the bus station, it does not need "redeveloping", it works fine as it is, it just needs	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		parking for buses, this would be unsightly and less safe for users. I agree with redevelopment of	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		the government offices they unattractive and not in character with the rest of the town		<u> </u>
33	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
1	1	Re-open bus station not all services need to be profitable.		

34	resident, worker			No change required
			all users of the town centre. There are	
			aspirations to increase priority for pedestrians	
			throughout the masterplan, including key areas	
		More green spaces and easy pedestrian walkways. Well lit and safe at night. Reduce car emissions from heavy traffic.	of pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation.	
35	worker		Thank you for your comment. We hope the	No change required
		This area of the town is by far the most unattractive and similar to the railway station is hardly the	masterplan will go at least some way to	
		best advert for the town when visitors arrive by bus. I have no strong views on what is being	addressing the issues in this area. There is an	
		proposed but would support considerable development and improvement in this area. I think the	aspiration to Consider provision of additional	
		creation of a new multi storey and/or underground car park in this location would be beneficial for	parking with new access in this Character Area	
		all.		
36	resident		Agreed. There is an aspiration in Character Area	No change required
			9 to improve signage to key locations across the	
		Better signage locating the library might be useful.	town centre.	
37	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		THE TOWN NEEDS A CENTRALLY PLACED BUS STATION WITH TOILET AND	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		REFRESHMENT FACILITIES. CLOSURE OF BUS STATION IS RETROGRADE STEP		
38	resident, retired		No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		Releasing the bus station area for redevelopment is sound but it is desirable that a bus/coach	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		information point booking facility be incorporated - not all can achieve these services on-line.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
39	resident, retired			No change required
		Could do. At the moment, this area is not an attractive or colourful or distinctive area of town. It	additional parking with new access in this	
		needs some real overall creative thinking. There needs to be adequate parking for local residents,		
			Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in	
			Character Area 9.	
40	resident	When this is done I will like to see all the buses parked along St. Andrews Street with parking for	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
11	rosidont worker	cars and more shops. Your Artists impression	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	<u> </u>
41	resident, worker	See comments for Area 2 - the bus station is a key focus for the development of the town centre.		
		Do not close it. Any change of this will be the worst thing that can be done. It is well-located as a	the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		transport hub and should be developed with better facilities (toilet, information, transport booking	for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without	
		better parking behind it. Do not close the bus station as it can become a key point for all visitors to		
		the town - it is illogical at the moment to drop visitors in one part of town with no information		
		(Angel Hill) when the town could be better presented with a good bus station and tourist	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
		information centre in one location.	ineet the needs of the town centre.	
42	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
	,		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		A big mistake to get rid of the Bus Station it took a long time to get one now they want to get rid of		
		it. It should be the Hub for people coming into Town instead of using there cars.		
43	resident	Not sure that having buses parked on the road is desirable	Thank you for your comment	No change required
43	resident	INot sure that having buses parked on the road is desirable	Thank you for your comment.	No change required

	-				
44	resident, worker	redevelop the bus station ? why on earth do this, when the demands for public transport into bury are only going to increase , you propose closing a purpose built facility and pushing users onto street bays ?	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.		
45	resident	to load and off-load, and to park between journeys. There will not be room to house these activities in St Andres St north, which is residential at the northern end.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.		
46	resident, retired	"Improve crossing points." You did away with the so much missed traffic island outside Denny's. And on that point, what a maddening new traffic light has replaced the island to allow Moreton Hall residents to cross safely to Sainsbury's.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
47	worker	The bus station is an important facility which should be retained and improved. Streets should not be used as bus terminals - that would be a backward step which would have a negative impact on traffic and the street scene.			
48	visitor from less than	Not completely, I am concerned about on street parking and although accepting the reasons that the bus park is becoming an area for unsocial behaviour, a redesign of the area green spaces together with a small amount of retail might be more appropriate.	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
49	resident	Overall exciting proposals but would welcome residential rather than retail along frontage of ST A Street. St Andrews Street North car park and adjoining Triton House and Bus station offer an	No plans will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre.	meet the needs of the town.	
50		More specific and clearly defined proposals for the suggested redevelopment and car parking provision are needed for this character area. The Open Meeting thought the town centre needs a better bus station and had doubts about laybys on St Andrews Street North. A shuttle bus could link the town centre with car parks outside the town centre. See related comments for Parkway (Character Area 6).	requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan. The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	

				-
51		Totally against redevelopment of bus station. This is a major asset of our town for non motorists. have you surveyed 1) the number of bus movements 2) The number of people waiting for buses. To utilise on road stops and waiting will be impractical.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
52	prefer not to say	Do not close bus station	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
53		a. On street bus facilities could create traffic problems, surely an enhance park and ride/public transport offering requires a purpose build terminus. b. This is an area of the town that needs redevelopment and change is welcome. c. Don't sacrifice parking.	There is an aspiration to Consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area. Review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in Character Area 9.	No change required
54		Keep the existing bus station. We do not need additional shops in this location. We should make sure the centre is fully utilised first. There does seem to be an opportunity for a multi-storey car park in this area.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
55		Buses along the road may cause congestion with parking access	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
56	resident, retired	You should site a new bus station as close as possible to the railway station, which is the usual practice in many places. This will encourage people to use the buses to get into the centre of town and elsewhere. It makes no sense to have a series of bus stops strung out along St Andrews Street North: a proper bus station with information provided electronically, and preferably with human beings selling tickets is the only sensible option. I miss the information hub in the present bus station. Think about people waiting for buses, which are sometimes delayed - how do you accommodate their luggage, buggies, etc on a pavement that people are trying to negotiate to get from one end of the street to the other? Please rethink this.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	Amend aspiration to c redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to p meet the needs of the
57		of vehicles, future electric buses would produce no emissions (at point of propulsion). The government has set out that no internal combustion engine vehicles will be on sale from 2040 and as that year approaches production will significantly reduce. The current bus station I believe	redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

	1			
58	resident	In addition to inmroved pedestrian liks to the Arc and Cornhill there needs to be improved links for CYCLISTS. The Bus Station should be retained and its facilities and information area improved.	The need to improve cycling access and facilities across the town centre is recognised in Character Area 9. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
59	resident	This is another area of the town that would benefit from tidying up. I think additional parking in this area is a good idea as it is a good location to walk to town and also the train station		No change required
60	resident, retired	It is not feasible to do away with the bus station.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
61	resident	Again - any new architecture needs to be really high quality to match the stunning old buildings in the town.	Character Area 9 includes a priority to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre	No change required
62	resident	I am strongly against relocating the buses onto the street. Bus drivers need space away from the street to have their breaks. Sheltered from rain and wind seating needs to be available for bus passengers. Toilets are needed. If changing the bus station, we should improve facilities, make it larger, sheltered, and more space for coaches so they do not need to use Angel Hill. I do not think that part of town needs any more shops or restaurants, but public service buildings.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
63	resident, retired	The main concern with redevelopment of the bus station would be lack of nearby layover space. I also query with the closure of St Andrews Street South to buses whether there is sufficient on street space for buses, coaches, etc.	No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
64	resident	It's a big mess at the moment so anything would improve it.	Thank you for your comment. We hope the masterplan will go at least some way to addressing the issues in this area.	No change required
65	resident	I am very concerned that the Bus Station and operation could be diminished even further. It is an important amenity for the many users coming in from the Countryside - Bury St Edmunds is a Market town. Would oppose reducing and down grading this vital service and link to the areas surrounding the town. Why could not the existing car park be multi story? Agree that there should be improved crossing points and more trees.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
66	resident	Cars parking will still cause issues for pedestrians.	The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all users of the town centre. There are aspirations to increase priority for pedestrians throughout the masterplan, including key areas of pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation.	No change required

end aspiration to delete reference to eveloping the bus station and replace with a itive aspiration to provide bus facilities to et the needs of the town.	
change required	
end aspiration to delete reference to eveloping the bus station and replace with a itive aspiration to provide bus facilities to et the needs of the town.	
change required	
end aspiration to delete reference to eveloping the bus station and replace with a itive aspiration to provide bus facilities to et the needs of the town.	
end aspiration to delete reference to eveloping the bus station and replace with a itive aspiration to provide bus facilities to et the needs of the town.	
change required	
end aspiration to delete reference to eveloping the bus station and replace with a itive aspiration to provide bus facilities to et the needs of the town.	
change required	

	-			
67	resident, worker	It is already difficult with illegal parking which is never picked up upon. Why lose the bus station? Surely this is a backwards step in trying to encourage tourism? Buses stopping on the road will disrupt traffic flow.	The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
68	resident, worker	If existing bus stops from the arc are to be moved (very good idea), surely the bus station will need to be retained?	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
69	resident	Despite the presence of the Triton House and Job-centre Plus, St Andrews St North is still primarily a RESIDENTIAL area. I am a resident of the Guildhall Feoffment flats (formerly Fennall Homes/Quaker flats) just below the Library. I think it would be a huge mistake to do away with the bus station and relocate bus stops along St Andrews St North. Unless you also propose to totally replace Stephensons and other Bury buses with a fleet of all-electric 'Green' buses, the extra, constant pollution will be intolerable to residents. Bury provides a bus service to many outlying towns and villages the proposed stands will not accommodate passenger numbers, and the usual utilitarian bus shelters will not be comfortable for the many elderly customers of the service. The ensuing extra 'busyness' in the area could be a real nuisance to residents who are at home all day (not all residents are working age commuters!). The main concern is around the additional and unacceptable levels of POLLUTION from traffic (BUSES). A real health hazard already - please we do not need more of it !	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
-	visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired		No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
	visitor from more than 10 miles away	The walk to the station is not a pretty one at the moment. It has improved over the years.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
	retired	ST Andrews st south needs to be one way, bus station should stay, parking enforecement would help too many people taking advantage of no deterent to illegal parking	The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

70	in a state of t			
73	resident	1.Essential to keep the bus station and improve the facilities there. As a regular bus/coach user I	Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station,	Amend aspiration to c redeveloping the bus
		believe that money should be spent on opening the waiting room earlier and later - even if these	but it does mention opportunities for its	positive aspiration to
			redevelopment. This has led to	meet the needs of the
		encourage people to use public transport if the facilities are not attractive? Why have you not	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
		offered some inducements to a commercial company to keep the café open? 2.Encourage the arc		
		management to improve the area facing on to St Andrews Street. 3.Improve the two 'links'	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		between the old and new shopping areas with better signage and surely that boring wall along the	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Market Thoroughfare could be improved by lighter paint and murals [done by local art students	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		who would welcome the opportunity]		
74	resident	Anything which removed cars and dangerous pollution from the heart of the town is beneficial to those living, working and visiting Bury St Edmunds	Agreed.	No change required
75	resident, retired		There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to St	Amend aspiration to d
			Andrews Street South next to the arc up to the	redeveloping the bus
		So important to address the issue of vehicles in st Andrews St South. It needs to be pedestrians	corner of Risbygate Street - close to through	positive aspiration to p
		only. In principle I have no strong objection to the loss of the bus station so long as there is plenty	traffic, reroute buses, retain service access.	meet the needs of the
		of space and shelter for passengers waiting for buses.		
76	resident, retired		Thank you for your concerns. This issue has	No change required
		I worry about on road bus and coach accommodation in tetms of the effect on residents,	been raised and will be fully taken into account.	
		pedestrians etc		
77	resident		Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
		We live in St Andrews street and the buses and taxis are noisy all night long. They make lots of		
		noise from having to be in such a low gear and thrashing their engines to go up the hill. The bus		
		station should be removed and the buses should gain access from the back of the Wilco car park		
		opposite the fire station to drop people off at a similar place as now. This would stop the buses		
		coming up the St Andrews street hill and allow it to become a more pedestrianised area and a		
		better environment to walk into town. All the food places should also shut at 12 so not to		
		encourage drunks to hang around and throw rubbish into the gardens. The cafés, car sales		
		showroom, car wash and Job Centre should be all redeveloped as thee building are looking like		
		they are comming to the end of there design life. There are a lot of drunks and weird people		
		hanging around the top of St Andrews street and with the unsightly buildings it is not a very good		
		impression for any one visiting Bury by bus and train as they walk up this road to the town and		
		these places need to be replaced with new shop frontages and new buildings that will benefit the		
		area. Car sales and Car washing should be on a industrial estate. It is also the worst place to live		
		because of the noise from buses taxis and drunks.		
78	resident	O mentaneo de la companya de	Thank you for your comments. Cycle provision	Amend aspiration to d
l .		Current proposals encourage car use and improvement of pedestrian links. There is no reference	across the town centre, including secure	redeveloping the bus
		to improved cycle provision. This is OK for visitors but surely we should be encouraging those	parking, is set out in Character Area 9. The	positive aspiration to p
		living ouside the town centre to use their cycles to get into the town centre and try to reduce	masterplan does not propose the removal of the	meet the needs of the
		reliance on cars. provision needs to be made for cyclists to access the town centre across this	bus station, but it does mention opportunities for	
		character area. At present this area is a very scruffy and unwelcoming environment to our lovely	its redevelopment. This has led to	
		town centre. The roads in this area are very wide and the whole area could be redeveloped to	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
		include high quality town residences, with wide boulavards with cycle lanes and good quality	No proposals will be progressed without	
		shopping facitities thereby extending the current offer beyond the town centre. There is also the	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		ooportunity to include office provision for town centre businesses. Providing on-street bus facilities		
		will reduce the width of this artery into town and the opportunities for imaginative redevelopment.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		The current bus station had really good facilities which have been reduced to next to nothing		
		thereby making the experience for bus users very poor. we have a good bus station already which		
		does not need redeveloping but enhancing instead to encourage bus use, perhaps being the		
1		stopping place for a park and ride system similar to that provided in Norwich.		

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	

		-		
79	visitor from less than		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
	10 miles away		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		If you want to encourage tourists and visitors to Bury and introduce a park and ride scheme YOU	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		NEED A BUS STATION WHICH IS MANNED AND HAS TOILETS. It is not practical for buses to	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		parallel park on St Andrews Street in terms of access and safety.		
80	resident, worker	I am also very keen on these ideas.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
81	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
		I feel that the planned redevelopment of the Bus Station is a mistake UNLESS it retains the bus	the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		Station. The Bus Station is needed for all the many coaches and Buses that serve our Town. I	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
		remember some 20 or so years ago when we used to catch buses in the street and they were	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
		either a long walk down St Andrews Street North or we missed spotting them altogether. The	No proposals will be progressed without	
		existing Station should be maintained but with better links to Town and surface car park. Plus the	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		Government offices/Triton house etc could all be joined at first floor level to the Bus Station and	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		the new Building could be a combination of Town Centre Budget Hotel plus sheltered housing. I	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		do not feel we need a lot more shops there perhaps just a couple such as a newsagent or a small		
		Boots. Internet shopping will not decrease and so more shops may be superfluous.		
82	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
00		See above	response to earlier comments.	
83	resident	Currently a bit of a disaster area. The dangerous traffic that crosses between the Arc and the rest		No change required
		of town, the dark alleys between the shops for access. At one time an access arcade through the	Andrews Street South next to the arc up to the	
		old Woolworths was mooted, a shame it was never acted upon. Can anything be done to	corner of Risbygate Street - close to through	
		mask/improve the rear access area to the Apex? Currently a very dead and unsightly area. The	traffic, reroute buses, retain service access.	
		nice public art trees were vandalised and removed, a shame. The linkspace between Arc and		
		town still looks and feels like a road! Can anything be done to mitigate this? Could some more market stalls be placed there on Market days to make it feel like a living part of town?		
84	resident, retired	market stand be placed there on market days to make it leel like a living part of town?	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend assiration to a
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		To re-route buses around the Arc and close the purpose-built bus station will serious affect bus	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
		and coach users, who are often less mobile and less likely to have the option of using their car.	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
		Others, such as free bus pass holders, use the bus to help reduce car journeys - surely a helpful	No proposals will be progressed without	
		factor for the town. Bus transport should be encouraged to and around the town, not reduced and		
		made more difficult for users. It is impractical to seek to replace the bus station with on-street	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		stops, particularly where many rural routes have a 10-20 minute lay-over between arrival and	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		departure (sometimes longer) and currently use the parking are of the bus station. Bus travellers		
		need toilets and shelter while waiting for the buses - where will these be sited to avoid cluttering		
		up pavements and avoiding pedestrian and cycle routes? Less mobile people and parents with		
		young children need to be able to get from one stop to another: at the bus station all the stops are		
		conveniently close together. That will not be the case with on-street stops. Unfortunately, those		
		relying on the bus to get to town for shops and (often with a change of bus) to hospital or doctors		
		are the least likely to make a fuss and respond to consultation. The Council should avoid listening		
		to car drivers who do not rely on buses when deciding what is best for bus passengers.		
85	resident, worker,		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to o
	retired		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		It will get very congested if busses and coaches are all stopping on St Andrew's Street North and if		
		one's bus or coach stops at the bottom of the road it is a long up hill walk to the centre.		
86	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		See previous comments about keeping the bus station.	response to earlier comments.	
-	-			-

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
87		Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character Areas in the masterplan. The proposal to redevelop the site of the current bus station is seriously misguided. Firstly, the current bus station provides a good place for buses and coaches to station, drop off and pick up. I remember when we had on-street facilities for buses on St. Andrews Street North and it caused traffic mayhem while buses competed with other motor vehicles to set down. The present system of buses turning round by going through the bus station and behind Triton House is a much better approach to traffic management. I approve of the idea for more on-street parking on St Andrews Street North but not with the idea to redevelop the bus station. It would be more sensible to consider how to link the existing bus station to the railway station.	Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of the masterplan working group and will be included in all key decisions relating to the masterplan as well as numerous individual projects. Thank you for your comments and suggestions.	No change required
88		concern about the closure of the bus station - at the moment coaches are supposed to stop off at Mustow street, but cars park therem, would it not be better to have all coaches dropping off at the bus station?	The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	Amend aspiration to or redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
89	resident, worker	I work in this area and I'm not sure on the idea of having on street bus bays rather than the bus station. However, if it would get rid of the people hanging around the bus station drinking and doing drugs all through the day then it would be a good idea	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	Amend aspiration to c redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to p meet the needs of the
90	resident		There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to St Andrews Street South next to the arc up to the corner of Risbygate Street - close to through traffic, reroute buses, retain service access.	No change required
91		agree bus station is underused	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
92	resident	Removal of bus station not an option. Suggest building housing/flats on opposite side of road, replacing garage premises, etc. Buses on side of road in St Andrews North will narrow the width for traffic. Buses will need to be routed round Tayfen and into Risbygate so that they pull up on correct side of road and not open their doord for passengers to alight into the road.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	Amend aspiration to d redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to p meet the needs of the
93	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Very Difficult to comment without details.	In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.	No change required

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

94	visitor from less than		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
	10 miles away		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.	
0.5		Lacks detail.		
95	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		As we are supposed to leave our cars at home. The idea of closing the bus station should not	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		happen. We need to keep the bus station and re-open the information office with toilet facilities.	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		The person who thought of this closure needs sacking. he obviously dose not or never will use	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		public transport. "Keep Bus station."		
96	resident, retired		There is an aspiration to consider provision of	Amend aspiration to o
			additional parking with new access in this	redeveloping the bus
			Character Area. The masterplan does not	positive aspiration to
			propose the removal of the bus station, but it	meet the needs of the
			does mention opportunities for its	
			redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
		The removing of the bus station is the most stupid idea ever. The office needs to be reopened and		
		made more of a hub for information for the visitors coming into the town. All surrounding towns	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		have good working stations allowing people to feel wanted (knock down Triton house and St.	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Andrews and build a new multistory Car Park)	meet the needs of the town centre.	
97	resident, worker		Agreed.	No change required
57	resident, worker	clearly needs attention.	Agreed.	no change required
98	resident	The raised crossing of Tayfen Rd. is obvious Will the facilities at the Bus station be re-installed? It	This is entirely the responsibility of the service	No change required
		is a mess without it.	provider.	
99	resident, other		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to a
	,		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Current mixed use is dangerous/ ugly but concerned about bus access being moved out of the	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		town too far.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
100	resident, retired		Any new retail or service provision will be market	Amend aspiration to (
100			led.The masterplan does not propose the	redeveloping the bus
			removal of the bus station, but it does mention	positive aspiration to
			opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led	meet the needs of the
			to misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Can not see how "On-street bus facilities" can accommodate the amount of buses that are used.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		Also toilet facilities?? The need for more shops are falling. These shops will remain empty.		1

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	

101	resident, other		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without	meet the needs of the
		The removal of the 'old' bus information services has been intolerable. The election alternatives	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		completely inadequate. This does not seem to be an aspect planned to be connected in the new	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		"objectives". The "ON STREET BUSS FACILITIES ON ST. ANDREWS STREET AND TAYFEN	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		ROAD," sounds like a nightmare for the future.		
102	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to c
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		We need a proper bus station with information, shelter and facilities for locals and visitors. I don't	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		see how on-street bays will be in any way adequate for the volume of coaches and buses currently		
		using the present limited facilities. Elderly and disabled people will have a long walk to get into the centre of town and the Abbey gardens.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
103	resident		There is an aspiration to consider provision of	Amend aspiration to c
			additional parking with new access in this	redeveloping the bus
			Character Area. The masterplan does not	positive aspiration to
			propose the removal of the bus station, but it	meet the needs of the
			does mention opportunities for its	
			redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		ON street buss facilities could cause major traffic issues/pollution - this is going back in time!	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		Underground/multi storey car parking in existing St. Andrew's St. North car park. The area at top	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
10.1		of St. Andrew's North definety needs improving - not a pretty site!	meet the needs of the town centre.	
104	resident		There is an aspiration to consider provision of	Amend aspiration to c
i			additional parking with new access in this	redeveloping the bus
			Character Area. The masterplan does not	positive aspiration to
			propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its	meet the needs of the
			redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
1			No proposals will be progressed without	
		The only possible option regarding the bus and coach station, would be to turn St. Andrews St(N)	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		car park into a multi-storey version and include a proper Bus station complete with info desk, and	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		open all week, 7AM-10.00PM.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
105	resident		The Library café falls under the remit of the	No change required
		Reopen the public library café.	Library Services.	
106	resident		We recognise there is a need to both replace	Amend aspiration to c
			any displaced parking and provide additional	redeveloping the bus
			parking. This is addressed under Character	positive aspiration to
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to	meet the needs of the
			consider provision of additional parking with new	
		With the relocation of the bus station to 'on street' bus stops there is potential to increase parking	access in this Character Area.	
		to make up for the loss of Cornhill		

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

107	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amond appiration to d
107	resident	I am very concerned about the proposal to close the bus station and to have on-street bus parking		
		on St Andrews Street North. The citizens of Bury waited a long time for a proper bus station and	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
		we are proud to have one that is an asset to the town. Any concerns of the public about 'social	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
		problems' ('feeling unsafe' in the bus station) should be addressed by providing proper	No proposals will be progressed without	
		management (the cost of which many citizens would be happy to support through our community	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		charge). The proposed 'on-street bus facilities' would be environmentally vary harmful to the	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		residents of St Andrew's Street North. I was a Trustee of the Fennell Homes almshouses (on the	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		south side of the Library) when the present bus station was being built and it was very distressing		
		to the almshouse residents when buses were parking outside their homes, whilst the bus station		
		being completed - noise, fumes and queues of people just outside their windows.		
108	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to p
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Moving the buses to park in St Andrews Street North will add to Congestion there unless it is made access only for buses and residents in that area.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
109	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		To REMOVE A POINT FOR BUSES AND COACHES TO PULL OFF THE ROAD AND A PLACE FOR PASSAGE TO WAIT SAFTLY AND IN THE DRY SEEMS MADNESS. How CONGESTED	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		WOULD THE ROAD AND PAVEMENTS BECOME IN ST ANDREWS STREET? WHAT A	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
		MUDDLE IT WOULD BE!	inteet the needs of the town centre.	
110	worker		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to d
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to p
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		I do not believe that increased bus parking in this street could compensate for the loss of the bus station	meet the needs of the town centre.	
111	resident, retired		Bury St Edmunds has a low vacancy rate	No change required
			compared to the national average. Any new	
		Where all the buses going to park. Who are all the new business/shops we struggle to fill existing	retail or service provision will be market-led.	
110	rooidont worker	shops This are is definitely worth investing in and improving	Agrood	
112 113	resident, worker worker		Agreed. We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required No change required
115	WUNCI		any displaced parking and provide additional	nao change required
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to	
			consider provision of additional parking with new	
			access in this Character Area.	
		More parking available in this area would be beneficial		

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	

114	resident, retired	The bus station should not be shut. When I moved to Bury, buses stopped in St Andrew's Street and it looked a mess. The bus station keeps all the buses tidy and out of sight when they are not actually on their route. It is much safer than stopping on the road when passengers are tempted to cross the road between buses and possibly be knocked down. I am appalled by this proposal.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
115	resident	Too general	In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	No change required
116	resident, worker	Please, no more of those horrendous wooden clad buildings like the one at the corner of parkway/kings road. What an eyesore, and to think it is only a few years old. I think we may need another multistorey car park to fit enough spaces close to the centre. The park and ride option will help enormously though. I hope the park and ride will be really well subsidised to encourage its use.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area. Review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in Character Area 9.	No change required
117	resident	I think if we are to loose the Bus station then there should be a dedicated street for buses/coaches use to pick up and drop off passengers for the town centre.	but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
	resident, worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away, visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired, student, other, prefer not to say	WE NEED OUR BUS STATION	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
119	resident, retired	I am totally opposed to closing the bus station. A town of this size needs a place for people to wait undercover in one place. Many old people use the bus station and it should be properly run. We are asked not to use our cars so much, but with no-one to ask about routes times it does not encourage you to take a bus.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

120	visitor from less than		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amond aspiration to doloto refer
120				
	10 miles away,		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
	retired		for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus
		A town the size of, and still, expanding Bury St Edmunds needs a good sized Bus Station with	misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed	meet the needs of the town.
		toilet facilities and information desk. On street parking for buses is definitely not suitable for all the		
		town and country bus services that currently use the present site. Surely the use of buses will	the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
		increase as car use becomes less desirable.	access to the town centre.	
121	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
i			No proposals will be progressed without	
i			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
i			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		Losing the bus station is ridiculous		
122	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
100		Retain the bus station!!		
123	resident, worker		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		l dhùch trùa a cana ba dùdan ta da annar aith dha mannar a bailt bara station. ⊟ann an ba cair a dao trùa	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus
1		I think it is a very bad idea to do away with the purpose built bus station. For people using day trip	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
i			No proposals will be progressed without	
		a must have also if your going on a long journey. If living on St Andrews north, I would object to	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		bus shelters and pickup points outside my house. We recently joined a Newmarket Holiday bus	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		from the bus station and was surprised at how many coaches came and went early in the morning	meet the needs of the town centre.	
124	resident	with no trouble pulling in.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amond appiration to delete refer
124	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		I am actoniched that you want to do away with the hus station and hrize huses had back and a O	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
i i		I am astonished that you want to do away with the bus station and bring buses back onto St.	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
i i		Andrews St. I lived there in the 90s and remember the fumes and noise from the buses. Surely a retrograde stop!	meet the needs of the town centre.	
125	resident, worker		Funding will come from a range of sources	No change required
			including private investment as well as funding	
		Government buildings are ugly but not owned by occupiers. How will finances stack up. Not well	by public bodies.	
		thought through.		
126	resident, worker	it will create even more traffic congestion by re-routing	This would not necessarily be the case.	No change required
127	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	-
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Deffined has been expression the Due Otelian halos are side and for the first	meet the needs of the town centre.	
100		Baffled by how removing the Bus Station helps provide car free options to anyone.		
128	resident, worker	If a car park is provided here could this also feature a bus turning point if required?	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required

biration to delete reference to ng the bus station and replace with a piration to provide bus facilities to eeds of the town.	
biration to delete reference to hg the bus station and replace with a piration to provide bus facilities to eeds of the town.	
biration to delete reference to ng the bus station and replace with a piration to provide bus facilities to eeds of the town.	
piration to delete reference to ng the bus station and replace with a piration to provide bus facilities to eeds of the town.	
piration to delete reference to ng the bus station and replace with a piration to provide bus facilities to eeds of the town.	
required	
required	
biration to delete reference to ng the bus station and replace with a piration to provide bus facilities to eeds of the town.	
required	

129	resident, retired	I am unhappy about the loss of the bus station. It is a necessary facility which any sizeable town	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without	-
		should have and it's an obvious centralised place for people to go for travel information. More people should be encouraged to use the bus services available and the loss of the bus station will only discourage bus use and encourage more cars. I wonder if bus and coach companies have been canvassed for their opinions?	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
130		It is total folly to close a busy bus station. St. Andrews Street North would not be able to cope with the number of buses and coaches that currently use this facility. Where would people wait for coaches and surely the loading off loading of luggage would be a nightmare.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
131	resident, worker	Keep the bus station etc. This Town is Expanding and needs a bus station.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
132	visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired	Don't touch the bus station its very convenient where it is especially for pick ups or coaches etc. Use part of it for taxi rank.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
133	resident, worker	Looks good but I can't see that there are going to be 100 odd more parking spaces there, when you take them away from the Buttermarket and the Cornhill !	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area.	No change required
134	resident	I feel we need a large and improved Bus station with better facilities for travellers and visitors.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
135	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Toilets available 24hrs and a more pleasant atmosphere - plants, trees etc. Why demolish a perfectly useful bus station to then block the narrow road with buses? It makes no sense whatsoever. The Wilko/bus station car park can have an upper floor added and a hotel with parking and retail on the old gas works but I really can't see how putting buses along that road is a good idea, especially where there are no facilities at all!	There is an aspiration to consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its	Amend aspiration to redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to	
s station and replace with a provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	

136	worker		Taxi provision will be accommodated in all	No change required
130	WOIKEI		relevant projects.	No change required
407	nosidant	Providing taxis and private hire vehicles can access		
137	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		Moving the bus station will be controversial particularly for long distance coaches. Alternate	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		provision, perhaps at the Station needs to be considered		
138	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
		I am concerned about the idea of redeveloping the bus station. A town the size of Bury needs to	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
		have a good modern bus handling facility which is attractive to visitors and resident alike. Public	misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
		transport should be being encouraged rather than downgraded. The existing bus station appears	No proposals will be progressed without	
		to have been allowed to be run down by the Council to the point where they say that there is no	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		alternative but to close it. Public transport has a much higher priority in European towns and cities,		
		some lessons could be learned. The type of buses used in Bury should reviewed with bus companies maybe using smaller more economical buses, (electric maybe ?).	meet the needs of the town centre.	
139	resident, worker	Please make sure that bus stops on St Andrews St are practical and not just lining the road edge	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
		which would block one lane.		i io chango required
140	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away,	The area should be part of the Northern Gateway to secure a truly integrated improvement to this	reference only to enable people to identify the	
	retired	key area of the town centre. A piecemeal approach will fail given the degree of change needed here.	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to.	
141	resident, worker		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to c
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		On-street bus facilities sounds like an accident waiting to happen.		
142	resident, worker	Open up opportunity for business/commercial development	Agreed.	No change required
143	resident, retired	This area currently has a neglected feel, and there is undoubted potential to improve both the	Thank you for your comments.	
		streetscape and the standard of new design in the area. What the introduction of on-street bus facilities will contribute to this is doubtful.		
144	worker		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend assiration to a
144	WUINEI		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		Really think the loss of the bus station is rubbish, I have concerns of pollution, appearance of the	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		area and how practical the area would become. The Bus station I agree is a large site, which	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		would by selling would bring in extra money, but it current works well. The same issues that effect		
		the situation of buses in the highsrett in Northampton I fear would occur here		
	L			1

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

-	-			
145	resident, worker	More specific and clearly defined proposals for the suggested redevelopment and car parking provision are needed. The town centre needs a better bus station and St Andrews Street North is already busy. A shuttle bus that runs at busy times could link the town centre with car parks outside the town centre.	In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan. The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	No change required
146	resident, worker	again in part - looks shabby at the moment and give poor initial impression to visitors and needs to feel more joined up with the town - better directions	Agreed.	No change required
147	resident, retired	Please note that my 'yes' to Q12 above is a qualified 'yes'	Thank you for your response.	No change required
148	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
		A definite NO - the bus station must remain and become a hub for arriving visitors		
149	resident	Why close a bus station and have buses standing at the roadside, that doesn't improve the area, I assume that each bus stop will have a shelter, where will the seats go for passengers waiting for non town route buses and long distance coach passengers, where will the buses park that are between service, further down St Andrews Street N ? and where will the toilets be ?	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
150	resident	As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel into town by car. Removing the bus station is just silly.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area.The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
151	resident	<ol> <li>Renovate the existing bus station. You cannot move the bus facilities onto the street, because you will not provide even basic shelters for people to use in inclement weather. There is still no bus shelter heading West on Hardwick Lane.</li> </ol>	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

152	resident	To maximise car parking spaces and minimise visual impact any new car parks should have underground levels and not dominate nearby buildings of architectural interest.	Thank you for your suggestion. All options around providing additional parking will be considered.	No change required
153	worker	A definite NO - the bus station must remain and become a hub for arriving visitors	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
154	resident	Why close a bus station and have buses standing at the roadside, that doesn't improve the area, I assume that each bus stop will have a shelter, where will the seats go for passengers waiting for non town route buses and long distance coach passengers, where will the buses park that are between service, further down St Andrews Street N ? and where will the toilets be ?	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	· ·
155	visitor from less than 10 miles away	As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel into town by car. Removing the bus station is just silly.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
156	resident	<ol> <li>Renovate the existing bus station. You cannot move the bus facilities onto the street, because you will not provide even basic shelters for people to use in inclement weather. There is still no bus shelter heading West on Hardwick Lane.</li> </ol>	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
157 158	resident, retired resident, worker	To maximise car parking spaces and minimise visual impact any new car parks should have underground levels and not dominate nearby buildings of architectural interest. Bury Masterplan Whose idea to lose bus station? What 'brains' decided we no longer need a bus	Thank you for your suggestion. All options around providing additional parking will be considered. The masterplan does not propose the removal of	No change required Amend aspiration to c
		station? We need a larger bus station, with tourist information facilities for locals and visitors. I wonder how often the 'brains' travel on public transport and use the station for local buses and holiday coaches. Would they like to stand in St Andrew's Street with shopping, push chairs, suitcases, etc, in all weather? I think not. Perhaps they should try using public transport and then they would realise what a bad idea this is. Pat McGoochan Do not "free up" the existing bus station We need to keep the bus station most definitely. Arrivals and departures some where comfortable and out of the weather to wait and chat especially for country people. National Express etc. Think of those who are unable to drive.	the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
e delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
e delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

159	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to a
		To redevelop the bus station and put the traffic onto the road is a ridiculous suggestion. The bus station is very well used and keeps the buses off the road whilst picking up and dropping off passengers in safety. The idea that excursion buses will not have a bus station to pick up their passengers with their luggage can only make the on-street pick ups a disaster waiting to happen. Why spend on all that money on providing a bus station then demolish it 15-20 years later is a total waste of tax payers money. It makes you wonder who has thought of this. Do they live in Bury St Edmunds?	the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
160	resident	Retain existing bus station to include information area for visitors to town. Government Offices Can Still be demolished with retail shops in place	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
161	worker	The bus station needs to be developed to take in all transport including coaches, the provision of on street bus stops would be ridiculous and dangerous	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
162	resident	There is a need for a Bus Station with Toilets and information on bus timetables for residents and visitors (Not everyone has a smart phone ) When I6ong distant coaches arrive in evenings or Sunday no Comfort Stop facilities. Thought we were trying to encourage tourists? Needs Bus Stop near Arc for Handicapped and Elderly	If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then alternative locations for bus stops will be sought that are equally convenient prior to closure. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
	prefer not to say	<ul> <li>As already mentioned, we would not support the development of an on-street bus facility – this would create chaos and confusion. The existing bus station should be developed as a bus/coach/P&amp;R drop and not redeveloped for any other use.</li> <li>Retain the parking out toward parkway and consider multi-storey and P&amp;R hub. Surface parking is very inefficient.</li> <li>Other development proposals (excluding bus station and car park redevelopment) would be supported.</li> </ul>	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
164		Student accommodation needs to be carefully managed and the area needs to be in keeping with the architecture and design to maintain a feeling of pride and ownership	Agreed.	No change required

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

165	resident, retired	This must be the worst idea in the whole scheme. How can you "improve the pedestrian environment" whilst moving loads of people on to those self same pavements. At certain times there are scores of passengers waiting. They will be blocking the passage of other people using the pavements. Holiday coaches leave from the bus station. Passengers have to have luggage taking up more space. Where are the buses going to be parked whilst their drivers have to tale legally required breaks? What" facilities" are you proposing to supply? Waiting room for the winter months? Toilets? I can foresee the library being busy.As new shops and housing is proposed for this location it doesn't seem sensible to fill the space outside with queues of people. Stupid suggestion. KEEP THE BUS STATION!	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
166	resident, retired	The bus station should stay where it is and be given proper facilities.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
167	resident	Move bus station to near railway station and have circulating shuttle vehicles. Keep big buses out of the centre.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
168	resident	Improvement of St Andrews Quarter is essential. For many, this area has always been considered the 'back of town', before the arc was installed and even since. Therefore, ensuring it is a welcoming area linking the arc to the market, would sustain and enhance both these areas.	Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
169	resident, worker	Do you really want to put a bus station on the main street? How is this supposed to address congestion? What about the increased risk of pedestrian accidents? Surely retaining the station and improving it is the best option here. Re. Student accommodation. Proceed with caution. Many is the residential area across the country that has been ruined by the insensitive addition of student housing over the last 15 years. You risk planning blight here. Students now come with cars (where to park them?) and they always come with noise. Student accommodation is best sited immediately adjacent to the institution concerned (i.e. the former NHS stores building near Beetons Way).	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
170	resident, worker, other	Why move the bus station? It fulfils a useful role and works for the drivers as they can access the A14 quite quickly.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
171	other	Not totally sure the plan for the buses has been thought through. The current, fairly new, bus terminal seems to fit the bill exactly whereas the new plan is a bit fuzzy.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre.	-

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	

				-
172	resident, retired	I do not agree with removal of bus station. It seems to me that this may be being proposed on the grounds of financial gain to the Borough Council from sale of the site rather than to encourage more use of public transport and to make life easier for citizens without cars. Why is more development needed on this site? If offices, this will lead to more traffic being brought into town and need for more car parking. If for shops, then they are not needed. If for housing, then	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
173	worker	make parking the priority ahead of anything else	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There is an aspiration to consider provision of additional parking with new access in this Character Area.	No change required
174	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away		Any new retail or service provision will be market led.	No change required
175	resident	Our Bus Station needs investment, improvement and expansion.parking buses on the street and putting passengers and bus shelters on the pavement would cause obstructions. Adding more car park spaces would encourage more cars into the town centre, which we should avoid doing. SOCIAL HOUSING must be the priority.	Social housing is already a priority in the adopted Local Plan. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	Amend aspiration to or redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
176	resident, retired	We need the bus and coach station to remain - they will clog up St Andrew's St & they are ugly. Fennel House residents would not want traffic, loading, unloading & queues outside	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
177	resident	This might be considered many years in the future; not needed now. Ridiculous to sugegst doing away with the bus station	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	Amend aspiration to or redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
178	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	Amend aspiration to or redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

179	resident, retired	If Rury truly wishes to appound an an visitors it must atop tracting visitors/residents/andworkers	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amond aspiration to dolote reference to
		St Andrews St (N) would result in more traffic congestion, increased air pollution and the sight of people waiting for buses is not a street scene that enhances any town. What "facilities" would they have - usually minimal shelter and seating - a poor option for long distance travel with luggage.	the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
180	resident, retired	People generally have grave concerns about closing the bus station. I think a bgetter option is top develop a betetr bus station & make attractive shop & business opportunities in conjuction with that at sensible affordable renats e.g. a good café etc.	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	· ·
181	resident	Yes - smarten it up but it would be rubbish to lose the bus station	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	· ·
182	prefer not to say	Bus station to remain, and to be open & staffed	The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
183	prefer not to say	I read the proposed plans for Bury St Edmunds in this morning's Bury Free Press with interest. In the main I agree with the proposals, but I feel that the lack of a bus station per se is a mistake. We should be making public transport as easy and comfortable to use as possible in order to reduce the use of the private car with all the congestion and pollution which that entails. The plans show new on-street bus facilities. What does that mean? Does it include somewhere warm and sheltered for people to wait, with toilet facilities and bus and tourist information? I have used buses regularly over the last 40 years to get to and from Bury and the current bus station when fully open and staffed was exemplary. Please remember that bus users include the elderly, the disabled and parents with young children, all of whom may have long bus journeys ahead of them in order to get to their villages/country towns. I often catch the bus from Stowmarket which has no bus station/shelter and waiting for a bus in cold wet weather is no joke.Has any thought been given to a park and ride system particularly with reference to West Suffolk Hospital or at least on market days?		

	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
est to		
ies	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
est to		
	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
est to		
	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
est to		
	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	received by email
est to		

101	ragidant	I have read with interest the proposals outlined in the Town Plan for Bury St Edmunds. I am	The meeternion does not propose the removal of	Amond contration to dolpto reference to	reasived by ameil
		encouraged by some of the new and exciting concepts – however I am appalled by the suggestion of building on the site of the existing Bus Station. I do not see anywhere in your plan a site for its replacement. At present the Bus Station provides a service for the community to access the rest of Suffolk, to London and beyond. For obvious reasons bus timetables are adversely affected by other road problems and arrival and departure times of buses need to be displayed as they are at the existing Bus Station. Persons waiting patiently for buses or waiting to pick-up passengers expected to arrive must have suitable accommodation for their waiting and especially somewhere nearby, to park their own car after dropping off or collecting passengers. There is a basic necessity for a Bus Station and any proposal to dispense with the existing Bus Station is to be abhorred. Rather than demolish a public facility it should be improved to cater for future passenger needs	for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	received by email
185		Street. This property is owned and administered by the Guildhall Feoffment, and is currently the home of 4 residents over the age of 60. The Feoffees are very concerned about the effect of closing the bus station and relocating the bus stops to the road. Looking back to the archives, we note that for approximately 2 years in the 1980's the buses stopped on the East side of the street. I am attaching a photo taken during this time which shows the impact the busses had on the property which is situated directly by the stop. The Trustees at that time pointed out that this usage brought with it engine noise and fumes, obstruction to access of the property, litter thrown into the garden, and sometimes numerous and noisy groups of people waiting for the buses from early.	street bus parking and the problems therein. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	Guildhall Feoffment response. Letter received by email
186		the deliverability of the aspiration. A number of questions need to be addressed to ascertain if this	Thank you for your response and suggestions. All the considerations you mention will be fully taken into account in partnership with yourselves.	No change required	SCC questionnaire response. Received by email
		To add car parking on the St. Andrews St. North will make the road more dangerous. They will have to back out in the path of oncoming traffic and buses. St. Andrew's St. North is already a slow moving road. The idea of moving the bus stops from the station to the road side of St. Andrews St. North will only aggrevate the situation. Waiting buses park round the back of	misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
	visitor from less than		Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required	1

	visitor from less than 10 miles away,		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
	retired		for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
	Tellieu		misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
				meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		We don't the bus station closed as a lot of people including older people need to sit down in the dry waiting for their buses. Don't stop the busses inbetween boots and the Arc.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
	resident, worker	Looks better	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
91	visitor from less than		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
	10 miles away,		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus station and replace with
	retired		for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		Getting rid of the bus station and dropping people off in the street is the most ridiculous idea I	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		have ever heard look at your parents who cannot drive and see what you would expect them to	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		do. We can't all afford chauffeurs		
92	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		Bus station should remain in the same place as it is now. Could be more bury in the future -		
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		'hopper buses'/ more buses serving more areas in town summoning areas - of people are being	meet the needs of the town centre.	
102	realdent worker	annoyed from bringing cars into town centre	Thenk you for your our reactions	No shappe required
193	resident, worker	Redevelop Due station and approxisted building into large multi-starsy car park with approxisted	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
		Redevelop Bus station and associated building into large multi storey car park with associated		
10.4	<i>.</i>	shops to the front and possibly flats incorporated into site. Relocate bus station to Arc car park.		
194	prefer not to say	4) The planners are trying to conjure up a picture of some sort of pseudo sub-tropical utopia where		No change required
		young happy shoppers relax drinking coffee at open air cafes. In reality shoppers are just as likely	an impression rather than detail.	
05	na stala a Consul	to be OAPs struggling in the pouring rain> 15	The most contract on the second state	
195	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		ANY INTENTION TO SELL OFF THE BUS STATION IS TOTALLY REGRESSIVE TO THE	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		ASPIRATIONS OF IMPROVING FACILITIES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORT		
196	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus station and replace with
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed	meet the needs of the town.
		Bus passengers are happy with the facilities of the existing bus station and do not want "new on	without comprehensive solutions for providing	
		street bus facilities" further from the town centre instead. The planned review of Traffic Movement	the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
		needs to be done immediately.	access to the town centre.	
				No. shan na manina d
97	visitor from more	No	I hank you for your response	INO Change required
	visitor from more	No	Thank you for your response.	No change required
	than 10 miles away,	No	I hank you for your response.	No change required
		No	I hank you for your response.	No change required

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	
delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to he town.	

198	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
				positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		How will the hug station be redeveloped? Needs well ergenized aloon (and staffed information	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		How will the bus station be redeveloped? Needs well organised, clean (and staffed information office) with good facilities - To include information for visitors.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
	resident, visitor from more than 10 miles		The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
	away, retired			positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		bigger, more attractive bus and coach station to welcome visitors to the town. NOT drop people off		
		in the street and leave them to find necessary facilities wherever they might be, This is the most ridiculous idea you have ever come up with.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
00	resident, retired		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
		STATION. If Bury truly wishes to encourage more visitors and ease traffic congestion it must stop treating visitors/residents/and worker who travel by nus and coach as second class citizens. The	misunderstanding and needs to be amended. No proposals will be progressed without	meet the needs of the
		suggestion of on-street bus facilities in st Andrews St (N) would result in more traffic congestion,	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		enhances any town. What "facilities" would they have - usually minimal shelter and seating, - a	meet the needs of the town centre.	
		poor option for long distance travellers with luggage.		
201	resident, student			Amend aspiration to c
			provision is set out in Character Area 9. The	redeveloping the bus
			Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to	positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
			improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	
			and around the town centre. This includes	
			consideration of a 'shuttle bus' facility. The	
			masterplan does not propose the removal of the	
			bus station, but it does mention opportunities for	
			its redevelopment. This has led to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		Lots of work to do here, *RETAIN THE BUS STATION we need a well informed hub; with an	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		excellently managed and cost effective Bus service/ Shuttle bus/ par and Ride. Crossing points,	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to meet the needs of the town centre.	
		cycle lanes, Make car park more efficient *under ground??		
	prefer not to say		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	· ·
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		clear statements in the document that are contrary to this fundamental aim. One of these is the	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		redevelopment of the bus station and its replacement with on-street bus facilities. This is a very	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		poor alternative to retaining a purpose-built bus station with facilities available for use by	meet the needs of the town centre. Closure of St	
		passengers. The Borough Council has already shown its lack of commitment to public transport by		
		recent changes to the bus station; this lack of commitment is now being exacerbated by the	not necessarily deprive people of convenient	
		proposal to redevelop the bus station. Also, closing St Andrews Street South to through traffic and		
		Irarouting huses would denrive the nublic of hus store that are conveniently located in close	a tractile where a subscription of the second se	
		rerouting buses would deprive the public of bus stops that are conveniently located in close proximity to both the Arc and Cornhill/Buttermarket shopping areas. These proposals must be	similarly convenient locations.	

e delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
e delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
o delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
o delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	
e delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to ne town.	

203	other		Prior to the construction of the A14 (formerly	No change required	
		As a resident in a Grade 2 historic house, and there are a great many in the town centre, I am very	A45), Risbygate Street formed part of the trunk		
		concerned about the use of Risbygate Street as a bus route. My house, in common with others,	route network corning all of the beaut troffic		
		been a seller and the form detions of ald houses a full hand to be the second seller and the form detions of ald houses	route network carrying all of the heavy traffic		
		has a cellar and the foundations of old houses are fragile. My house is six hundred years old. The	through Bury St Edmunds and its many historic		
		pounding of bus traffic may well cause considerable vibration and consequently damage. I request	buildings. By comparison, a modern double		
		that English Heritage and the Conservation officer are involved in this process and provide a	decker bus weighs about 12 tonnes.		
		report.			
			1		
1					

		Q15 - Character Area 4 - Churchgate - Do the aspirations proposed for this area address the issues - Do you have any other comments?	Total Representations: 138		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1		Basically yes, but please don't restrict car access, same comment as for Cornhill/Buttermarket question.	We are not seeking to reduce car access we are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.		
2	1	Strongly agree with an additional pedestrian crossing / raised area on Crown Street.		No change required	
3		Restricting and slowing traffic on Angel Hill will only push traffic onto Northgate Street and Parkway. Think about it!	We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill, recognising this as a vital north-south link through the town. This aspiration relates to the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.	No change required	
4	resident	Limiting vehicle access through the Churchgate area and increasing restrictions on vehicles in Abbeygate Street is again forcing cars onto the ring road, increasing congestion. How can people expect to live in the town centre and not have cars driving along their street or parking there?	We are not seeking to reduce car access we are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.	No change required	
5	resident	Some areas are made less attractive by allowing for car parking whilst the area only benefits from providing spaces for a few cars - for example Chequer Square. This could be a pedestrianized area where people could sit and appreciate the views.	We are not looking to remove car parking on Chequer Square as this is a valuable amenity for local residents and was not raised as an issue in the Issues and Options consultation,		
6	resident, student	There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	Issues in this Character Area were identified during the Issues and Options stage.	No change required	
7	resident	Please leave this area alone.	There are no aspirations to undertake any changes in this Character Area above those raised as issues during the Issues and Options stage.	No change required	
8	resident			No change required	
9		key to this is the retention of the areas character any developments should be carefully controlled to ensure that change is managed in line with this ambition.	Agreed.	No change required	
10	resident	With the presence of Premier inn, there is a considerable shortage of parking spaces for St Marys church, and the Theatre Royal. Somehow a parking solution needs to be found to increase the	some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side of town to allow the public to make use of the facilities available nearby.	No change required	
11	visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	Please see comments for Q7	Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required	
12	resident, worker	Don't agree that traffic should be limited in the way proposed. A better solution would be a 20mph speed limit along Churchgate Street.	A 20mph limit is in place throughout much of the Churchgate Character Area. Efforts to reinforce this limit have proved ineffective and alternative solutions are needed.	No change required	

13	resident		There are no aspirations to undertake any	No change required
			changes in this Character Area above those	
			raised as issues during the Issues and Options	
		Don't spoil	stage.	
14	worker, visitor from		It is acknowledged that one person's solution is	No change required
••	less than 10 miles	Churchgate street is a vital access street for me and my disabled parents into the town by car.	another's problem, which is why more work will	
	away	Limiting access to prevent a 'rat run' (depending on what 'limiting access is) would cause many	be required to establish an appropriate solution	
	away	people access problems.	to the problem.	
15	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
15	resident	See earlier note about clearing the cars from Angel Hill.		no change required
16	resident		response to previous comments. 73% of respondents to the issues and Options	No change required
10	resident		· · ·	no change required
			questionnaire described themselves as	
			residents of Bury St Edmunds. The aspirations	
		Oten were in a charit to wiste and leads often the leads who are her in a to new feathis which	for this area have received significant support	
		Stop worrying about tourists and look after the locals who are having to pay for this rubbish	from local residents.	
17	resident	Providing a crossing at the bottom end of Churchgate Street is a good idea, as is making the	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
		whole area safer for pedestrians.		
18	resident		We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic	No change required
			reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill.	
			This aspiration relates to the predominantly	
			residential streets in this Character Area. There	
			is one additional pedestrian crossing proposed	
		angel hill roadway is rubbish - it is, and always will be, a main route through the town - raised	to provide safer access in the Churchgate St /	
		crossings cause more problems than they solve - if you put more pedestrian crossing features in	Norman Tower area. this received strong	
		all sections, as you seem to be proposing then you will put people off coming to the town as it will	support in the Issues and Options stage.	
		be at absolute gridlock and only suitable to walk in		
19	resident, worker		There is an aspiration in this Character Area to	No change required
		In part. The council would do well to making Abbeygate St a fully pedestrian road, and to making	review the hours of vehicle restriction on	
		the Angel Hill crossing at Abbey Gardens a clearly-identified pedestrian crossing point. Deliveries		
		to Abbeygate premises should be out-of-hours using small, environmentally friendly vehicles.		
20	resident	Car parking will for some time be a problem. Speeding also a challenge. Road alterations to slow	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
20		traffic may help provided that teh nature of the area is not affected. Parking should be limited to	Thank you for your comments.	
		house-holds with additional parking provided elsewhere. Again availability of suitable areas with		
		reasonable access could be a challenge. In the future, in communal use car rental might be		
		desirable.		
21	rocidont		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
<u>~ 1</u>	resident	This "Divide and rule" Policy is counter-productive, unhelpful and misguided. The Artists		
		impression of the Cornhill is dishonest. It should be accompanied by an Impression of the same	response to previous comments.	
00	head don't must in the	prospect at 3pm on a Thursday in January with a north wind blowing up St. Johns Street.		No shan no nomined
22	resident, prefer not		During the Issues and Options stage there was	No change required
	to say		some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
		Extend public square on Angel hill. remove parking except disabled.	facilities available nearby.	
23	resident	More electric car charging points needed	Agreed.	No change required
24	resident		Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required
			be considered before any changes are made.	
		it should be an access only area for motorised vehicles.		
25	resident, retired		There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to	No change required
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
			There is also a priority in this area to carry out a	
			programme of enhancement and repair to	
			streets, spaces and pavements raising the	
			overall quality of the environment appropriate	
			with its historic identity	
		Improve pavement, arrange parking to allow regular street cleaning.		

26	resident, worker	Pedestrianise. Take away heavy traffic. Reduce pollution from cars destroying the pleasure of walking into town.	all users of the town centre. There are aspirations to increase priority for pedestrians throughout the masterplan, including key areas of pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side of town to allow the public to make use of the facilities available nearby.	
27	worker	aah the Medievel Grid Mafia!! Here's a classic case of Nimbyism! improve and change everywhere else but not where we live! The Churchgate Area needs to looked after but there is a mix of residential and business premises there and the needs of both need to be considered carefully. The on street parking is used by customers of many businesses located nearby and these facilities shouldn't be removed. My view is that this area is currently quite "protected" and the balance in regards of residential and commercial premises is about right. In the "activities" section there is reference to maintaining businesses , particularly to the North of Churchgate St. Throughout Churchgate St there is a wonderful mix of individual independent businesses, including two award winning restaurants and they shouldn't feel under any pressure to be relocated!!	Thank you for your comments, We are aware of the need to fully take into account and balance the needs of businesses and residents, particularly the need for the individal businesses.	
28	resident, retired	Why have such a lovely area as Angel Hill as a car park? Why allow cars to drive down Abbeygate Street at any time? Why allow on street parking in the Churchgate area when there are too few parking spaces for residents? Why allow two way traffic to go right past the Cathedral door? Why allow Churchgate/Giuildhall St to be used as a rat run?	some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side of town to allow the public to make use of the facilities available nearby. There is an aspiration in this Character Area to review the hours of vehicle restriction on Abbeygate Street. We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill, recognising this as a vital north-south link through the town. This aspiration relates to the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area. e are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the	
29	resident, worker	More traffic calming in this area will create more traffic jams and congestion. I never have a problem crossing these roads and vehicles already drive quite slowly in this area.	We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill. This aspiration relates to the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area where there is a record of speeing vehicles.	No change required
30	resident	Angel Hill is a real gem and should be protected from any increased traffic. If, as I would like, the Market Square becomes pedestrianised then Abbeygate St should also be permanently pedestrianised, only permitting delivery vehicles at designated out of hours.	Thank you for your comments. There is an aspiration in this Character Area to review the hours of vehicle restriction on Abbeygate Street.	No change required
31	resident	TRAFFIC-CALMING AND RAISED CROSSINGS ARE LAST CENTURY'S FAILED SOLUTIONS. A PEDESTRIAN CROSSING OUTSIDE ABBEY GATE WOULD SOLVE PROBLEMS IF 20 MPH LIMIT WAS ENFORCED. ABBEYGATE STREET SHOULD BE CLOSED TO ALL VEHICLES FROM 8.00AM TO MIDNIGHT. WEIGHT RESTRICTION ON LORRY WEIGHT.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required

32	resident, retired	A review of the one-way system is essential so as to prevent the rat-running from Angel Hill onto	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		Churchgate Street and out through Whiting Street and Guildhall street southern end to Westgate		
		street. Acknowledging that residents' parking is preferred necessary it does need to be reutilised,		
		too often the streetscape is dominated by cars, the southern part of Guildhall street suffers badly		
		often to the detriment of pedestrians .		
33	resident			No change required
			questionnaire described themselves as	
			residents of Bury St Edmunds. The aspirations	
			for this area have received significant support	
			from local residents. The Churchgate Area	
			Association and the Bury Society have held two	
			open meetings to discuss the masterplan and	
			gather the views of residents and businesses in	
			the area, with an average attendance of over	
		Why not ask the people who live there,!!!	100 people.	
34	resident, worker	The "design and detailing" on Angel Hill is not the best option. Government and RoSPA have		No change required
			before progressing any design changes in this	
			Character Area. Thank you for your comments	
			and suggestions.	
		the requirements for a 20 mph zone - these should be used rather than try to re-invent something		
		based on the street-scape of the town. Better still, ban vehicles from the town centre between		
		certain times. Deal with the traffic problems created by the schools in the area. Deal with the		
		pollution problem that blights the lives and affects the health of those living and working in the		
		area.		
35	resident		Issues were raised at the Issues and Options	No change required
			stage, with strong support for aspirations that	
			tackle pedestrian safety and 'rat running'.	
36	resident, retired	So many of your MAP comments are pious waffle but using the Abbey Gardens > town crossing is		No change required
			crossing to improve pedestrian safety at	
			Churchgate Street/Norman Gate during the	
			Issues and Options consultation.	
37	visitor from more	To some extent, and this as pointed out is a very important historical area, which should be		No change required
0.			potential effects will be fully considered prior to	
			any changes being implemented.	
		routes should be provided.	any changes being implemented.	
38	resident		The Borough have taken the first steps to	No change required
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which	
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year	
			process as it has to go through an Act of	
			Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
			2019. During the Issues and Options stage there	
			was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill.	
		· · ·	We have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
		one of the finest squares in the country. Very keen to see hours of pedestrian priority extended in Abbeygate Street - a guick win?	facilities available nearby.	
20	resident, worker		There is an appiration in Character Area 0.44	No change required
39	resident, worker		·	No change required
			Include provision of additional tree planting,	
			planters and displays in all schemes where	
		Plant tree's on Angel Hill, lots.	appropriate and possible.	
	1			1

prefer not to say	The Open Meeting agreed that traffic should be limited in the Churchgate Area and the one-way	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
	system should be reviewed. Car parking for residents, businesses and visitors should also be reviewed. The problems of drop of and pick up of children at the three schools in the area		
	remains an issue. The suggested raised pedestrian crossing between the bottom of Churchgate		
	Street and the Cathedral was welcomed. But more "build outs", "chicanes" and "raised pedestrian		
	crossings" are needed to slow traffic down and improve pedestrian safety within the medieval grid.		
	Large lorries should be banned from some areas to reduce health and safety risks and damage to		
worker, visitor from		We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic	No change required
less than 10 miles		reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill,	<b>5</b>
away	Traffic needs to move through Angel Hill otherwise we'll have the Christmas Favre chaos all year	recognising this as a vital north-south link	
		through the town.	
resident		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
	a. I feel that the 'Characterful Properties' statement and principles should be expanded to cover		
	Well Street, Orchard Street, Northgate Street and similar residential areas/conservation areas. b.	protected.	
	I like these proposals and agree with the sentiment that covers the 'strong historic heart'		
resident, worker		During the Issues and Options stage there was	No change required
		some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
		5	
	Angel Hill is spoilt by the car park. It is too prominent an area of the town to be used in this way.		
resident	There is no effective to each manifeliar it sees the manifelia to Parit achieve states of the second the		No change required
		town centre is recognised in Character Area 9.	
	going to continue into town along Crown St or turn right into Honey Hill. A simple lining of the road		
	for a few yards here before the metal arches would help enormously.		
resident		We are not seeking to reduce car access we are	No change required
		looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat	
		running' through the predominantly residential	
		streets in this Character Area.	
	would be the best option.	<b>-</b>	
resident			No change required
	Needs more seating areas, permanent greenery not just hoxes. Also more permanent spaces for		
	outdoor cafes/restaurants/bars.	podostrianisation of part podostrianisation.	
re re	ess than 10 miles away esident esident, worker	roads and pavements while smaller vans should bring deliveries in from depots outside the town centre. A car sharing scheme should be considered including pick up, drop off and depot facilities (more details are available).         worker, visitor from ess than 10 miles       Traffic needs to move through Angel Hill otherwise we'll have the Christmas Fayre chaos all year round.         esident       a. I feel that the 'Characterful Properties' statement and principles should be expanded to cover Well Street, Orchard Street, Northgate Street and similar residential areas/conservation areas. b. Like these proposals and agree with the sentiment that covers the 'strong historic heart'         esident       Angel Hill is spoilt by the car park. It is too prominent an area of the town to be used in this way.         esident       There is no reference to cycle provision. It may be possible to limit vehicle access through the area but there needs to be good provision for cyclists to pass through this part of the town in all directions. Failure to provide designated cycle lanes/routes would result in poorer and less safe pedestrian experiences since cyclists need to traverse this (and other) part of the town. The often saturated use of the bike racks in the town centre demonstrates how numerous cyclists are in the town and they must be accomodated in the 'Movement' focus of this area. It is imperative to provide a crossing at Crown St/Angel Hill. Many people emerge from the Great Churchyard and need to cross there. Narrowing the carriageway (like the one that exists extremely effectively at Angel Hill would be the best way. It would help to lame the approach to the Grid just before the junction with Crown St and Honey Hill. Pedestrians have to 'second guess' whether a vehicle is going to continue into town along Crown St orum right into Honey Hill. A	rads and pavements while smaller vans should bring deliveries in from depts outside the town centre. A car sharing scheme should be considered including pick up, drop off and depot facilities (more details are available).         worker, visitor from ess than 10 miles way       We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill, reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill is avaital north-south link through the town.         esident, worker       a. I feel that the 'Characterful Properties' statement and principles should be expanded to cover Well Street, Orchard Street, Northgate Street and similar residential areas/conservation areas. b rotected.       We are very aware that many areas of the town have storigh thoric value and this will be protected.         esident, worker       angel Hill is spoilt by the car park. It is too prominent an area of the town to be used in this way. esident       There is no reference to cycle provision. It may be possible to limit vehicle access through the area but there needs to be good provision for cyclists to pass through this part of the town. The other norw and they must be accomodated in the Movement focus of this area. It is importive to norw and they must be accomodated in the Movement focus of this area. It is importive to norw and they must be accomodated in the Movement focus of this area. It is importive to norw and they wust he

47	resident		We are not seeking to reduce car access we are	No change required
			looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat	
			running' through the predominantly residential	
			streets in this Character Area. There are no	
			current proposed changes for the one way	
			system but there is an aspiration to review its	
			operation. The Borough have taken the first	
			steps to decriminalise parking enforcement,	
			which currently sits with the Police. This is a two	
			year process as it has to go through an Act of	
			Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
			2019. There is an aspiration in Character Area 9	
			to repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
			There is also a priority in this area to carry out a	
			programme of enhancement and repair to	
		restrict car access. I am aware of the proposed changes to the one way system and do not think it		
			overall quality of the environment appropriate	
1			with its historic identity	
		especially in Whiting Street. Encourage school children to walk to the Guildhall school and		
		catholic school.		
48	resident, retired		We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic	No change required
40	resident, retired	The main way to reduce rat-running in this area would be to close Angel Hill to through traffic, plus		no change required
		some judicious reorganisation of the one way system. As endless speed surveys have		
			recognising this as a vital north-south link	
		any further TC measures compared to many other parts of the town which do have a speed issue.	through the town.	
40	raaidant	any further TC measures compared to many other parts of the town which do have a speed issue.	Thenk you for your commente. During the locuse	No change required
49	resident		Thank you for your comments. During the Issues	No change required
			and Options stage there was some support for	
			pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken	
			this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a	
		crossing points are urgently needed at Crown Street by the Norman Tower. Could the very ugly	valuable car park on the east side of town to	
		'gates' be removed from the top of Crown St - they have had their 20 mile speed limit removed so		
		what is their purpose? Angel Hill is a beautiful square and historic area spoilt by cars - if there was		
			and a park and ride/walk/cycle scheme are	
			included in Character Areas 5 and 9.	
50	and dealers and the	reviewed - there is confusion and it is dangerous for pedestrians.		No shanna na mira d
50	resident, worker			No change required
51	resident, worker			No change required
			charging points are an important facility. The	
		children attempting to cross the road in the mornings especially and drivers often do not slow	masterplan seeks to balance the needs of users	
		down along that street. Please don't forget that there are town centre residents who currently pay	of all forms of transport including motorists. We	
		for parking permits and already struggle to or are unable to find parking spaces within their zone	recognise the town serves a large area that	
		(D) which incorporates this area. Any proposal to limit parking for residents within the town centre	requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
		is a terrible idea, more spaces are needed not less. Also, these spaces should NOT be available	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		to 'pay and park' customers (ie Chequer Square) when the council has already taken residents		
		parking permit money for these oversubscribed spaces. Has any consideration been given to a		
		dedicated electric car charging parking area within the town centre which can't be used by non-		
		electric cars? Also increased electric car park charging points in all town centre car parks? Please		
		also remember that there are business people who need to use their cars to run their businesses		
		as well as residents. Painting vehicles in the town centre as entirely bad can make life very		
		difficult, especially for those who live and work in the vicinity.		
52	visitor from more		Localised limitations to reduce traffic speeds are	No change required
	than 10 miles away	There is a lot of traffic around Bury and restricting access here will contribute to further congestion		
		elsewhere.	copngestion elsewhere.	
	-	·	· · -	

53		Please enforce the residents/disabled parking so that these are not abused. Priority should be given to repairing the broken pavements - as well as repairing the inevitable subsidence of the cobbled streets such as in Hatter Street and Angel Hill, which is the consequence of allowing heavy goods vehicles through these narrow streets often mounting the pavements to continue	decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019. There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and maintain pavements and walkways using sympathetic and appropriate materials. There is also a priority in this area to carry out a programme of enhancement and repair to streets, spaces and pavements raising the overall quality of the environment appropriate	No change required
			with its historic identity.	
54	resident		significantly reduce vehicle movement within the town centre and increase additional convenient parking as well as encouraging and maker safer	No change required
55	resident, retired	Churchgate is a useful road for getting from one side of town to the other .I don't feel that	We are not seeking to pedestrianise car access we are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area, where there are alternative routes across the town.	No change required
56		Again no consideration given to cycle provision. If you want to reduce rat runs you need to	improve cycling access and facilities across the town centre is recognised in Character Area 9.	No change required
57	resident, worker	Churchgate is an area which really struggles with access and parking. The parking needs a	The provision of additional parking is addressed within the masterplan. Modern car ownership levels and parking demands will inevitiable cause a degree of conflict within a Medieval street layout.	No change required
58	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	To avoid rat running of vehicles the traffic needs to flow and have minimal queuing in the other		No change required

59	resident		During the Issues and Options stage there was	No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
		We would like this area to be for access only and creating a cul de sac at the abbey arch. Also car		
		parking outside the Angel should at least be reduced to temporary drop off if not removed.	facilities available nearby.	
60	resident, retired		, ,	No change required
00			changes in this Character Area above those	
			raised as issues during the Issues and Options	
		A lovely part of town. Best left alone.	- · ·	
<u>C1</u>			stage.	No share required
61	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles	I recently read that the government are looking at getting rid of traffic calming as it contributes to	potential effects will be fully considered prior to	
	away, student	air pollution, and so am not sure that this would be a good idea (and may need to be removed if	any changes being implemented.	
		the government do put these plans into place). I work on Abbeygate St and the parking after 4 is		
		useful for 'quick trips' into town to grab a coffee etc, so it would be good if this road does stay		
		open. Pedestrians should be reminded that Lower Baxter St etc cross Abbeygate St and		
		technically have priority though, as many do not seem to be aware of this.		
62	resident, retired		A 20mph limit is in place throughout much of the	No change required
		I would warn against so-called traffic calming as this is now identified as a factor contributing to air		
		pollution in towns. Narrowing of already narrow streets is particularly counter-productive and often		
		dangerous (e.g. to motor cycles and pushbikes). I believe that blanket 15 - 20 mph zones would	solutions are needed.	
62	resident, worker	be preferable - with proper monitoring to catch offenders, including speed cameras.	loouse were reject at the loouse and Ontions	No change required
63	resident, worker			No change required
			stage, with strong support for aspirations that	
		What issues?	tackle pedestrian safety and 'rat running'.	
64	resident		Within the historic grid layout of the town centre	No change required
			there is an inevitable conflict between the	
			demands of a modern day society and a road lay	
			out that was not built to manage this.	
		There is nothing in the plan to address the problems that residents' have with parking. Residents	Consideration of all users of these areas will be	
		safety could be enhanced by enforcing no cycling on pavements. Where doors open directly onto	fully taken into account before any changes are	
		the street, there is direct danger to residents.	made.	
65	resident, worker		Thank you for your suggestion, but this could	No change required
		If possible look at widening the road slightly to ease with vehicles passing on a daily basis.	result in faster vehicle speeds.	
66	resident		Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of	No change required
			the masterplan working group and will be	
			included in all key decisions relating to the	
		Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character	masterplan as well as numerous individual	
		Areas in the masterplan.	projects.	
67	resident, retired	make clear pedestrian or car priority. Very confusing outside Abbey gardens. Very limited options	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
51		re traffic flow as need to respect grid system		
68	resident, other		Disagree. The works to Angel Hill have	No change required
00		No. Don't put in that dreadful mixed use/unclear mess you have on Angel Hill. V. dangerous no		
			increased pedestrian safety and provided	
<u> </u>	na ai da w t	clear right of way.	significant environmental improvement.	No share required
69	resident		Agree.	No change required
		asset to our community.		
70	resident			No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
			facilities available nearby. Any partnership with	
		Parking issues for Theatre Royal. Possible partnership with Greene King to use their car park out	Greene King would need to be arranged by the	
		of hours. Better signage to parking areas for theatre goers.	individual parties.	
71	resident	Close Abbeygate Street completely to all traffic, except deliveries, and improve the signage where		No change required
		traffic crosses this street, emphasising that pedestrians have priority	, ,	
72	resident	Yes, an additional pedestrian crossing on Crown Street is badly needed.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
	10010011			

	-			
73	resident			No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
			facilities available nearby. There is no aspiration	
		WOULD INCREASE MORE CONGESTION IN OTHER PARTS OF TOWN AND MAKE	to make Angel Hill one way.	
		RATRUNS IN SOME PLACES.		
74		Any enhancement of the area such as the suggested improved paving will be great.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
75	worker		Agreed.	No change required
		pedestrians to cross.		
76	resident		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
			have strong historic value and this will be	
		not enough historic protection	protected.	
77	resident, worker		We are not seeking to reduce car access we are	No change required
1 · ·		I sometimes use the roads to get to places. Not as a rat run. I visit friends in them roads. The only		
			running' through the predominantly residential	
		cars all arriving at the same short period of time twice a day. The roads cannot handle parents and	streets in this Character Area.	
		gauardians using this area.		
78	resident, worker		More details will be available in the Delivery	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
L		It is hard to tell what these suggestions will actually mean in real terms.	Plan.	
79	resident		There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to	No change required
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
			There is also a priority in this area to carry out a	
			programme of enhancement and repair to	
			streets, spaces and pavements raising the	
		Blocksetts have sunk in many places, becoming a hazard to cyclists and uncomfortable for cars	overall quality of the environment appropriate	
		too. Either connection them, or so back to smoother.	with its historic identity.	
80	resident		Not sure where the conflict is. Priorities will be	No change required
			developed through a delivery programme which	
			will understand the scope, viability, risks,	
		Aspirations in conflict with no clear criteria for ranking these. Real danger of appealing to such a	interdependencies and opportunities for each	
			aspiration coming forward.	
81	resident, worker,			No change required
01				no change required
	visitor from less than		understood in the context of this Character Area.	
	10 miles away,			
	visitor from more			
	than 10 miles away,			
	retired, student,			
	other, prefer not to			
	say	TRY STANDING OUT IN THE COLD AND RAIN IN THE WINTER FOR OAPS		
82		I live in the Churchgate area and find the one way system very satisfying. That reduce the speed	Thank you for your observations.	No change required
02			THANK YOU TO YOU ODSELVATOIS.	
		of cars on Churchgate Street has staggered parking been considered as is College St? It is		
		difficult to go over 20mph in College St. as you have to zig-zag up the street.		
83	resident		Speed bumps are not the only solution, although	No change required
		Please don't use speed bumps! I have never lived in a place with so many speed bumps - its	they are effective for the few people who	
		absolutely, ridiculously over the top in my area (Suffolk Road) and the thought of adding them to	persistantly drive at inapprorpriate speeds for	
		the town centre as well is depressing! 99.9% of people would not abuse the road if they were not		
			should be explored to find the most appropriate	
		nuisance than the occasional person going faster than they should. Surely you can find a better	for the problem and the situation.	
0.4	and the state of the state	way of traffic management than this!	Oburgh water and a line line in the	No shanna na mina d
84	resident, retired		Churchgate area is already predominantly	No change required
			residential in character, although it has a more	
			mixed use towards its northern end. Eateries	
			tend to encourage rather than discourage an	
			evening economy.	
L				1

-				
85	resident, retired		All options will be considered as part of a review	No change required
			of the one way system.	
86	resident, worker		, ,	No change required
			will make the matter worse. No explanation is	
		It wont make any difference, infact it will make problems worse with two schools in this area	given.	
87	resident		Issues were raised at the Issues and Options	No change required
			stage, with strong support for aspirations that	
		calming will only drive people away, or this your intention.	tackle pedestrian safety and 'rat running'.	
88	visitor from less than		We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic	No change required
	10 miles away,		reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill,	
	retired		recognising this as a vital north-south link	
			through the town. This aspiration relates to the	
		Set mid of the awful looking black gates for a start make it a one way street into the Angel Hill	predominantly residential streets in this	
		area.	Character Area.	
89	resident, worker		During the Issues and Options stage there was	No change required
	,		some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
			facilities available nearby.	
90	worker, visitor from		We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic	No change required
	less than 10 miles		reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill,	
			recognising this as a vital north-south link	
	,		through the town. This aspiration relates to the	
		cars/cycles/deliver vans/caravans etc, it's not just small cars that use this area. By all means clean		
			Character Area.	
91	worker			No change required
			relevant projects.	
92	resident		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		I agree with the need to review the times when Abbeygate Street is open/closed to vehicles. The		
		current timings are poor, reopening to pedestrians at the time when school children come out. Not		
		opening until 6.30pm would be better. There also needs to be a review of the number of on street		
		cafe/restaurant tables as these seem to have increased, along with A boards, in recent years		
		resulting in significant obstruction of the pavements. Angel Hill has had quite a lot of attention in		
		recent years and the traffic calming there works well, other areas are probably more of a priority.		
93	resident, worker		This is an interesting concept and can work.	No change required
00			However, too many examples have been poorly	
			designed and failed, leading to shared space	
			having a reputation for failure.	
94	resident, retired	Ideally, Angel Hill (and, to a lesser extent, Chequer Square) should be entirely cleared of cars and		No change required
<b> </b> .			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
		crossings and traffic-calming measures should be introduced along Crown Street. This Character		
			facilities available nearby.	
95	worker		There are no aspirations to undertake any	No change required
			changes in this Character Area above those	
			raised as issues during the Issues and Options	
			stage.	
96	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		Traffic should be limited in the Churchgate Area and the one-way system should be reviewed. Car		······································
		Parking for residents, businesses and visitors should also be reviewed. The suggested raised		
		pedestrian crossing between the bottom of Churchgate Street and the Cathedral is a good idea,		
		however more "build outs", "chicanes" and "raised pedestrian crossings" are needed to slow traffic		
		down and improve pedestrian safety within the medieval grid. Large lorries should be banned from		
		some areas to reduce health and safety risks and damage to roads and pavements while smaller		
		vans should bring deliveries in from depots outside the town centre. There should be a review		
		vehicles turning into Abbeygate Street from High Baxter and Lower Baxter Streets.		
	1	remoles turning into Abbeygate otheet norm nigh bakter and Lower bakter otheets.		

r				
97	resident, retired		Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
		Please note that my 'yes' to Q14 above is a qualified 'yes' The additional pedestrian crossing to		
		Crown Street/Angel Hill would seems to make most sense where the footpath comes out adjacent		
		to the Norman Tower. Here there could be a raised crossing/traffic calming measure, and the		
		redesign of the Churchgate Street junction should also be considered with the aim of preventing		
		traffic from turning right from Angel Hill up Churchgate Street. This would stop the current use of		
		Churchgate Street/ Guildhall Street south/Whiting Street south as a commuter rat-run, which at		
		present encourages speeding along these unsuitable residential streets. There also needs to be a		
		new raised crossing/traffic calming measure across Westgate Street at the top of Friars Lane.		
		This would slow down traffic along Westgate Street, but more importantly would reinforce the		
		promotion of pedestrian/bicycle traffic coming to the town centre from the south.		
98	resident		We are not seeking to reduce car access we are	No change required
00	resident		looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat	
			running' through the predominantly residential	
		revision of "current one way operation"? Churchgate is the only road giving vehicle access to the	streets in this Character Area.	
		town centre. The roads linking Westgate Street and Churchgate Street re not wide enough for two	Streets in this Character Area.	
00	uisiter from loss them	way traffic.	These ways for your receiver and Diagona and	No shanna ranjirad
99		As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my	Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
	10 miles away	major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel	response to previous comments.	
		into town by car.		
100	resident		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		1) Remove vehicular access to Abbeygate. 2) Remove lateral vehicular access to Abbeygate St		
		via High and Lower Baxter St. 3) Replace 20mph signage that has disappeared from The Angel		
		Hill area. 4) Please do provide a pedestrian crossing on Crown St/Angel Hill.		
	resident, retired	Restriction of HGV through traffic needed.	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
102	resident, worker		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
			have strong historic value and this will be	
		Just don't ruin the "jewel" of Bury St. Edmunds.	protected.	
103	resident		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		st is needed especially if no traffic crossing abbeygate I find the times vehicles allowed confusing		
		would prefer abbeygate to be pedestrianised permenately		
104	resident	Coming from the South of the town Churchgate Street is a main route into the town and should	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		remain accessible to traffic.		
105	worker		The Borough have taken the first steps to	No change required
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which	
		Rather than remove traffic, which is necessary for residents and businesses including deliveries to		
			process as it has to go through an Act of	
			Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
			2019. We recognise there is a need to both	
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
106	prefer not to say	ווישעווטיטית אמותווש מימוומטוב טו בושב נוובא יישטעוטורג עט ונ.		No change required
100	preier not to say			no change required
			The structure, map and Character Areas are for	
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to	
			and all proposals will be evaluated in the context	
			of the town centre as a whole. We are very	
			aware that many areas of the town have strong	
			historic value and this will be protected. During	
		supportive of the 'characterful properties' statement and the unique identity of churchgate, but as	the Issues and Options stage there was some	
		said previously there are other areas of character within the town. • Increased pedestrianisation in	support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have	
			not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill	
			is a valuable car park on the east side of town to	
			allow the public to make use of the facilities	
		logical or safe reason to allow general vehicle access down this key street anymore. Pedestrianise		
		and make it beautiful and safe. • Develop Angel Hill and encourage its use as an events space.		
		Limit further or ban car parking completely – Ram Meadow Car Park isn't far.		
	1			

107	worker, visitor from		Agreed.	No change required
	less than 10 miles	Traffic calming and any other structural changes need to be in keeping with the age and design of		
		the current architecture.		
108	resident, retired	With some concerns. The raised crossing on Angel Hill can be dangerous as some drivers try to	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		get through here as fast as possible.		
109	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		could be strictly limited to certain hours.		
110	resident	Fully pedestrianise Abbeygate Street.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
111	resident		Thank you for your comments. There are	No change required
			aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and	
			maintain pavements and walkways using	
			sympathetic and appropriate materials. There is	
			also a priority in this area to carry out a	
			programme of enhancement and repair to	
			streets, spaces and pavements raising the	
			overall quality of the environment appropriate	
112	resident, worker		with its historic identity.	No change required
112		The one-way system in the Medieval grid means that cycle commuters leaving town cannot ride	Thank you for your comments and suggestions.	ino change required
		west to east down Churchgate Street, but they have precious few other routes to leave town in this		
		direction. If the grid is to remain as it is, a massive traffic calming is needed (humps, tables,		
		enforceable and enforced 10/15/20 mph zoning). Angel Hill has far too much hard-standing and		
		far too little greenery. Some decent trees could be planted here (not spindles). Even the war		
		memorial could have larger beds surrounding it or even a lawn to help with surface water. At		
		present the whole square resembles an unattractive car park (which, unfortunately, it is).		
113	resident, worker		There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to	No change required
110			repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
			There is also a priority in this area to carry out a	
			programme of enhancement and repair to	
		The car parking and congestion problems are about to rise significantly with the introduction of the		
			overall quality of the environment appropriate	
			with its historic identity.	
114	resident, retired		Speed bumps are not the only solution, although	No change required
			they are effective for the few people who	
		an out-of-date proposal in the light of recent govt. guidance and should be rethought. Other traffic		
			the location. However, all management methods	
		and then slowing down again. There is inadequate public parking in this area for those going to the	should be explored to find the most appropriate	
		Theatre, parents collecting children from St Edmunds School and attending St Edmunds Catholic		
		Church. Alternative options outside Masterplan area should be considered.		
115	worker		Resident parking in Churchgate Area is already	No change required
		Traffic limitation should include a cap on residents parking. Retaining the historic character should		
			that of any other part of town.	
		10 owner vehicles (plus visitors/tradesmen/deliveries). This has given residents too much voice		
		and influence. A business in one buliding doesn't have each employer voicing an opinion.		
116	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		changes in this Character Area above those	
	away		raised as issues during the Issues and Options	
			stage.	
117	resident, retired			No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
	I		facilities available nearby.	

118	resident, retired	Traffic flow on Angel Hill is difficult now; narrowig the carriageway will make the situation worse - cars turning into and leaving the 'parking ranks' cause problems, the drivers often cannot see to pull out safely when leaving I think removing the lowest parking space on each rank would improve safety.	Thank you for your suggestion. We are not seeking to introduce additional traffic reduction or calming measures on Angel Hill, recognising this as a vital north-south link through the town. This aspiration relates to the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.	No change required	
119	resident	Keep Angel Hill multifunctional. Improve standard of paving.	Thank you for your comments. There are aspirations in Character Area 9 to repair and maintain pavements and walkways using sympathetic and appropriate materials. There is also a priority in this area to carry out a programme of enhancement and repair to streets, spaces and pavements raising the overall quality of the environment appropriate with its historic identity.	No change required	
120	resident, retired	Do not stop traffic in Churchgate Street	We are not seeking to reduce car access we are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.	No change required	
121	resident	vehicle access is a necessity and must continue. It is the SPEED of cars the must be tackled by making 20mph limit much more widespread over whole town centre. This will improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists.	A 20mph limit is in place throughout much of the Churchgate Character Area. Efforts to reinforce this limit have proved ineffective and alternative solutions are needed.	No change required	
122	resident, student	this residential area is also in need of traffic calming & landscaping though the houses are not as densely packed as the Northgate area & have larger gardens etc.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
123	prefer not to say	After all the proposed changes - which will take some time - are you proposing that Angel Hill will still be a car park? Is ther any mention regarding the future use of Angel Hill in your proposal?	During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side of town to allow the public to make use of the facilities available nearby. There is an aspiration to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multi- functional space to continue as a car park and events space.	No change required	received by email
125	other	Please see the 'Highways and Transport' comments in question seven.	Thank you for your response.	No change required	SCC questionnaire response. Received by email
126	resident, retired	It depends on how/when/where traffic has limited access to this area. As there is residential areas is it possible to limit in the evening only say after 7pm?	We are not seeking to reduce car access we are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.	No change required	
127	visitor from less than			No change required	
128	10 miles away visitor from less than	Another avenue for motorists	understood. We are not seeking to reduce car access we are	No change required	
	10 miles away, retired	Have to be careful that not all cars are stopped because you need people going to town for the shops.	looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.		
129	resident, worker		We are not seeking to reduce car access we are looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat running' through the predominantly residential streets in this Character Area.	No change required	
	1	Often you are stopping people in cars getting into town			I

30	visitor from less than			No change required
			have strong historic value and this will be	
	retired		protected.	
31	resident, other			No change required
			changes in this Character Area above those	
			raised as issues during the Issues and Options	
		I hope whatever gets changed that the historic buildings like the Abbeygate will stay.	stage.	
2	resident, worker	Parking should be for residents only and disability car parking in this area. or short term 1 hour	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
		max for general useage.		
33	prefer not to say		Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required
		5) The proposals for St Andrews St N are far too optimistic. How can you eliminate the bus station	understood in the context of this Character Area.	
		(a bad idea), have a lengthy row of bus stops on one side, car parking on that other and central	Please see responses to this issue in Character	
			Area 3.	
34	resident, worker		During the Issues and Options stage there was	No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
		I'd rather see a reduction/ removal of parking on Angel Hill. Also a review of Abbeygate St times of		
		vehicle restrictions sounds too passive, only vehs for delivery between certain times should be	of town to allow the public to make use of the	
			facilities available nearby.	
5	visitor from more	No	Thank you for your response.	No change required
	than 10 miles away,			
	prefer not to say			
36	resident, retired		The structure, map and Character Areas are for	No change required
-	,		reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate to	
7	resident, visitor from			No change required
01	more than 10 miles		programme of enhancement and repair to	no change required
	away, retired		streets, spaces and pavements raising the	
			overall quality of the environment appropriate	
			with its historic identity.	
8	resident, retired		We are not seeking to reduce car access we are	No change required
50			looking to limit through traffic to discourage 'rat	na onange required
			running' through the predominantly residential	
			streets in this Character Area.	
		DO NOT stop traffic in Churchgate Street.	sueets in this Character Area.	

		Q17 - Character Area 5 - Ram Meadow - Do the aspirations proposed for this area	Total Representations: 141		
Maste	rplan consultation	address the issues - Do you have any other comments?			
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from			No change required	
	less than 10 miles		allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We		
	away				
		be a place to increase parking spaces. Also, I can't see any advantage in moving the football club	FC to help them achieve their ambition for		
		to build more houses - I can't see any advantage in building them here.	further growth.		
2	retired			No change required	
		So long as Bury Town Football Club is relocated and treated fairly. It is an asset to the Town and	Bury Town FC to help them achieve their		
		should be recognised for all the work they do for all age groups and abilities.	ambition for further growth.		
3	resident, student			No change required	
		There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	Area during the Issues and Options stage.		
1	resident			No change required	
			allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We		
			are continuing to work closely with Bury Town		
		Leave the fact all ships where the develope the same and summer tensors of	FC to help them achieve their ambition for		
		Leave the football club where it is develope the area and current ground	further growth.		
5	resident			No change required	
			and potentially increase parking provision		
			within any redevelopment in this area. During		
			the Issues and Options stage there was some		
			support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill		
			is a valuable car park on the east side of town to		
			allow the public to make use of the facilities		
			available nearby.		
		Extra parking could be found here so less car parking on Angel Hill	available ficarby.		
3	resident		The area including the football club is already	No change required	
,			allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We		
			are continuing to work closely with Bury Town		
			FC to help them achieve their ambition for		
			further growth. There is a priority to maintain		
			existing number and potentially increase parking		
			provision within any redevelopment in this area.		
		Wither any of this if the houses get developed?			
7	resident, retired		The area including the football club is already	No change required	
			allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment.		
			There is a priority to maintain existing number		
			and potentially increase parking provision within		
		The Ram meadow could house a mezzanine car park and remove proposed housing from the	any redevelopment in this area.		
		plan.			
3	resident	I used to live near the bridge over the Lark in Eastgate Street and it's still very prone to flash	Agreed.	No change required	
		flooding therethis issue must be correctly managed and planned for.			
)	worker, visitor from	The strategy looks to be well founded for this area especially the potential relocation of the football	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
		club. An out of town centre location for this with redevelopment of the existing site for residential			
	away	use would be a positive for the town as long as the development is a sustainable mix of homes not			
		all one or two bedroom flats	<u> </u>		

10	resident	A good look at the Ram meadow to consider tidying and smartening up the general area. Cotton Lane should be retained as a pedestrian/cycle path. This means that I can cycle from Morton Hall to Northgate without riding on the road except around the Fox where the cycle way disappears	Agreed.	No change required
		when you go over the bridge.		
11	resident, worker	Build a multi-story carpark here. Improve road and pedestrian access so it flows with the town centre	There is a priority to maintain existing number and potentially increase parking provision within any redevelopment in this area.	No change required
12	visitor from more		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		response to previous comments.	
	retired	Please see comments for Q7		
13	resident		The area including the football club is already allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We are continuing to work closely with Bury Town FC to help them achieve their ambition for further growth. There is a priority to maintain existing number and potentially increase parking	No change required
		Move the footie team and redevelop the area. Its too far from the centre for a car park so put that somewhere else.		
14	10 miles away	A long way to carry shopping and poor access at busy times. Due to the existing road layout in town a shuttle bus would also be slow.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
15	resident, worker	The one way systems need to be considered carefully to maintain adequate access and egress on market days	Character Area.	No change required
16	resident, worker	Access to the river needs to be safe - don't want to unnecessarily increase the risks of people drowning/otherwise being injured.	Any increased access to this area will be in line with health and safety regulations and best practice.	No change required
17	resident	Do not know area	Thank you for your response.	No change required
18	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away		There are no proposals to extend development boundaries in to existing riverside or watermeadow areas. The site allocated for redevelopment is the car park, football ground	No change required
		Maintaining the river environment for wild life and the public right of ways should be a priority, riverside redevelopment should be limited if none at all.	and adjoining business premises.	
19		More houses and no better roads try using these roads at busy times???	part of any proposal for this area.	No change required
20		Yes however feel you would need to extend the parking here to cover those taken out of town centre, Churchgate street and Abbey gate street.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.There is a priority to maintain existing number and potentially increase parking provision within any redevelopment in this area.	No change required
21	resident, worker	If the housing plans include removing any car parking where will this be resisted? Any plans for permanent park and ride facility?		No change required
22	visitor from more than 10 miles away	Too far out of town parking arrangements hard to understand	The site is well placed to provide long stay car parking for those who work in the town and do not need to very close to the centre. However, the aspirations include improved linkages.	No change required
23	resident, worker	Increasing public access to the riverside areas is a benefit but be mindful of creating an environment where "access" overtakes the existing natural beauty and wilderness.	Agreed, this has been taken into account in Character Area 8 and applies across all areas with valuable habitats and biodiversity. We will be working with local interest groups going forward.	No change required

	resident		Agreed, this has been taken into account in Character Area 8 and applies across all areas	No change required
25 r			Character Area 8 and applies across all areas	
25 r				
25 r			with valuable habitats and biodiversity. We will	
25 r		The nature of Ram Meadow and the adjacent meadows should not be lost. Leisure activity may	be working with local interest groups going	
25 I		need to be carefully defined.	forward.	
25				
	resident, retired			No change required
		This "Divide and rule" Policy is counter-productive, unhelpful and misguided. The Artists	relevant to this Character Area.	
ļ		impression of the Cornhill is dishonest. It should be accompanied by an Impression of the same		
		prospect at 3pm on a Thursday in January with a north wind blowing up St. Johns Street.		
26 r	resident, prefer not		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
1	to say	"Maintain existing number and potentially increase parking provision" No!		
	resident		The move to Moreton Hall was found not to be	No change required
1 <sup>2</sup> '  '			feasible. However, we are working with Bury	
1		Move the factball stadium and put a two fier corports on it, the factball stadium was going upto		
1		Move the football stadium and put a two tier carpark on it, the football stadium was going upto	Town FC to help them achieve their ambition for	
		Moreton Hall, why was that shelved?, ideal location	further growth.	
	resident	More electric car charging points needed		No change required
29 r	resident			No change required
			boundaries in to existing green, riverside or	
1			watermeadow areas. The site allocated for	
1			redevelopment is the car park, football ground	
1			and adjoining business premises.	
			สาน สนุบแทน มนอกเธรร ทายการชร.	
	na alalanat waitin d	green space.		No share required
30 r	resident, retired		Full flood management plans will be put in place	No change required
1			before any redevelopment progresses.	
1		planned in the larch catchment upstream from town, so many supposedly sustainable drainage		
		schemes have proved to be inadequate.		
31 r	resident, retired		This has been evaluated and found not to be	No change required
l ľ	·,	need direct access for Tesco roundabout.	viable.	
32 r	resident, worker	Try to avoid visitor car parking changes to the town. It's a real put-off and deterrent to regular rural		No change required
'			running of the car parks and facilities.	
33 r	resident, retired		<u> </u>	No change required
55 1				
1			and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		Don't want to lose this parking area.		
34 v	worker			No change required
		Considering what a lovely town we have it is unbelievable how many horribly visually locations we	allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We	
1		have where people have to arrive in town! Yet another blot on the landscape and hardly in keeping		
1			FC to help them achieve their ambition for	
		flatten the football club and relocate it to a more appropriate location within the town boundaries.	further growth. There is a priority to maintain	
		Then create additional parking spaces (multi story or underground) as the town needs to increase		
1			existing number and potentially increase parking	
		its car parking capacity so any parking changes need to be above what is already there. Maybe a	provision within any redevelopment in this area.	
		residential development and improved walkways into town, maybe alongside the river, would help.		
1		Fundamentally we should be directing tourists to this car park due to its proximity to the Abbey		
		Gardens, Catherdral and St Marys and they should be welcomed by an environment that is in		
		keeping eith our historic and cultural offering.		
35 r	resident, worker	Extra parking at Ram Meadow and better signed links to the town centre would massively help the	Thank you for your comment	No change required
'		town centre and ease parking problems elsewhere.		
26	racidant	Yes, increased parking on this site but also an opportunity to link it with Area 8 and making more	The featpath along the lark and light will light the	No change required
36 r	resident		The footpath along the lark and linnet will link the	no change required
1		of a feature of the riverside, starting in Area 2 right through to the Abbey Gardens and paths	two areas. We are still looking at opportunities	
		beyond to the south. It was a great pity the football club was not relocated to Moreton Hall,	for the football club in the vicinity of the	
1		particularly given the space available around the new Academy. If this opportunity still exists, I	Academy.	
		would urge you to look at it again.		
37 r	resident		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
1 <sup></sup> 1'			and potentially increase parking provision	
1			within any redevelopment in this area.	
1 1		WE NEED LARGER PARKING AREA NOT HOUSING ON A FLOOD PLAIN.		
ι I		WE NEED LANGEN FAILING ANEA NOT HOUSING ON A FLOOD FLAIN.		

38	resident, retired			No change required
			and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area. All	
		Not wholly addressed: a residential fringe on the East side of Cotton Lane would be beneficial but	options will be explored. Assume reference is	
			being made to Avenue De Chartres Multi Storey	
			Car Park in Chichester.	
		storey park (Chichester is a good design example)	Cal Faik in Chichester.	
20	readent retired	I think this is a difficult Area. It needs an identity. if it were to be just housing for example, it could	Thenk you for your comments	No obongo required
39	resident, retired		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		be a 'green lung' type of development - although near industrial parks. A difficult one.		
40	resident	No comment	Thank you for your response.	No change required
41	resident, worker			No change required
			boundaries in to existing riverside or	
			watermeadow areas. The site allocated for	
			redevelopment is the car park, football ground	
		Protect the green belt/green lungs provided within this area. Avoid building on the green areas and	and adjoining business premises.	
		develop them for the relaxation and healthy enjoyment of the town. Keep all the water meadows.		
42	resident, retired		As set out in the vision 2031 there is no intention	No change required
·=		Essential to retain The Leg of Mutton as a lung for the town.	to develop this area.	
43	worker			No change required
-5	WORKER		and potentially increase parking provision	
		Ram Meadow car park should not be built on. The town cannot afford the loss of any more parking		
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		spaces.		
44	resident			No change required
			allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We	
		long stated aspiration but does not appear to be deliverable. Would have expected the	are continuing to work closely with Bury Town	
		masterplan process to challenge the assumption that this is a desirable proposal for both the club	FC to help them achieve their ambition for	
		and the town centre to relocate from this site.	further growth.	
45	prefer not to say		Thank you for your comments. In order for the	No change required
	ľ		masterplan to meet the requirements to be a	
			Supplementary Planning Document and	
			therefore become part of the Borough Council's	
			official planning guidance, it is unable to specify	
			sites for development or redevelopment. Rather	
			it provides a framework for individual projects to	
		More specific and clearly defined proposals are needed for the suggested mixed use development		
		and associated road access and car parking provision. The scale of existing car parking provision		
		should be retained and increased to meet the needs of suggested development on the allocated	existing number and potentially increase parking	
			provision	
		are clearly needed and a shuttle bus should also be considered. The river environment should be	within any redevelopment in this area.	
		protected (see also Character Area 8).		
46	worker, visitor from		This is likely to come from a variety of sources	No change required
	less than 10 miles		including national bodies and fundraising	
	away	Who will pay for the relocation of our football club?		
47	resident, retired		The area including the football club is already	No change required
· ·			allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We	
			are continuing to work closely with Bury Town	
			FC to help them achieve their ambition for	
		Why move Eastball ground it is good we have a readily available represtional facility within	· ·	
		Why move Football ground it is good we have a readily available recreational facility within	further growth.There is a priority to consider the	
		walking distance of town centre. We aren't all motorists. Ram Meadow car park is not a	options for shuttle services in this Character	
L		convenient place for disabled persons going to town centre - query shuttle bus.	Area.	
48	resident			No change required
			and potentially increase parking provision within	
		a. Not entirely sure what the proposals are for this area, needs more detail. b. Don't sacrifice	any redevelopment in this area.	
		parking.		
49	resident, retired		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
	,		and potentially increase parking provision within	
			any redevelopment in this area. All options will	
		might be located. I don't suppose you could double-deck the existing car park?	be explored.	
L				I

				·
50	resident			No change required
		Movement - There is no mention of improving the cycle routes and accessibility in this area.	facilities across the town centre is recognised in	
			Character Area 9. Thank you for your	
			suggestions.	
		St stops dead between the Fox Public House and Cotton Lane. There needs to be a safe		
		dedicated lane along the whole length of Eastgate St and into and along Mustow Street then		
		somehow crossing Angel Hill as far as the Norman Tower where cyclists can then safely access		
		the Great Churchyard. Perhaps a dedicated cycle track around the inner perimeter of the Abbey		
		Gardens would allow a safer route from Mustow Street to The Great Churchyard from where the		
		existing cycle track leads to Moreton HAll and beyond.		
51	resident		Thank you for your suggestion. here is also an	No change required
			aspiration in Character Area 9 to Include	
			provision of additional tree planting, planters and	
			displays in all schemes where appropriate and	
		More trees the better, link up with other green area's	possible	
52	resident		11	No change required
52	ICSIUCIII			<b>8</b> 1
			has already been allocated for redevelopment in	
		I am not in favour of more housing along Cotton Lane or re-location of the football club.	Vision 2031.	
53	resident			No change required
			shuttle services in this Character Area. We are	
		is one of the town's vital 'green lungs' important for the environment. green infrastructure, and	very aware that many areas of the town have	
		natural flood management - this area must be protected. Could there be barriers to reduce the	strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will	
			be protected.	
54	resident, worker		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
	,	See earlier comment re increasing electric car charging points.	response to previous comments.	
55	visitor from less than			No change required
	10 miles away		and potentially increase parking provision within	
	ro miloo away		any redevelopment in this area.	
		Seems like there will be less parking not more	any redevelopment in this area.	
56	visitor from more		Agreed.	No change required
50			Agreed.	
		signage and safe crossing points are the main issues. The Ram Meadow car park is not very		
	retired	inviting at the moment		
57	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away		and potentially increase parking provision within	
			any redevelopment in this area.	
		More car parking is needed here.		
58	resident			No change required
1		Concerned about suggestions to build on the football pitch which will cut down on the green space		
		in this area and will encroach on the peaceful nature reserve. Better to make the football pitch into	to existing green, riverside or watermeadow	
			areas. The site allocated for redevelopment is	
		Gardens which are deemed to be in the wrong place. Need much better signage leading from the		
1				
			business premises.	
		into a residential home has already done much to improve the look of Cotton Lane.		
59	resident			No change required
			shuttle services in this Character Area.	
		It is too long a walk, especially uphill, for a very large number of people. This problem ought to be		
		addressed. A Park and Ride Service would draw vast numbers of visitors to Bury St Edmunds	scheme is included in Character Area 9. An	
		and their cars out of the town area. An entrance to Ram Meadow from the A14 would solve the	access from the A14 has been assessed but	
1			found not to be viable.	
60	resident, retired	A perfect area for a shuttle bus to and from the town centre. Moving the football club would help to		No change required
			shuttle services in this Character Area.	
L	1			I

61	resident			No change required
		No mention of cycle provision in this area. The current cycle provision has potential for	improve cycling access and facilities across the	
		development and needs to be more clearly marked out and included in the improved accesability	town centre is recognised in Character Area 9.	
		proposals for pedestrians. Ram meadow should be considere as one of the main town centre		
		parking places thus extension of this facility should be seriously considered as proposed. Cycling		
		provision within Abbey Gardens would also enhance safe access for cyclists from this area into		
		the own centre. If Cambridge can do it so can Bury St Edmunds. I agree with all of the other		
		suggestions regarding enhancements/improvements and provisions for his area.		
62	resident, worker		The area including the football club is already	No change required
02			allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We	no change required
			are continuing to work closely with Bury Town	
			FC to help them achieve their ambition for	
		What are you planning for the football ground?	further growth.	
63	resident			No change required
			area and is temporary pending a permanent site	
		Should not be developed for housing and the traveller site should go. Not a good welcome to Bury		
64	resident, retired		Agreed.	No change required
		run down.		
65	worker, visitor from		Review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle	No change required
	less than 10 miles	I park in Ram Meadow for work and it is often full on weekdays already, therefore may not be able		
	away, student	to support people using a park and ride surface as it is already full. A park and ride surface may		
		work better from an outer town place, for instance Moreton Hall near Sainsbury's.		
66	visitor from more	Shuttle service to/from Ram Meadow would be useful for those visitors/locals who cannot walk so	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
00	than 10 miles away	far, but would like to take advantage of long stay parking.		
67	resident	iai, but would into to take advantage of forg day parking.	We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
07	resident	The Riverside by the carpark is blighted by concrete and the weir is ugly and often strewn with	have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
			this will be protected. We will be working with	
		with volunteers (such as the Watermeadows Group) but some specialist or boating equipment will	local interest groups going forward.	
		be required as the river at this point is too deep for the volunteers who work elsewhere on the		
		river. The banks by the carpark need "re-wilding" where possible. The watermeadows behind the		
		football pitch and stretching up towards Tescos are much better, but very much neglected and		
		little known, exept by some dog walkers. The paths need to be maintained and hopefully some		
		benches could be provided. There will be a risk of littering and some anti-social behaviour		
		because it is secluded and not "patrolled" but greater use would help alleviate this. At the moment,		
		few people know about the entrance behind the football stadium and where the path comes out.		
68	resident, worker		The issues are contained in the 'What are the	No change required
			issues and options?' section.The area including	
			the football club is already allocated in Vision	
			2031 for redevelopment. We are continuing to	
			work closely with Bury Town FC to help them	
			achieve their ambition for further growth.	
		What are the issues? Does Bury Town F C want to move?	achieve their amender for further growth.	
69	resident, worker		The area including the football club is already	No change required
03	NUINEI		allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We	
			are continuing to work closely with Bury Town	
		A usid value attains the fact half every set as it is in a prime to a time where it as more that a	FC to help them achieve their ambition for	
		Avoid relocating the football ground as it is in a prime location where it currently is.	further growth.	
70	resident			No change required
			Edmunds Town Council is a member of the	
			masterplan working group and will be included in	
			all key decisions relating to the masterplan as	
		Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character	well as numerous individual projects.	
		Areas in the masterplan. I approve of the proposal to re-locate the football club.		
71	resident, worker		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
	, -		and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		enlarging this - maybe multi-storey		
<u>ا</u>	1		1	۱ <u>ا</u>

72	resident			No change required
			and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
70	resident, worker	would be beneficial.	Agreed. Thank you for the information.	No obongo required
73		It will be important to ensure that there is parking in Bury with electric charging. The infrastructure	Agreed. Thank you for the information.	No change required
		requirements for this (very high electric power lines) need to be thought about now when there is		
		an opportunity for change rather than later. The National Grid has issued a report recently on		
		issues with electric car charging: http://fes.nationalgrid.com/media/1221/forecourt-thoughts-		
		v10.pdf Ram Meadow (and other parking areas) could be future-proofed where even residents		
		could use to park for a short while simply to recharge (there is a major issue with on-street parking		
		and the viability of electric cars). It would be a good idea to get input from the National Grid in any		
		car parking projects.		
74	resident		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
			and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		needs to be addressed - cobbles do not stand up to modern traffic volumes and weights.		
/5	visitor from less than		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
	10 miles away,		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the	
	prefer not to say		Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
76	visitor from less than		Disagree.	No change required
10	10 miles away	unlikely to be successful		
77	ý	Better for parking later parking at fair price on ram meadow.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
78	resident, worker		Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
		The riverside is a lost opportunity at present, so good to consider its potential for recreation etc.		
79	resident		A traffic management plan will be developed as	No change required
		managed.	part of any proposal for this area.	
80	resident, other			No change required
0.4		Needs attention use for better effect.	understood.	
81	resident	A good idea to provide more access to the riverside. Also better access to the town from Ram	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
00	visitor from more	Meadow is a good idea.	The area includes the football ground which it is	No obongo required
02	than 10 miles away,		proposed to relocate.	no change required
		How will additional parking, not only for cars but for coaches be accommodated	proposed to relocate.	
83	resident, retired		Pickwick Crescent and Pump Lane provide	No change required
			direct, lightly trafficked routes to parts of the	
			town centre, however, a visitor and many	
			residents of the town have no knowledge of	
			these routes.	
84			Thank you.	No change required
85			Agreed.	No change required
00		this, it would be an improvement.	The selection of the second	
86			Thank you for your comment.	No change required
87	resident, worker	think some history is being replaced with generic buildings.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
88			Thank you for your observations. The shuttle	No change required
00			bus suggestion fits with the aspirations included	
			in Character Area 9 - Across the Town centre.	
		gulley. I am sure it could be as attractive as it is in the Abbey Gardens and residents and wildlife		
		could better enjoy it along the length.		
89	resident	Needs good /pleasant access routes to/from Ram Meadow to Town Centre & Angel Hill.	Agreed.	No change required
89		Needs good /pleasant access routes to/from Ram Meadow to Town Centre & Angel Hill. Unfortunately at present the Car park there to some people feels miles away from both, even though it is only a 5 min walk.	Agreed.	No change required

90	resident		Thank you for your objection, however this site	No change required
			has already been allocated for redevelopment in	
			Vision 2031. There is, however, a priority to	
			maintain existing number and potentially	
			increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		This is a vital area for parking. Please do not sell this land to be built on.		
91	resident, worker,		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
•	visitor from less than		Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
	10 miles away,		Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
	visitor from more		change in response to market forces but we will	
	than 10 miles away,		have no influence over this.	
	retired, student,			
	other, prefer not to			
	say	LEAVE WELL ALONE		
92	resident, retired		Thank you for your comments. Review options	No change required
52			for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set	
			out in Character Area 9.	
93		No more parking come on. This is an area which has got the potential for great improvement in		No change required
93		the natural environment. Why not use this to frame the development for the area. Some sort of	Thank you for your suggestions.	ino change required
		Bedzed water meadow with acces to the allotments. Pedestrianised, cycling facilities and linked to		
0.4		out of town parking. That would be my vision.	The selection	No shanna na mina d
94	resident			No change required
95	visitor from less than		Full flood management plans will be put in place	No change required
		?? Flood Risk area This need to be checked thoroughly especially with the recent heavy rainfalls	before any redevelopment progresses.	
		etc. affecting lakes and rivers overflowing.		
96	resident, worker			No change required
			and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		More parking here please for people who work 9-5		
97	worker	I like the idea of including increased tree planting along key approaches into the town centre. In	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		fact I feel this should be undertaken wherever ground suits.		
98	resident			No change required
99	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		development in the adopted Local Plan, but it	
	away		will require open space. No issue was raised	
			about the condition of the toilets at Issues and	
			Options stage, although much was said about	
			the quantum of provision. The car is well placed	
		Why does every section include building of something or other, leave at least some open space -	to provide long stay car parking for those who	
			work in the town and do not need to very close	
		at Ram meadow, or the seriously deteriorating toilets in Abbey Gardens! Ram meadow is an	to the centre. Alternative provision is provided	
			close to the town centre for those who need to	
			be in close proximity.	
100	worker			No change required
-		Providing taxis and private hire vehicles can access	relevant projects.	
101	resident			No change required
		of local people do not know they are there.		
102	resident	Improved access to the riverside area is to be encouraged with a riverside walk which should link	Agreed.	No change required
		into the aspirations for Area 8. The Cotton Lane allotments are a great local asset and should not		
		be threatened with any redevelopment.		
103	resident, worker		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
100		Improve parking capability for all entering town from the East (NE Industrial areas, Barton's,	and potentially increase parking provision	
			within any redevelopment in this area.	
		transport routes especially to/from Rail station in order to reduce use of Mustow St/Northgate St.		
	1	Inansport routes especially to/norm tail station in order to reduce use or musicw Strivorthyate St.		I

104	resident, retired		Thank you for you comments. The riversides are	No change required
			covered under Character Area 8. The structure,	
			map and Character Areas are for reference only	
			to enable people to identify the areas of the town	
		might form part of a wider east-west park-and-ride scheme for the town. This Character Area might be renamed 'Eastgate'.	centre the aspirations relate to.	
105	worker		Issues were raised during the Issues and	No change required
			Options stage.	
106	resident, worker			No change required
		Better pedestrian links and signage into the town centre are needed and a shuttle bus should also	shuttle services in this Character Area.We are	
			very aware that many areas of the town have	
		have they had a survey to complete? Concerns about protecting the river environment remain, a	strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will	
			be protected.	
			Agreed.	No change required
	resident, retired	Please note that my 'yes' to Q16 above is a qualified 'yes'	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
109	resident		All new developments include significant	No change required
			landscaping and tree planting, including long	
			term management arrangements. The existing	
			allocation for the land requires a full traffic	
		will only serve to exacerbate access to Ram Meadow parking and on the only access via Mustow	assessment to address any traffic concerns.	
		and Eastgate Streets.		
110 v	worker		The Drive Vauxhall site is included in the site	No change required
			allocated for redevelopment in Vision 2031. the	
		storing their surplus vehicles on the double yellow lines and never purchasing a parking ticket for	site is in private ownership and will need to be	
		their vehicles - relocate Drive Vauxhall and redevelop that !	negotiated.	
י 111	visitor from less than		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
	10 miles away	As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my	and potentially increase parking provision	
	-	major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel	within any redevelopment in this area.	
		into town by car.		
112	resident		There are no proposals to extend development	No change required
			boundaries in to existing riverside or	
			watermeadow areas. The site allocated for	
			redevelopment is the car park, football ground	
		the traffic chaos every day feeding out of Ram Meadow carpark onto Mustow St. 4) Stop building	and adjoining business premises.	
		on flood plains!		
113	resident, worker			No change required
			boundaries in to existing riverside or	
			watermeadow areas. The site allocated for	
			redevelopment is the car park, football ground	
			and adjoining business premises.	
		are you talking about building on green sites? Hope not - no to that. Too near our "jewel"		
114	resident		Thank you for your comments and suggestions.	No change required
		zebra crossing to get into abbey gardens. Cars swing in through a break in traffic without looking.		
		It would perhaps be worth looking into whether there could be an in and an out, either on north		
		gate street or the other side of the fox on east gate street, to ease traffic flow and make one way.		
		If more houses built traffic going to be worse. With any temporary works the Mustow / north gate		
		/angel hill traffic lights have major impact on bury being a standstill I do not think need more trees		
		on mustow street, hanging baskets adequate		
115	resident	I think the already existing housing allocation from previous plans needs to be added in here,	The allocation is included and is referred to in	No change required
		maybe the known plans for the football club moving and very clear deliniation lines for the green	the overview.	
		land there.		
116 v	worker		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required
		There is insufficient 7 days a week all day parking in town so if anything there needs to be more.	and potentially increase parking provision within	
			any redevelopment in this area.	

117	prefer not to say	<ul> <li>Supportive of most aspirations, although fail to understand how parking space can be increased while creating a new residential neighbourhood? • Along with existing car parks in St Andrew's Quarter, the Ram Meadow car park should be improved and retained – not developed. • Developments within the Ram Meadow should recognise the realities of the adjacent flood plain and ensure that minimal 'hard surfacing' building techniques are used so that there is adequate water run off. • Particularly supportive of improved access routes from Ram Meadow car park via Mustow Street and the link along Pump Lane. • The route up Looms Lane to Brentgoval and into the Buttermarket by Moyses Hall is another important gateway and should be improved accordingly with better signage, safer pedestrian areas (and crossings at Well Street/High Baxter) plus additional seating and planting. • The relocation of the football ground would be sensible and would enable the development of some P&amp;R capacity (?)</li> </ul>	Thank you for your comments. The parking provision will be provided through the land released by the relocation of the football club.	No change required
110	less than 10 miles away	made more comfortable will be good. Link to the Abbey Gardens and Eastgate St should take account of current architecture.	Thank you for your comments and suggestion.	No change required
119	resident, worker	What are the plans for the enhancement of The Vinefields as a whole?	The Vinefields are outside the masterplan area and are owned and managed by Havebury Housing Partnership.	No change required
120	resident, worker	Would prefer the Zebra crossing from Cotton Lane to the Abbey Gardens to be controlled by lights.	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
121	resident, retired		Agreed.	No change required
122	resident	This area of town is in need of improvement and I would agree with the key priorities highlight and the aspirations of the plan. However the plan, whilst mentioned increased residential and visitor numbers, does not mention the needs of young people living within or around the town centre. For this age group, providing integrated green spaces or safe spaces within which to socialise, is important. On another point, ensuring the enhancement of the water meadows and river corridor is essential but again, must be done with the consideration of all age groups and ranges.	Thank you for your suggestion. The masterplan	No change required
123	resident, worker	Some good ideas for this area, which I hope to see implemented. I'm particularly pleased to hear that there might be a riverside path along from Eastgate Street northward. Please speak to Tesco and ask if it's possible to re-design their land along the river - Bury is missing out on a riverside walk. Consider the paving on Mustow: it is treacherous for pedestrians and cyclists in both wet and dry weather. Mustow in particular needs a row of Sheffield bike racks - plenty of space for them! Pavement could do with widening on the southern side of Mustow.	Thank you for your positive comments and suggestions.	No change required
124	other	I understand that housing is key but there is a lot of building on the outskirts of BSE. Ram	There is a priority to maintain existing number and potentially increase parking provision within any redevelopment in this area.	No change required
125	worker	Enhancement and landscaping/paving always appreciated but all the signage in the world and improvement to pavements( unless they are moving) won't make Ram Meadow an easy entrance to the town. It is an long, uphill struggle and only brings you to the edge of the town rather than the center. How far from the Arc?	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
126	resident, student		There is a priority to maintain existing number and potentially increase parking provision within any redevelopment in this area. There is a priority to consider the options for shuttle services in this Character Area. Full flood management plans will be put in place before any redevelopment progresses	No change required
127	resident	tree planting and grass verges alongside roads to make walking more pleasant. Reduce speed to 20mph. Manage riverbanks for WILDLIFE GREEN CORRIDORS, not for public access.	Review options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision is set out in Character Area 9. We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will be protected.	No change required

128		Do we have to have more re-development by moving the football club. There seems to be a	j j	No change required	
		fixation with building more and more housing near to the town centre.	allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We		
			are continuing to work closely with Bury Town		
			FC to help them achieve their ambition for		
			further growth.		
129	other	Rights of Way - The aspiration to create better connectivity between the Abbey Gardens, Ram	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	SCC questionnaire response.
		Meadow, No Man's Meadow and the Crankles is welcome, as is the aspiration to better connect			Received by email
		Ram Meadow and the town centre.			
130	resident, retired	The Ram meadow car park is often full to capacity especially on market days. The addition of	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
		more parking places would be beneficial. The routes for pedestrians going into town would benefit			
		from enhancements. Please do something about the traffic calming as you leave the car park. It is			
		very noisy and not pleasant to drive over.			
131	visitor less than 10		Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required	
131	miles away	Another car park	understood.		
400				No. show we we wind a	
132	resident, worker			No change required	
			and potentially increase parking provision		
			within any redevelopment in this area.		
		We need business parking all day parking- not less parking			
133	visitor less than 10	Any paving needs to be laid better than what has been laid in town. I don't think any of the car		No change required	
	miles away, retired	parks will be large enough to cater for the expected increase in population with 1000 houses	repair and maintain pavements and walkways		
		proposed for the town.	using sympathetic and appropriate materials.		
134	resident		There is a priority to maintain existing number	No change required	
			and potentially increase parking provision		
			within any redevelopment in this area.		
		Of Football club is relocated - will the area be re-developed for parking?			
135	resident, worker	Create bus stop over site create a leisure area with associated parking.	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
136	Prefer not to say		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will		
130		6) I am an elderly blue-badge holder with sturdy restricted walking ability, who relies on parking in	be considered when carrying out a viability study		
		Cornhill or Buttermarket. Although provisions is made of including BB spaces a very large number	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
		will be needed to meet the demand, and these to the 2 locations> 19	provision.		
137	resident, worker	Yes in general. No Review of Abbeygate St too passive! It should only be for loading and then	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required	
		during low pedestrian use times.			
138	vistor from more	No.	Thank you for your response.	No change required	
	than 10 miles away,				
	prefer not to say				
139	resident, other		The A14 is outside the area of the masterplan	No change required	
		Unfortunately, not much can be done about the A14 - or is there? It needs resurfacing to be less	and outside the remit of the local authority, being		
		noisy.	the responsibility of Highways England.		
140	resident, retired	· ·		No change required	
			acknowledged, but the potential of the existing		
			site to accommodate all of the facilities required		
			· · ·		
			by a community club is severely restricted. The		
			area including the football club is already		
		It would be more sensible to enlarge and develop the current football ground than to build more	allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We		
		houses etc. there. Nearer the town centre as we know it means that the ground is reasonably	are continuing to work closely with Bury Town		
		accessible from all directions be a variety of means. If the clubs moved will there be a guarantee	FC to help them achieve their ambition for		
		of a sport from the town centre or various areas of the town to get there?	further growth.		
141	resident, visitor from			No change required	
	more than 10 miles		repair and maintain pavements and walkways		
		Make sure all paved areas are level and safe for all pedestrians and wheelchair users.	using sympathetic and appropriate materials.		
				1	

		Q19 - Character Area 6 - Parkway - Do the aspirations proposed for this area address the issues - Do you have any other comments?	Total Representations: 127		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from less than 10 miles	Patter linkage of the facilities west of Darkway to the town centre would be good	Agreed.	No change required	
2	retired	Better linkage of the facilities west of Parkway to the town centre would be good. So long as residents have vehicle access to St Andrews Street North.	There are no plans to restrict vehicle access to St Andrews Street North	No change required	
3		There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	during the Issues and Options stage.	No change required	
4	resident	I suppose I this is the best of a bad job. The cinema is horrible (hope the Abbeygate expansion goes through ASAP!) but is serves a purpose	Thank you for your comments	No change required	
5	resident	"Introduce additional parking to the west of Parkway to serve the town centre" Hopefully that means a Park and Ride scheme using the Newmarket Road.	This aspiration refers to the west of Parkway within the master plan area. However we will be assessing the viability of park and ride/walk/cycle provision as included in Character Area 9.	No change required	
6	resident, worker	It would just be hoped that any further developments for housing might avoid following the style adopted in the Kings Road Flats. Awards aside, this now stands as somewhat of an eyesore.	Design will always be subjective. As a matter of record, the building referred to was refused planning permission by the borough Council, but allowed on appeal by a Government Inspector.	No change required	
7	resident	The only thing is to consider how the traffic moves around the area. If it is possible at all to dual the road from Tayfen road to the Risby gate roundabout	Disagree, this is the approach which created the barrier to movement in the first instance. Dualling of the road would create significant environmental damage including demolition of people's homes and would not address the problems created by the junctions. The option was ruled out by a government inspector.	No change required	
8	resident, worker	safer pedestrian crossing at kings road roundabout, ie move the one at boby way junction north to nearer kings road so its actually used by people walking along kings road	Agree that a safer crossing at Kings road is required, but it should not be provided at the expense of another well used crossing.	No change required	
9	visitor from more than 10 miles away,	Please see comments for Q7		No change required	
10	resident	Duel it from Northgate to southgate rounabouts	Disagree, this is the approach which created the barrier to movement in the first instance. Dualling of the road would create significant environmental damage including demolition of people's homes and the ecology of the water meadow and would not address the problems created by the junctions. The option was ruled out by a government inspector.	No change required	
11	resident	The redirection of the bus route along Risbygate Street, will need to be looked at, as the new road layout at the top of Risbygate Street, going to St Andrew Street is now not wide enough to accommodate a bus. Any large vehicles, now needs to swing out into oncoming traffic in order to negotiate the tight corner, this is the case from both directions and has been the case since the pavement was widened and the mini roundabout removed! There needs to be more car parking available in the town.		No change required	

2	worker, visitor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		have a night charge of just £1.	
		I do belive that parking should be free after 6.00pm to encourage vistors into the town.		
3	resident, worker	Traffic lights on Risbygate Street to help traffic coming out of the B&Q car park would of	This has not been raised as an issue in this	No change required
		considerable assistance. They would also be useful at the roundabout joining Risbygate Street	Character Area.	
		with Parkway where the traffic moves very slowly.		
4	resident	Unfamiliar	Thank you for your response.	No change required
	worker, visitor from			No change required
		I like the idea of redirecting the buses along Parkway, St. Andrew's Street South was not designed		
			serves a large area that requires access by car.	
			Provision for car users is mentioned in	
			Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
6	resident		All options are being considered.	No change required
	resident		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No change required
	resident		Pedestrian access was raised as an issue at the	
0		pedestrians already have a subway and traffic lights to cross parkway, so no need to change or try		no change required
		and improve that - only way to put better frontage to risbygate would be to take land from B&Q -	issues and Options stage.	
		would rather see them expand rather than look good small shops with no purpose		
9	resident		Thank you for your comments. The reference to	ino change required
		I'm finding it hard to imagine how good vehicle movement will be achieved along Parkway, while	the Cattlemarket was referring to the car park	
			adjacent to The arc.	
		when you take into account the redirected bus routes along Risbygate and Parkway. Also,		
		enjoying mention of the cattle market!! I thought the cattle market had long gone!! Good idea to		
		redefine the character of Risbygate Street as a key historic gateway into the town centre.		
20	resident, worker		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required
		The aspiration to improve traffic movement in the Parkway area and to prioritise pedestrian and	all town centre users. We recognise the town	
		cycle routes conflicts with the aspiration to restrict vehicle use within the major part of the town. If	serves a large area that requires access by car.	
		the plan is to push more vehicles onto Parkway and away from St Andrews St then this plan needs	Additional parking will be introduced as feasible	
		to clearly deconflict vehicles and cycles to minimise congestion in this area. It is not clear from the		
		aspiration description how the council will achieve this. It is also not clear how or where the council		
		will introduce additional parking to the west of Parkway to serve the town centre as this area is		
		already fully occupied by business and residential property, and the fire station.		
21	resident		Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
- '		Linking the Arc to the area west of the parkway needs exploring. Innovative solutions may be	Thank you for your ouggoodient.	
		needed. Additional growth of the shopping area is not unreasonable, but additional parking must		
		be included. In addition, Parkway could be used as the access route for a repaid transport system		
		linking a station hill transport hub to the main areas of the town linked to Parkway.		
22			Thenk you for your auggestion. The surrent	No obongo required
	<i>,</i> ,			No change required
			design does encourage higher traffic speeds.	No oborgo required
23	resident		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	no change required
			Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
			Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
			change in response to market forces but we will	
			have no influence over this.	
		Leave it alone		
24			Agreed.	No change required
<u>-</u> 25	resident		The masterplan area includes a large amount of	
			residential accommodation, particularly the	
			Churchgate, Northern Gateway and Ram	
			Meadow Character Areas. The masterplan is	
			unable to go into details of specific projects but	
		Pedestrian and cycle crossway not adequately addressed.	all options will be considered.	
20			Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required
26	resident, retired	Increase parking by extending underground.	be considered.	no change required

27	worker	I have now spent an hour completing this survey and I am starting to lose the will to live!! Any improvement to Risbygate St would be welcomed and whether this could be combined with the improvements to the St Andrews corridor then that would be even better. I think pedestrian access from Parkway to the arc is ok but accept it could be improved in regards of access from Risbygate St	perserverence.	No change required
28	resident, worker	I broadly agree with these aspirations although extra cycle routes around the risbygate/parkway junction could create more congestion and possibly accidents.	Thank you for your comment. Any provision of cycle and pedestrian routes will have safety as the key consideration.	No change required
29	resident	Nothing wrong with the pedestrian part, the flow of traffic is the problem two roundabouts, and traffic lights at both ends! Kings road roundabout is quiet dangerous as it's far too small for cars to get around which causes back log of traffic each way round	lights benefit pedestrians at the expense of traffic, but take them away to improve traffic movement and you penalise the pedestrian. Kings Road roundabout is not pedestrian	No change required
30	resident	Much longer green light hold is needed for pedestrians on the crossing across the Parkway. Law enforcing means of reducing traffic speed on the Parkway is needed eg. speed cameras.	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
31	resident	WHERE IS THE TRAFFIC GOING?	Around the town centre. Traffic modelling methods recently adopted by Suffolk County Council will allow us to identify traffic destination information which will better allow us to answer this question and help us address it more meaningfully.	No change required
32	resident, retired	No because it would be preferable to increase the parking facility on the East side of Parkway (low rise multi-storey see 17), this will better serve the town centre (closer) and any increase to the arc and avoid improving on the outlook from Chalk Road North.		No change required
33	resident, retired	See earlier comments. Improved bus station to be near rail station. Yes, on good pedestrian links from all areas of town to central. Think of Cambridge. People cycle everywhere. Why not in Bury? Skateboarders to be included? Different routes for different groups, In and around, under and over.	Thank you for your comments. The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all town centre users and all options will be explored.	No change required
34	resident	No comment	Thank you for your response.	No change required
35	resident, worker	Reduce Parkway from dual carriageway where speed is rarely kept below 30mph. Make better provision for pedestrians who cannot walk along Parkway easily and are limited in the places where they can cross. Pedestrians are treated with contempt by drivers using Parkway - this should change.		No change required
36	resident	Not sure how you can improve this area much. It is just a busy junction. Very few pedestrians choose to walk alongside it.	Thank you for your comment. We hope the masterplan will go at least some way to addressing the issues in this area.	No change required
37	resident, retired	See previous comments.	response to previous comments.	No change required
38	resident	Interesting to note the aspiration to increase car parking in this area and it will be equally interesting to see how this can be delivered without having an adverse impact on neighbouring residential area.	The needs of town centre residents will be taken into account at all stages of every project.	No change required
39	prefer not to say	The scale of suggested shopping provision should be clarified and justified in this and other character areas. More specific and clearly defined proposals are needed for the suggested pedestrian and cycle links particularly across Parkway and car parking provision in this and adjoining areas (see also Character Area 3). Exchange of existing leisure and car parking uses on either side of Parkway should be considered. Car parking and/or social housing should be considered on the disused Lloyds Bank site on Risbygate.	Thank you for your comments and suggestions. In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward. it is likely that the exchange of uses on either side of Parkway would be cost-prohibitive. The Lloyds Bank site is privately owned but we will seek to influence any redevelopment.	No change required

40			Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required
		congestion along the two main roads - perhaps a subway similar to parkway car park would be	be considered.	
		preferable.		
41	resident		Thank you for your comments. There is an	No change required
			aspiration to introduce additional parking to	
			serve the town centre in this Character Area.	
40				
42	visitor from less than		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
	10 miles away, other		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		Do not understand how increased parking and redevelopment will be done. Need more details.		
43	resident	To increase tree planting and soften the feel of this area would be great	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
44	resident		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		BandQ are terrifying	,,	
45		Pedestrians crossing from Risbygate towards the town centre need better facilities. Now that the	The Lloyds Bank site is privately owned but we	No change required
.0			will seek to influence any redevelopment.	
46	resident, retired			No change required
40				no change required
		This is a classic example of a ring road built for motor traffic movements developing into a 'street'.	be considered.	
		It still has too many features of its original purpose to fully work for pedestrians and cyclists. For a		
		start get rid of the pedestrian barriers. No mention of Kings Road junction, another key route from		
		the western residential areas into the town centre. Remove the roundabouts and replace with		
		traffic signals with pedestrian and cycle facilities. Persisting with endless roundabouts in the town		
		is counter to the aspiration to improve facilities for vulnerable road users.		
47	resident	Improve visibility around corner of parkway/Out Risbygate and introduce pedestrian operated	Thank you for your suggestions. All options will	No change required
		traffic light crossing to improve pedestrian safety. Lower the central reservation on the roundabout		
		to improve visibility.		
48			Thank you.	No change required
49			Agreed.	No change required
50	visitor from more		•	No change required
50			, ,	
	than 10 miles away		long as people are reliant upon the private car to	
			access a historic town and the building of dual	
			carriageways is not the solution as is evidenced	
			by Parkway. Tackling congestion requires an	
			approach which encourages and facilitates	
			alternative modes of travel for those who can	
		Congestion needs to be addressed I lived in Bury for 20 years and got fed up of the congestion	make the choice, without penalising those who	
		and knew it would only get worse due to the thousands of houses now being built. I have moved	are reliant upon the car and cannot make that	
			choice.	
51	resident		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
2.		to and fro town, even if it delays motorists for a minute or two. I use these on a regular basis		
		myself and would not be happy to just rely on gaps in the traffic to cross safely.		
50	ragidant		Thenk you for your positive comment	No change required
52	resident		Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
53	-	Risbygate Street seems to have lost some of its oomph of late so the aspirations will greatly enhance the area.	thanks you for your positive comment.	No change required
54	worker, visitor from		Thank you for your suggestion. Light controlled	No change required
	less than 10 miles		crossings which do not have audible alerts have	
	away		rotating sections under the request box.	
			Birdsong is a nice idea, but could be hazardous	
		INO CLOSSING DIPED TO AIPLT DALL SIGNLED OF DIINGED DEDESTLIANS AT ANY TOWN CLOSSING. WOV 2 WOV		
			if birds choose to sing nearby.	

55		replaced by some decent architecture. Ironically the best looking bit of building in that area is the	As this comment demonstrates, design is a very subjective matter.	No change required
56	worker, visitor from		There is an aspiration to introduce additional	No change required
	less than 10 miles		parking to serve the town centre in this	
		Additional parking would be good.	Character Area	
57	visitor from more	Improvements to pedestrian/cycle routes should be small bridges over Parkway to allow traffic to		No change required
50	than 10 miles away	move freely. It is the current pedestrian crossings that cause traffic congestion.	be considered.	
58		restaurants but there is no stop. It would be sensible for there to be one bus stop in a constructed lay-by, where the pavement is wide enough, in each direction. But this should be an ordinary, extra stop, NOT a replacement for the stops outside Boots or Palmers or at the bus station itself.	Thank you for your suggestion. The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre	No change required
59	resident,worker	The need for a cycle route along Parkway must be addressed	Agreed.	No change required
60	resident, worker		Thank you for your suggestion. The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre	No change required
61	worker, visitor from		There is an aspiration to introduce additional	No change required
	less than 10 miles		parking to serve the town centre in this	
			Character Area	
62		Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character	Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of the masterplan working group and will be included in all key decisions relating to the masterplan as well as numerous individual projects.	
63		I agree with use of the area for parking but the steady stream of people then blocks up the cattle	There are separate footpaths through the car park, but the nature of car parks is such that as soon as a motorist leaves their car, they become a pedestrian as they access the footpath and get in the way of motorists.	No change required
64	resident, retired	when installing pedestrian lights please review impact on traffic flow and if necessary put yellow	Agreed.	No change required
65			Thank you for your comments. All additional planting will be assessed for suitability before being installed.	No change required
66	visitor from less than 10 miles away, prefer not to say		Thank you for your positive comment. All aspirations have been expertly assessed to ensure they are deliverable and the masterplan is flexible to allow for changes, rather than being a rigid blueprint. Many aspirations rely on partnership working and investment, including private landowners and developers.	No change required
67	visitor from less than		We aim to be ambitious and we will assess each	No change required
	10 miles away		project carefully for value and deliverability	
<u></u>		Possibly too ambitious	before progressing.	
68			Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required

69	resident		These are owned by Havebury Housing	No change required
		Demolish the Weeden Livits' on innotion performation Dead	Partnership and we do not have the power or	
70	nacidant	Demolish the 'Wooden Huts' on junction parkway/Kings Road. Parkway is a major through traffic route and care should be taken to ensure that pedestrian and	inclination to demolish them.	
70	resident	cyclist needs do not increase congestion. Having said that, whilst I believe that roundabouts are	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required
		far more effective than traffic lights at maintaining traffic flows, the Risbygate/Parkway intersection	be considered.	
		would be perhaps the only current roundabout in Bury which would be better served by traffic		
		lights. This is because of the volume of pedestrian traffic using the crossing to the north of the		
		roundabout which regularly causes the roundabout to be blocked (I hope this comment makes		
		sense)		
71	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment. Issues were	No change required
( '		Leave the Risbygate junction with Parkway as it is. It is attractive now and the crossing there is	identified with this junction at the Issues and	
		safe.	Options stage.	
72	resident	PROVIDE ACCESS FOR LORRYS TO DELIVER TO AN OFF LOAD POINT TO GET THERE	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required
12		DELIVERIES TO THE ARC AND ST. ANDREW STREET SOUTH.	be considered.	
73	resident, retired	More trees	Thank you.	No change required
74	resident, worker		Thank you for your comment. Issues were	No change required
ľ			identified with this Character Area at the Issues	
		Just needs tidying up.	and Options stage.	
75	resident		Thank you for your comments. There is an	No change required
			aspiration to introduce additional parking to	
		traffic congestion still o/s and parking Too many vehicles	serve the town centre in this Character Area.	
76	resident, worker	It's all good but no more traffic lights and metal trees	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
77	resident	I have a feeling parkway will become more busier traffic wise. hope your proposals help to ensure	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		that the access to the cinema is enhanced and I agree that the access route from the Multi Storey		
		Car Park needs substantial improvement		
78	resident		Many sites are not in the council's control	No change required
			however we will work closely with any new	
			development to make sure it meets the towns	
			needs. There is an aspiration to introduce	
			additional parking to serve the town centre in	
		This is a vital area for parking. Please do not sell this land for building on.	this Character Area.	
79	resident, retired		The Lloyds Bank site is privately owned but we	No change required
		The old Lloyds Bank site is empty, any proposals for it?	will seek to influence any redevelopment.	
80	resident, worker		The growth of Bury St Edmunds is happening.	No change required
			We recognise there is a need to both replace	
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
			parking. This is addressed under Character	
			Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Any retail growth will be	
L		More cars, more parking, more retail?	market-led.	
81	resident, worker		That is the purpose of the masterplan. Many	No change required
			sites are not in the council's control however we	
			will work closely with any new development to	
		How will mixed use development be delivered? Council doesn't own the land. Not in councils	make sure it meets the towns needs.	
00	an al da a t	control so why is council suggesting it can make it happen?	The near solution that the second sec	
82	resident, worker		The removal of traffic from other areas will not	No change required
1			necassarilly significantly increase traffic on	
			Parkway, as this traffic already uses Parkway to access the town centre in the first instance.	
		with the other areas re-routing traffic into this area it will be a complete night mare		
83	resident, retired		Maintain vehicle movement along Tayfen Road	No change required
03			and Parkway to facilitate access to the town	
		Only unclear about 'maintain access to the town centre' for cars. Lacks clarity in the light of a	centre.' refers to the wider town centre as	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	delineated in the masterplan.	
L	1			1 I

-	1			
84	resident, retired			No change required
		time it seems to me that you have realised that people do want to use their cars and will do	all town centre users. We recognise the town	
		despite any efforts to discourage them. Generally I feel that more thought to making driver's lives	serves a large area that requires access by car.	
		easier as well as those of pedestrians (often the same people) would be a good idea in the plans	Provision for car users is mentioned in	
		generally - not just in Area 6.	Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
5	visitor from less than		Thank you for your suggestion. Additional	No change required
	10 miles away,		planting will be fully assessed for its	
	retired	If installing more trees, make them small areas and more attractive to look at. ?? Bus Station area.		
86	resident, worker			No change required
			cycle access in this Character Area was	
		Why do we need more crossings it seems to work ok what that would mean is more road works	identified as an issue during the Issues and	
_		and long term diversions - like now we don't have to change everything	Options stage.	
37	worker		Thank you for your comment and suggestion.	No change required
		I fully support the mentioned tree planting in the plans. As mentioned before additional charging		
-		infrastructure may be best placed in longer stay parking facilities around the cinema/ multi-storey.		
88	worker, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles	Very make the neurodebaset binners by the second state of the seco	not be available and does nothing to improve	
	away	Yes, make the roundabout bigger by using some of the area by B&Q and Lloyds bank but why	pedestrian access for the thousands of people	
		more frontages on to this area too? I have no idea where all these extra customers are going to	who live within walking distance of the town	
		come from, with restricted access to town via car or bus business will lose out. And, again don't	centre on the west side of Parkway. It will	
		make the mistake of adding a cycle lane by narrowing major just to 'tick a box' a 'cyclist dismount'	encourage them to drive, thus adding to	
		sign is safer!	congestion.	
9	worker	Descritives taxis and exists him we bidge and a second		No change required
		Providing taxis and private hire vehicles can access	relevant projects.	
90	resident			No change required
			including secure parking, is set out in Character	
			Area 9. There is an aspiration to improve traffic	
			movement in this area including	
			prioritisation of pedestrian and cycle routes in	
		Better provision for cyclists (not on the road) should be a priority. Attention needed to those with	this Character Area.	
		disabilities		
)1	resident			No change required
			originally to serve development on the former	
			cattle market. For many years it was under used,	
			but is now better utilised. A multi story car park	
			at the rear of the arc would prevent any	
			opportunity to expand shopping provision in the	
			future if it is required. The masterplan does	
		Missed chance to build Multi Storey car park at rear of Arc Shopping Centre visitors to town have	make provision for additional car parking in	
_		asked me on several occasions. Have been told expensive to build to run and to maintain.	Characetr Area 3.	
92	resident			No change required
		This is an entrance to the Kings Road/Queens Road/ Victoria Street part of the town and is heavily		
		used as a rat run through to the western side of town. There should be a review of this with the	Parkway will need to address this particular	
		aspiration of restricting traffic to residents and buses only. Taxis are particularly guilty of using is	junction.	
		as a rat run. If the Lloyds building is vacated this represents a significant redevelopment		
		opportunity at a gateway point into the town which should carefully considered, maybe a design		
		competition ?		
3	resident, worker		, , , ,	No change required
		Go further: Put Parkway in a tunnel from just south of Springfield Rd/west of St Andrews St car	be considered, although some may be cost-	
		park to the Bus/Coach area south of St Louis, including tunnel access into car parks for Parkway,	prohibitive.	
		Cattle Market and Robert Bobby Way. Remove Kings road roundabout and reduce the remaining		
		ground level road to disabled/bus/deliveries. Make a most of Parkway into a linear park.		

94	worker		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required
			all town centre users. Pedestrian and cycle	
			access was identified as an issue in this	
			Character Area during the Issues and Options	
			stage. It is important to improve pedestrian	
			access for the thousands of people who live	
			within walking distance of the town centre on the	
			west side of Parkway. Otherwise it will	
			encourage them to drive, thus adding to	
		Why prioritise pedestrians and cyclists ?	congestion.	
95	resident, retired		Thank you for your comments. The structure,	No change required
		The principal need in this area is improved pedestrian and cycle access to the town centre across	map and Character Areas are for reference only	
		Parkway, especially at Risbygate Street. Perhaps the historic route of Risbygate Street could be	to enable people to identify the areas of the town	
		further emphasised by renaming this Character Area 'Risbygate'?	centre the aspirations relate to.	
96	worker	Good idea of more trees, the encoruage of active travel is always welcome		No change required
97	resident, retired	Please note that my 'yes' to Q18 above is a qualified 'yes'		No change required
98	resident	Lack of specific intentions. Again "tree planting" - who is installing, providing long term		No change required
		maintenance and the knowledge of the right trees for location? "Additional parking" where?	response to previous comments.	
		"Reconfigure or expand the existing" What does this actually mean?		
99	visitor from less	As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my	Thank you for your comments. There is an	No change required
Ĭ	than 10 miles away	major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel	aspiration to introduce additional parking to	
1	and to thirds away	into town by car.	serve the town centre in this Character Area.	
100	resident		Robert Body Way has recently been resurfaced.	No change required
100	resident	1) Robert Boby Way badly needs resurfacing.	Tobert body way has recently been resultaced.	
101	resident, worker	Messing about with the bus routes again. Does any Councillor use a bus?	Yes	No change required
102	resident	To improve safety at the Risbygate/Parkway roundabout it needs to restrict pedestrians crossing,		No change required
102	Tesideni	especially where the Lloyds Bank building is situated. The roundabout needs to have clearer	be considered.	
		visibility for traffic coming onto the roundabout as it is difficult to see other vehicles coming round.		
		The roundabout at the Kings Road junction needs to be made smaller as it is very tight and		
400	n nofen not to pour	<ul> <li>visibility is obstructed.</li> <li>As with proposed developments in St. Andrew's Quarter, the Parkway is in need of major</li> </ul>	A model The alguest for your positive comments	No share required
103	prefer not to say	improvements which should be seen in the whole with the Tayfen and St. Andrew's Streets.	Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		Parkway is part of a major road route within the town centre and improvements need to be made		
		to traffic flows, but in an integrated manner in conjunction with other main routes. • The proposed		
		environmental developments to Risbygate Street can only improve the area, and commitments to		
10.1		increase parking near to Parkway would be supported.		
104	worker, visitor from			No change required
		I hope the new layout will ensure that Risbygate is not a mishmash of architectural styles and the		
1	away		that the multi-storey car park is a good design.	
		park and Park way is a modern mess		
105	resident, retired	Regarding improved access across Parkway I don't understand where you mean by Cattlemarket.		No change required
		We haven't had the cattle market for years and using this name just causes confusion for any new	to the car park to the rear of The arc.	
1		residents in the town who may not be familiar with our history. If this is opposite to Cineworld this		
		crossing works perfectly well if used correctly.		
106	resident, retired			No change required
		Better pedestrian access across Parkway at King's Road junction essential. A bridge?	be considered.	
107	resident			No change required
		Elevated pedestrian crossings over Parkway.	be considered.	
108	resident, worker	Your plan says: "Improve traffic movement in this area including prioritisation of pedestrian and	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		cycle routes". This must include the removal and replacement of the shrub on the south western		
		corner of the Parkway/Risbygate roundabout which at present grows so quickly that prevents		
		cyclists and pedestrians from seeing traffic approaching the roundabout when they are standing at		
		the (lowered) crossing point. This is a serious accident waiting to happen. Please replace it with		
		lower-growing greenery. Otherwise, by all means plant more trees, but sightlines must be		
		maintained - at all times of the growing season. Cyclical maintenance of shrubs and trees is a		
1		must.		
I			1	1I

109	resident, worker	This sector is the one which picks up the traffic domination for the town. Yet there are significant		No change required	
		problems with the routes, for example for people getting to the hospital and the times of buses for	town centre masterplan, but accessibility for		
		schools to facilitate after school activities. People coming to the hospital from Haverhill find	public transport is important both within and		
		themselves left at the bottom of the hill by the Spread Eagle pub with a long uphill walk to the	outside the town centre.		
		hospital. This is a matter of great concern as the elderly population are most likely to need to			
		come using public transport.			
110	visitor from less than		It is unlikely that diverting bus routes will have a	No change required	
	10 miles away		significant impact upon existing congestion. No		
		Concerned regarding extra traffic caused by buses diverted along parkway. Are you suggesting	suggestions have yet been made. There is still a		
		Bridge Over parkway for pedestrian traffic? I believe there used to be a subway under the road.	subway under Parkway, but it does not create an		
		Concerning about making easier pedestrian access between cinima and Arc will cause more	inviting environment for many pedestrians.		
		traffic congestion which is already bad in that area.			
112	resident	The wooden flats need to be smartened up as a priority	The flats belong to Havebury Housing	No change required	
			Partnership.		
113	resident, other	The multi storey is already high and unsightly with the fnacing. The rubbish is embarassing and	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
		unsightly.			
114	resident, retired	Do something to make the eye sore of the wooden building at the Kings Rd roundabout. It lets the	The flats belong to Havebury Housing	No change required	
		area down	Partnership.		
115	resident	Build a FOOTWAY over Parkway from multi-storey car park to the 'arc'; it won't interfere with	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required	
			be considered.		
		desperately needed SOCIAL HOUSING.			
116	resident, student	Large buildings, B&Q & cinema etc etc (& council offices) should have solar panels fitted to help	Thank you for your suggestions. Cycle provision	No change required	
	, ,	town with carbon footprint. Solar energy to be encouraged on any suitable buildings in town e.g.	across the town centre, including secure		
			parking, is set out in Character Area 9.		
			······································		
117	other	Transport and Highways - One of the aspirations for this character area is to 'Improve traffic	Thank you for your comment. We look forward	No change required	SCC questionnaire response.
			to working closely with you to address the issues		Received by email
			in this Character Area.		
		conflict with one another. The County Council will work with the Borough on how this balance will			
		be achieved.			
118	resident, retired	The parkway crossing in front of cineworld does not make it clear when it is safe to cross. You see	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
		people dashing across while others wait. There should be a bleeping sound for both sides. The			
		crossing by the back of St. Louis School is difficult too. Solve the problem of Risbygate and			
		Tayfen junction and you solvr the majority of Bury's traffic problems.			
119	visitor from less than		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required	
-	10 miles away		all town centre users. We recognise the town		
			serves a large area that requires access by car.		
			Provision for car users is mentioned in		
		An accommodation for motorists	Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.		
120	visitor from less than		That was said that about central London in 1900	No change required	
-	10 miles away,		in the era of horse drawn traffic, yet today, with a		
	retired		greatly increased population and the private		
			motor car, the traffic continues to move. This		
			has been achieved through effective traffic		
			management. Effective traffic management		
			within the town and enabling alternative forms of		
			transport can accommodate growth.		
		I don't think any road access into town will cope with increased population by 2031.	anoport our accommodate growth.		
121	resident, other		Thank you for your comment. We hope the	No change required	1
			masterplan will go at least some way to		
		I hope parkway will be more safer for traffic and pedestrians to use.	addressing the issues in this area.		
122	prefer not to say		<u> </u>	No change required	
122	profor flot to say		pedestrianised then alternative locations for bus		
		7) The convenience of the St Androws St S has store outwoided the herefit of reductrionizing it	stops will be sought that are equally convenient		
		I/T THE CONVENIENCE OF THE SEADOLEWS SESTIONS SHOW NOTING THE DEDEMENT OF DEDEMINATION TO THE			
		7) The convenience of the St Andrews St S bus stops outweighs the benefit of pedestrianising it. This recommendation should be discarded>21	prior to closure.		

1.00				
123	resident, retired			No change required
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which	
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year	
			process as it has to go through an Act of	
		Re-routing buses along Parkway and Risbygate is unlikely to speed traffic flow along Parkway and	Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
		will create an unpleasant environment on Risbygate with traffic jams. Illegal parking on Risbygate	2019.	
		will create an unpreasant environment on rusbygate with trainc jams. megal parking on rusbygate		
10.1		needs to be stopped.		
124	visitor from more		Thank you for your response.	No change required
	than 10 miles away,			
	prefer not to say			
		Yes. I prefer to keep them to myself		
125	resident, retired			No change required
			environmental benefits of a green environment	
		maintenance.	and maintenance of footpaths.	
126	resident, vistor from		The masterplan is designed to be a flexible	No change required
	more than 10 miles		framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to	
	away, retired		respond to changes, different levels of growth	
		It all depends on what facilities there are on the other side of Parkway.	and changing technologies.	
127	prefer not to say		Suffolk County Council is responsible for town	No change required
		Aspirations should not be included in the MAP without a clear understanding of the implications for	wide modelling of highways and highway	
			improvements and this work is already well	
			under way following adoption of the Core	
			Strategy in 2010 and the Bury St Edmunds	
			Vision 2031 in 2014. A joined up approach	
			between that work and the work around the town	
			centre is essential to avoid the potential	
		- · ·	problems identified.	
		comprehensive assessment of the capacity and ability of the entire highway to cope, there will		
		inevitably be further problems for being able to access the Town Centre. It is a matter that needs		
		addressing urgently if the prosperity of the Town Centre is not to be harmed. Should there be		
		additional congestion on the roads serving the Town Centre, people will be deterred from using		
		the Town Centre and a reliable public transport system into and out of the Town Centre will not be		
		able to operate. Difficulties already exist for buses on some roads due to parked cars and any		
		additional proposals for on-street parking will need to ensure that they do not add to this problem.		
1				

		Q21 - Character Area 7 - Kings Road and Robert Boby Way - Do the aspirations	Total Representations: 109		
Maste		proposed for this area address the issues - Do you have any other comments?			
	responses				
			0		IN 7
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from		Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
	less than 10 miles				
	away	Consolidation of this area with The Arc would be very good.			
2	retired			No change required	
			appear divided in respect of the Kings Road		
		Robert Boby Way is the strangest entrance to any Town I have ever seen. The 'Shared Space' in	crossing, but the opportunity to provide a formal		
L		Kings Road has never really worked and is dangerous.	pedestrian crossing will be explored.		
3	resident			No change required	
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which		
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year		
		They help with preventing people parking in Kings road on the inimediate access to the	process as it has to go through an Act of		
		roundabout would be great - as indeed, would enforcement of double yellow lines at junctions	Parliament. We expect this to be in place during		
		throughout that residential area.	2019.		
4	resident, student			No change required	
_		There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	during the Issues and Options stage.		
5	resident	Need to link to the Arc and expand Waitrose although Halfords is fine. Car parking is very useful	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
		for a quick shop but a nightmare to navigate and with PC World closing it's becoming a joke we don't need a TK Max expansion for sure!			
6	resident		Thank you for your suggestion. However,	No change required	
0	resident		moving the existing crossing from Robert Boby		
		The pedestrian crossing over the Parkway adjacent to the entrance of Robert Boby Way needs to	Way to Kings Road may just move the problem		
		be closer to the roundabout at the intersection of Kings Road because people just run over there	from Kings Road to Robert Boby Way. All		
		anyway, I've seen countless acts of stupidity.	options will be considered.		
7	resident, worker			No change required	
			moving the existing crossing from Robert Boby		
			Way to Kings Road may just move the problem		
			from Kings Road to Robert Boby Way. All		
		nearer kings road so its actually used by people walking along kings road	options will be considered.		
8	visitor from more			No change required	
	than 10 miles away,		response to previous comments.		
0		Please see comments for Q7	There has been aignificent support for 'Device	No change required	
9	resident			No change required	
			Exit' car parking during the masterplan consultation stages. We have passed this to our		
			colleagues in Parking Services, who will		
		Could you fit more parking in here and isn't this a place for a pay as you leave car park.	consider all options going forward.		
10	resident	Generally yes but Kings Road is another central location which has not benefitted from the		No change required	
-		investment elsewhere in the town and deserves better.			
11	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required	
			be considered when carrying out a viability study		
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
		IV as the all a second and a second state and all at a second at a large by a second all a short and a second state and second state and second states	provision. Waitrose has its own car park with		
		You think everybody can walk these distances what about the elderly and disabled who park in the	Blue Badge spaces.		
12	resident	town centre for the shops Waitrose is a fair distance when you struggle to walk why do you need to enhance pedestrians crossing kings road to arc - it's one way and not that	This issue was identified during the Issues and	No change required	
12		busy - most dangerous is bikes going both ways	•		
L		puas - most dangerous is bikes going both ways	Options stage.		I

13	resident, worker		the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.	No change required
14	resident, retired	impression of the Cornhill is dishonest. It should be accompanied by an Impression of the same prospect at 3pm on a Thursday in January with a north wind blowing up St. Johns Street.	Thank you for your response. This is not relevant to this Character Area.	No change required
15	resident	Thers nothing to be added there, its full	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
16	resident		Agreed.	No change required
17	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
18	worker	In regards of the aspirations I am supportive of points 1&3 but feel that crossing across Kings Rd is currently ok with the majority of that road being one way traffic away from the town centre	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
19	resident	I would like to see a short cut pedestrian route developed to link Guildhall Street, Churchgate Street and the Waitrose area.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
20	resident, retired		Cycle provision across the town centre, including secure parking, is set out in Character Area 9.	No change required
21	resident	Better crossing points is a good thing.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
21				
22	resident	ZEBRA CROSSINGS WOULD SOLVE MOST PROBLEMS.	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required
23	resident	No Comment.	Thank you for your response.	No change required
24	resident, worker	It is astonishing to learn that the PC World building can only be used for certain retail purposes. Get this changed and get more parking in that area - multi-storey would not be a problem.	The restrictive condition referred to was removed in 2008 (application SE/08/1485).	No change required
25	resident	Crossing definitely needed here	Thank you for you comment.	No change required
26	resident, retired	See previous comments.	Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required
27	resident, retired	We do not understand the soughing if the Robert Boby corner road surface. If intended to slow the traffic it does not work.		No change required
28	prefer not to say	The scale of suggested shopping provision should be clarified and justified in this and other character areas. More specific and clearly defined proposals are needed for the suggested pedestrian and cycle links particularly across Parkway between King's Road North and King's Road South. Public realm enhancement is urgently needed in this character area. Car parking provision must be replaced and extended in multi-storey car parks in this and/or adjoining areas if Waitrose is redeveloped on a larger scale. Better signage for pedestrians and vehicles is needed in this and other areas.	Thank you for your comments and suggestions. In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward. Further detail will be in the Delivery Plan	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
29	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Consider making pedestrian subways to maintain the flow of traffic and make it quicker and easier for pedestrians.	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required
30	resident	Crossing at the junction with Risbygate and Tayfen/Parkway near Lloyds Bank (on the part up-hill from the roundabout) need vast improvement for safety.	Agreed.	No change required
		a. Sympathetic development required. b. Don't sacrifice parking.		

32	visitor from less than		The emphasis on retail in this area is primarilly	No change required
	10 miles away, other		to protect and retain that which currently exists.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Additional space may be possible through	
			reoorganisation of what exists, or partial	
		Very much in favour of improving look of area. Not sure where further retail space is though?!	redevelopment.	
22	na sida at natina d			No share required
33	resident, retired		It is unlikely that such a facility could be provided	no change required
			retrospectively without complete redevelopment	
			of the site.	
		disruption would be hideous.		
34	resident	Definately agree that enhanced pedestrian safety in this area is a must. Maybe tidy up the	Thank you for your comments. The flats belong	No change required
		wooden cladded flats on the corner of Kings Road	to Havebury Housing Partnership.	
35	resident		There are aspirations to address the issues in St	No change required
			Andrews Streetv South in Character Area 1.	
		the area walking from waitrose past LP into the buttermarket is very scruffy.		
36		I think the car park and multi storey car park is essential in that location and we need more	Agreed.	No change required
00		affordable long term parking for employees in this area.	, grood	
37	resident, retired	anordable long torm parking for omproyood in this area.	Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
51		See comments about Parkway area and Kings Road roundabout	response to previous comments.	
38	visitor from less than	ooo oommonis about i aniway area anu nings noau tounuabout		No change required
30		Traffic often backs up here from the roundabout. Better traffic control is needed here.	rnank you for your comment.	No change required
~~~	10 miles away	Tranic onen backs up here from the foundabout. Better tranic control is needed here.		
39	visitor from more		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
	than 10 miles away,			
		Better links to the arc would be good		
40	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away		ride/walk/cycle provision as included in	
		Too much traffic here. A park and ride scheme would reduce traffic.	Character Area 9.	
41	resident		At the present time, the site performs an	No change required
		Comments were made at the CAA/Bury Society meeting that change of use of existing shops at	important town centre retailing function,	
		Robert Boby Way was not permitted. This seems short-sighted as in 10-20 years' time totally	responsible for increasing footfall within the town	
			centre. Opportunities for mixed uses and	
		housing close to the town centre. Surely a change of use application could be looked at	residential uses close to the town centre are	
		favourably taking account of this.	identified in different character areas.	
42	resident	Need to enhance cycle access and safety across an around this area into and out of the town		No change required
72	resident		assessing the viability of park and	
		increase it therefore pedestrian and cycle access to and from this area should be encouraged.		
			ride/walk/cycle provision as included in	
		Perhaps Park and Ride access to this end of the Arc Centre should be considered too to support	Character Area 9.	
		and encourage the exisiting and new retail facilities.		
43		Easier access for pedestrians is a very good idea.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
44	resident		Thank you for your comment and suggestion. All	No change required
		No further retail is necessary. Crossing required between wait rose and Arc.	options will be considered.	
45	resident, retired	Difficult to make retail boxes and car parks attractive, but tree planting, planters etc might help a	Agreed.	No change required
		bit.		
46	visitor from more		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
	than 10 miles away	See previous comment about the pedestrian crossings along Parkway.	response to previous comments.	
47	resident, retired			No change required
	.,	I agree that a crossing is required at the Kings Road/Robert Boby Way round-about. At present	be considered.	
		the alternatives are the pelican crossings outside the cinema or in the other direction by Halfords.		
		Safty barriers prevent cutting across before the cinema, and people take a risk trying to cross at		
		the roundabout - traffic arrives rapidly, including the U-turns to enter Robert Boby Way for the		
		Halfords, etc. car park. Sight lines are not good for pedestrians at the point. I would suggest that		
		Belish crossings are better for traffic flow - pelican crossings are often frustrating for both		
		pedestrians and motorists, as they often take so long to change so that pedestrain cross when a		
		gap occurs, and then motorists have to stop when there is no one waiting to cross. How about the		
		occasional footbridge (accessible)? I appreaciate these are costly, but the advantages to traffic		
		flow and reducing stop-start pollution are considerable.		
			Thank you for your comment. Retail provision is	No change required
48	resident, worker	Improve retail facilities in the Robert boby area. More variety is required.	market-led.	no change required

49	resident		Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of	No change required
		Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character	the masterplan working group and will be	
		Areas in the masterplan. The area around the bottom end of St Andrews Street South and Kings	included in all key decisions relating to the	
			masterplan as well as numerous individual	
			projects. Thank you for your suggestions, all	
		uses. At the moment, it simply acts as a rat run for vehicles passing through the town centre and		
			options will be considered.	
		down Kings Road towards Parkway. A major improvement to the area would be to pedestrianise		
		the part of Kings Road between St Andrews Street South and Parkway as well as St Andrews		
		Street South between Kings Road and Robert Boby Way. This would provide safer pedestrian		
		access between the Waitrose car park and the Arc as well as maintaining the ability of cars		
		parked at Waitrose to leave by turning right at the end of Robert Boby Way.		
50	visitor from less than	The pedestrian links with the town centre are not good enough- too narrow and need	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		improvement. Also shops here need to be better and not left empty.		
51	resident, worker		The types of retailers trading in the town centre	No change required
01			are outside the remit of the masterplan and the	
			control of public bodies. We work, however, to	
		· · · ·		
			encourage a wide range of businesses within the	
			town centre.	
52	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
53	resident		Thank you for your observations. These are	No change required
			suggestions which can be explored further.	
		leaving the retail area into St Andrews and via Kings Road is not ideal, cramped/narrow roads.		
		Many similar areas in other towns have dual carriageway acces in and out.		
54	resident		Disagree. Issues with pedestrian access in this	No change required
•			Character Area was identified during the Issues	
			and Options stage.	
55	resident, retired	v v		No change required
55	resident, retired			no change required
			Character Area was identified during the Issues	
			and Options stage.	
56	1		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
57	resident		S I	No change required
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which	
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year	
			process as it has to go through an Act of	
		Illegal/inconsiderate parking on Robert Boby Way needs to be addressed to facilitate the flow of	Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
			2019.	
58	resident			No change required
00			wayfinding may be required.	
		old Curry's/ PC world property has stood empty for ages - perhaps because of footfall re above	waymang may be required.	
50		comment.		
59	resident, retired		The flats belong to Havebury Housing	No change required
		be something about the dreadful nontage of the hats at the Kings Road Junction.	Partnership.	
60	worker		Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required
			be considered.	
		Robert Boby Way		
61	resident, retired		Pedestrian access was identified as an issue in	No change required
01				no onange required
			Character Area 6 during the Issues and Options	
~~		What wrong with the crossing on parkway.	stage.	
62	resident, worker		Agreed.	No change required
63	resident		All options will be considered.	No change required
		another configuration? The supermarket traffic impedes traffic flow at peak times.		
64	worker	The roundabout at the top of Kings Road has frequent accidents when two lanes of cars try to go	Thank you for your observations. All options for	No change required
		around it. It needs improving.	this junction will need to be considered.	
			Agreed.	No change required
65		Ensure pedestrian crossings are carefully thought about.		
65 66	resident, worker		<u> </u>	
65 66			Thank you for your response, but comment not	No change required
66	resident, worker resident	traffic access problems	Thank you for your response, but comment not understood.	No change required
	resident, worker resident resident, worker	traffic access problems The night clubs could do with a clean up. Didn't think there was anything wrong, apart from it	Thank you for your response, but comment not understood.	

		Γ	I	
68	resident			No change required
			Character Area and the council cannot sell that	
		This is also a vital area for parking. Please do not sell this land to be built on.	which it does not own.	
69	resident, retired			Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment or details of projects. Rather it	
			provides a framework for individual projects to	
		Not enough detail to see if the essential vehicular access to this area is being fully considered.	come forward. Further detail will be in the	
70	resident			No change required
10	resident	It is best left as it is.	during the Issues and Options stage.	
71	worker	I particularly like open areas such as the one linking Waitrose to the arc shopping centre. The		No shange required
1	worker		Agreed. Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		raised planted areas are a welcome source of greenery. I would also like to mention the further		
		planting works which surround the subway linking the multi-storey car park to the arc. This helps		
		to improve a feeling of safety around such areas.		
72	worker, visitor from	The 'shared space' layout of Kings Road is really not safe for blind people or guide dogs, the		No change required
	more than 10 miles	bobbly paving is painful to walk on and serves little purpose. If PC world can't survive in that	crossing on Kings Road will be explored.	
	away	location, who would want to go in there -or expand - has there been any interest already? Put		
		another layer of parking onto parkway surface, and reinstate all day parking.		
73	worker		Taxi provision will be accommodated in all	No change required
		Providing taxis and private hire vehicles can access	relevant projects.	
74	resident			No change required
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials and	
			also to optimise access into and around the area	
			for people with disabilities and mobility	
			difficulties. This could be achieved by	
			addressing issues such as dropped kerbs,	
		A main attention mount has mainly a manufacturity disabilities have	reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and access to	
		Again attention must be paid to people with disabilities here	shops and businesses.	
75	resident	I have made reference in Section 6 to the need to review Kings Road as a rat run. Improved	_ · ·	No change required
		pedestrian links in this area would be welcomed.	response to previous comments.	
76	visitor from less than		Agreed.	No change required
	10 miles	This is an important retail service area that should continue to provide the type of uses found		
	away,retired	there, as well as parking.		
77	resident, retired	Again, the principal need in this area is improved pedestrian and cycle access both to the town	Agreed.	No change required
		centre across Parkway, and between Robert Boby Way and the ARC. The car parks and open		
		spaces could be substantially improved with a little landscaping (of course the cinema ought to		
		have been built on the carpark to the east of Parkway, but it is where it is!)		
78	worker		Issues in this Character Area were identified	No change required
		The area is fine now	during the Issues and Options stage.	
79	resident, worker	Pedestrian and cycle links particularly across Parkway between King's Road North and King's		No change required
		Road South are needed. Enhancement is urgently needed in this character area. Car parking	be considered. There is an aspiration to improve	
		provision must be replaced and extended in multi-storey car parks in this and/or adjoining areas if	signage to key destinations in Character Area 9.	
		Waitrose is redeveloped on a larger scale. Better signage for pedestrians and vehicles is needed		
		in this and other areas.		
00	reaident retired		Thenk you for your comment	No obongo required
80	resident, retired	Please note that my 'yes' to Q20 above is a qualified 'yes'		No change required
81	resident			Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
			for individual projects to come forward. Further	
			detail will be in the Delivery Plan	
		Lack of specific intentions and very ambiguous.		
I	1		I	1

82	visitor from less than	As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my	There is no intention to remove parking in this	No change required
	10 miles away	major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel	Character Area.	
		into town by car.		
83	resident		Robert Boby Way has recently been resurfaced.	No change required
		1) Robert Boby Way badly needs resurfacing.		
84	resident, worker		At the present time, the site performs an	No change required
•			important town centre retailing function,	
			responsible for increasing footfall within the town	
			centre. However, land owners often look to	
			-	
			achieve a greater return from their investments	
			including redevelopment. If such a situation	
		What redevelopment. We'll be swallowed up by bricks and mortar. If its retail, don't a lot a people	arises, we wish to ensure the retailing function is	
		shop on-line these days?	retained.	
85	resident			No change required
			repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials.	
		Improve nevernent between back of nevt/Debenberg, next ear bire, and signed to well through	Bury St Edmunds does not currently meet the	
		Improve pavement between back of next/Debenhams, past car hire, and signage to walk through	criteria John Lewis use to allocate stores.	
		by Waitrose delivery area Get a John Lewis.		
86	resident	As a pedestrian I have not found these areas to give me any concern.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
87	prefer not to say		Agreed. Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		• Supportive of linking the retail activities around the Arc with existing areas such as Waitrose, but		
		the pedestrian access needs to be improved both from an environmental perspective as well as		
		from a safety perspective too. • Protect where possible existing retail parking.		
88	worker, visitor from		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
	less than 10 miles			
	away	Pedestrianisation and connectivity is a good thingjust a shame the Arc looks like a spaceship		
89	resident, retired	Crossing from the arc to the Waitrose entrance in Kings Road would be easier if drivers	The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian	No change required
		understood how to use a shared space. Most think it means to put their foot down to get through	crossing on Kings Road will be explored.	
		as fast as they can even if cars are queuing back from the Parkway roundabout.		
00	rocidont		Agrood	No obongo required
90	resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose.		No change required
	resident resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the	· ·	No change required No change required
		Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better.		
		Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or		
90 91		Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support		
		Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be		
		Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely.		
91		Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be	Agreed. Thank you for your comments.	
91	resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely.	Agreed. Thank you for your comments.	No change required
91 92	resident resident, worker	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road.	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered.	No change required No change required
91 92	resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All	No change required No change required
91 92 93	resident resident, worker resident, retired	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led.	No change required No change required No change required No change required
91 92 93	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from	No change required No change required
91 92 93	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online.	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led.	No change required No change required No change required No change required
91 92 93 94	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online.	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations.	No change required
91 92 93 94	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping &	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from	No change required No change required No change required No change required
91 92 93 94 95	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings.	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
91 92 93 94 95	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian	No change required
91 92 93 94 95	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored.	No change required
91 92 93 94 95	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be	No change required
91 92 93 94 95	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be	No change required
91 92 93 94 95	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective.	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective.	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective.	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective. At the present time, the site performs an important town centre retailing function,	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective. At the present time, the site performs an important town centre retailing function, responsible for increasing footfall within the town	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective. At the present time, the site performs an important town centre retailing function, responsible for increasing footfall within the town centre. However, land owners often look to	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective. At the present time, the site performs an important town centre retailing function, responsible for increasing footfall within the town centre. However, land owners often look to achieve a greater return from their investments	No change required
	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective. At the present time, the site performs an important town centre retailing function, responsible for increasing footfall within the town centre. However, land owners often look to achieve a greater return from their investments including redevelopment. If such a situation	No change required
91 92 93 94 95 96	resident resident, worker resident, retired worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away resident, student resident	Improve pedestrian access from the Arc to Waitrose. In scoping this area, allocating parking in key locations and potentially widening some of the junctions whilst enhancing the character of the area, would make the flow of traffic better. However, retail outlets around this particular area are very busy and makes parking and/ or pedestrian access, somewhat difficult. Furthermore, the state of the road also, does not support pedestrians. The conflict here between pedestrian measures and parking/ access must be considered closely. Some good plans here which I support. Please also consider widening the pavement on Kings Road. However I disagree that any more shops are needed. The answer to everything seems to be "more shops" but why when there is increased shopping online. Are these not stand alone retail units Pedestrian & cycle access & storage. Dropped kerbs & crossing points. Planters, landscaping & trees. Solar energy on large roof buildings. We need to improve safety for pedestrians by giving them PRIORITY over vehicles in clearly defined SHARED SPACE. Extend 20mph zone to include all of St Andrews street (south) and Kings Road for Eastern end to the Parkway roundabout (and beyond, into residential area).	Agreed. Thank you for your comments. Thank you for your positive comments. All options will be considered. Any additional retail provision will be market-led. Yes, but this does not prevent landowners from coming forward with redevelopment aspirations. Thank you for your suggestions. The opportunity to provide a formal pedestrian crossing on Kings Road will be explored. Extension of the 20mph zone may be considered, but must be enforced to be effective. At the present time, the site performs an important town centre retailing function, responsible for increasing footfall within the town centre. However, land owners often look to achieve a greater return from their investments	No change required

98	resident	Very little alterations needed		No change required	
			during the Issues and Options stage.		
99		Waitrose needs to be expanded with multi level car parking. The store is too small for the no. of customers it serves.	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required	
100	resident	The proper curbs need to be reinstated at the crossings	Thank you for your response, but comment not understood.	No change required	
101	other	Please see the 'Highways and Transport' comments in question seven.	Thank you for your response. Please see response to earlier comments.	No change required	SCC questionnaire response Received by email
102	resident, retired	I often walk this way and I have to say at busy times it is difficult. It is not very clear to new visitors who don't know the area.	Agreed.	No change required	
103	visitor from less than 10 miles away	Further accommodation for motorists	The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all town centre users. We recognise the town serves a large area that requires access by car. Provision for car users is mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	No change required	
104	visitor from less than 10 miles away,	Don't destroy anymore of the old building eg St Louis school buildings. They are part of the old town.	We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong historic value and this will be protected.	No change required	
105	resident, other	Hope the flats will stay and they need a double roundabout at kings road with pedestrian traffic lights.	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required	
106		8) I was unaware of the plan until the end of July 2017. Why was so little effort made to communicate with the local population, for example by sending a result of the proposals with the council tax demands in March. Simply relying on the press is not good enough.	The consultations were publicised through local press including the East Anglian Daily Times, social media and via the Borough's and partners' websites. A leaflet was sent to 23,000 Bury St Edmunds households in the Spring. In total, 20 public consultation events were held over the two consultation periods, including at the main supermarkets, library, markets and leisure centre. We are sorry you feel the consultation was not publicised sufficiently but we are pleased you did become aware of it and participated.		
107		Refinetly agree with need for enhanced crossing facilities for pedestrians at Parkway.		No change required	
108	visitor from more than 10 miles away, prefer not to say	No.	Thank you for your response.	No change required	
109			The types of retailers trading in the town centre are outside the remit of the masterplan and the control of public bodies. We work, however, to encourage a wide range of businesses within the town centre.		

Maste	erplan consultation responses	Q23 - Character Area 8 - Lark and Linnet Riverside - Do the aspirations proposed for this area address the issues - Do you have any other comments?	Total Representations: 106		
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	retired	Good idea.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required	
2	resident, student		Issues in this Character Area were identified in	No change required	
		There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	the Issues and Options stage.		
3	resident	Lovely area just keep it under control and get that eff'ing Green King chimney down! How they got away with that heavens only knows in a Grade I conservation area. Too much influence and local	Design is subjective. The chimney is an operational requirement for a business which has operated on the site for more than 200 years. If it was removed, it would have to be replaced with another of a design which	No change required	
		power me thinks.	someone else might not like.		
4	resident	Perhaps a cycle path through the abbey gardens which do not affect the historical and beautiful nature of the gardens.	5	No change required	
5	visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	Please see comments for Q7	Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required	
6	resident	Make it a proper nature trail from the Tesco roundabout via the back of the fox/ abbey gardens, no mans meadow through to the Southgate roundabout. It could be a	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required	
7	resident, worker	There is a lack of dog bins at the entrance and exit points of the various meadows	Thank you for your comment. This is outside the remit of the masterplan but has been passed to our Operations Team.	No change required	
8	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	But the wildlife should be considered - this has not been mentioned. Consultations with such agencies/charities who deal with environmental enhancement in areas of natural beauty should be directly involved.	the town have strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will be protected. We will be	No change required	
9	resident	Who's paying for this	Costings for individual projects will be worked up as the projects progress. Funding will come from a range of sources including private investment as well as funding by public bodies.	No change required	
10	resident	This is such a beautiful area so anything which improves access for pedestrians and cyclists so that they can enjoy the area will be great.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required	
11	resident	Looking forward to the expanded and enhanced riverside pathways. I love walking in the areas that are already developed like the Leg of Mutton path.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required	
12	resident, worker	"Expansion of the Abbey Gardens into Eastgate Nursery" - what does this mean? The Eastgate Nursery site is private property, so how can the council expand Abbey Gardens into this area? What does "sensitive development" mean? Doesn't the council already apply rigorous control over development? "Where development or redevelopment is being considered adjoining or close to	Borough Council, but there is no public access. The Abbey Precinct wall runs through the site and it provides the opportunity to provide a riverside walk with access from the footbridge within the Abbey Gardens to Eastgate Bridge. Development of river frontages does have to provide emergency access for the Environment	No change required	
13	resident, retired		Agreed. We are very aware that these areas of the town have strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will be protected. We will be working with local and national interest groups going forward.	No change required	

		1		
14	resident	More electric car charging points needed	Disagree. Not relevant for this Character Area.	No change required
15	resident		We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	No change required
		and not be changed to recreational/ sporting areas.	this will be protected.	
16	resident, retired		Full flood management plans will be put in place	No change required
			before any redevelopment progresses in	
		planned in the larch catchment upstream from town, so many supposedly sustainable drainage schemes have proved to be inadequate.	vulnerable areas.	
17	resident, worker	Spend more money managing the beautiful walks along the Lark and Linnet. More green space,	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		please.		
18	worker			No change required
19	resident			No change required
			element of the masterplan and work is already	
			under way to achieve it. It will require the	
			cooperation of various landowners along the route. The ambition is greater than suggested	
			though, with the intention to provide a route from	
			Rougham to Fornham.	
20	resident, retired		0	No change required
			have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
			this will be protected.	
21	resident, retired			No change required
22	resident	No comment.	Thank you for your response.	No change required
23	resident, worker		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
			have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
			this will be protected.	
24	worker		<b>.</b>	No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
		Following the recent loss of parking at the old County Offices and the Records Office there is an	of town to allow the public to make use of the facilities available nearby. it is not proposed to	
		urgent need to provide new parking in this part of town to serve The Cathedral, St Mary's Church	provide parking in this Character Area.	
		and The Athenaeum.	provide parking in this character Area.	
25	resident		Agreed.	No change required
26	prefer not to say			No change required
		The Open Meeting was pleased to see that previous suggestions for an "Arc of Opportunity" in this	will be provided as projects develop and through	
		character area have been dropped because of alarm that a new road through Ram Meadow might		
			areas of the town have strong habitat and	
			biodiversity value and this will be protected. We	
			will be working with local and national interest	
			groups going forward.	
		and to extend the Abbey Gardens into the former Eastgate Nursery. There is continuing concern		
27	racidant	about wildlife and water quality management.	Thank you for your positive comment	No change required
27 28	resident resident, worker	a. I like the proposals I'm not familiar with the area, but pedestrian access along the riverside is important.		No change required No change required
20 29	resident, retired			No change required
		there to explain the ruins and the significance of the history of Bury.		
30	resident		Thank you for your suggestions. All options will	No change required
		Create a cycle track around the inner perimeter of the Abbey Gardens in order for cyclists to cross		
		this part of the town safely and quickly. There needs to be a route for cyclists between The		
		Crankles and the Abbey Gardens whereas currently only pedestrians are permitted to use this		
		tarmac track. In fact currently cyclists are not permitted between The Crankles and Mustow Street.		
		This forces them to cycle to the Norman Tower and then across the busy Angel Hill, a much		
		longer and definitely less safe route and one than frustrates motorists competing with the road		
		space. Cycle provision somehow across 'the back of the Abbey GArdens' and then up Cotton		
		LAne would join up the very well used existing cycle track between Moreton HAll and the town.		

		-		
31	resident		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
		Brilliant, but please do not over landscape - no tarmac or concrete. as rural and natural as	have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
		possible.	this will be protected.	
32	resident	The Lark and Linnet Rivers have been neglected assets for far too long - they are important	We are very aware that these areas of the town	No change required
		ecologically and for the natural and historic background of the development of Bury St Edmunds	have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
		over centuries. Rare Chalk Streams like these are precious. The water meadows and river areas	this will be protected. We will be working with	
		must be protected providing the essential 'green lungs' of the town crucial to the environment.	local and national interest groups going forward.	
		Careful consideration must be given to the wildlife when proposals being made for improving	Thank you for your suggestions. There are no	
		paths for cyclists - they should be separate from the natural pedestrian paths. Bright lighting is	proposals to develop on the Leg of Mutton.	
		detrimental to the wildlife and natural beauty of these areas. The Leg of Mutton field is very		
		important natural historic landscape for the setting of the town - the area must always be protected		
		from development.		
33	resident, worker	Please consider adding a public toilet facility near the play park in the Abbey Gardens - the	Given the heritage constraints of the site, this is	No change required
		existing provision at the front of the Abbey Gardens makes it very difficult for those with small	an unrealistic expectation.	
		children.		
34	visitor from more		It is open to reflect the important historic	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		character of the Abbey Gardens. Whilst it may	
	retired		appear open the features which would cause a	
			safety issue, such as the river are well fenced	
			and the mound forms a natural enclosure	
			enabling natural supervision of children. All dogs	
		open. Most of these are better protected to keep children in and dogs out. The riverside should be		
		made more attractive		
35	resident		We are very aware that these areas of the town	No change required
00			have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
			this will be protected. We will be working with	
		keep these green spaces near the town easily accessible. Perhaps more could be done to	local and national interest groups going forward.	
		publicise this and other wildlife/conservation groups. Why is the green space on the far side of the		
		river near the Abbots Bridge not accessible?		
36	resident	Expanding and enhancing the riverside pathways past the town centre towards Moreton Hall and	Full consideration will be given to safety when	No change required
00			developing individual projects while	
			accommodating the needs of all users.	
37	resident	Needs cycle provision in and around the Abbey Gardens and between THe Crankles and the	¥	No change required
01			All options will be considered.	
		Those coming from Morton Hall from Shakers Lane or along the Leg of Mutton have to venture		
		onto the busy Angel Hill/Churchgate Street to access the town. Being able to extend accessibility		
		for cyclists into the Abbey Gardens would enable safer passage, improve access to other parts of		
		town e.g. Ram Meadow and encourage cycle use. I agree with the suggestion to expand the		
		Abbey Gardens into the Eastgate Nursery and promote the recreational use and wild life areas of		
		this chrarcter area.		
38	resident, worker	Improved signage is a very good idea.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
<u>38</u> 39	resident		Agree that the river should be more of a feature,	
29	resident		•	
			but not sure what is meant by enlarging the river.	
			The size of the river is determined by nature,	
			unless you are suggesting it be enlarged with a	
		We also need the river to be enlarged and made more of a town feature	dam. This could create serious flood issues.	
		We also need the river to be enlarged and made more of a town feature.	Thank you for your positive comment	No change required
10	Workor Vietor trom		Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
40	worker, visitor from			
40	less than 10 miles	Rury is not yory hike friendly so the idea of addressing this issue would be good		
-	less than 10 miles away, student	Bury is not very bike friendly so the idea of addressing this issue would be good.	Thenk you for your comments and support	No change required
40	less than 10 miles	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School	Thank you for your comments and suggestions.	No change required
-	less than 10 miles away, student resident, retired	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School corner of the Abbey Gardens) is lack of flow, leading to silt and problems of stagnation and lack of		No change required
-	less than 10 miles away, student resident, retired	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School corner of the Abbey Gardens) is lack of flow, leading to silt and problems of stagnation and lack of oxygen. The Council needs to work with the EA, Catchment Groups. etc. to ensure that		No change required
-	less than 10 miles away, student resident, retired	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School corner of the Abbey Gardens) is lack of flow, leading to silt and problems of stagnation and lack of oxygen. The Council needs to work with the EA, Catchment Groups. etc. to ensure that everything that is done by the various bodies involved contributes to the health of the river first.		No change required
-	less than 10 miles away, student resident, retired	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School corner of the Abbey Gardens) is lack of flow, leading to silt and problems of stagnation and lack of oxygen. The Council needs to work with the EA, Catchment Groups. etc. to ensure that everything that is done by the various bodies involved contributes to the health of the river first. Only then can the river become alive and flowing again. Alhtough not within the Council's direct		No change required
-	less than 10 miles away, student resident, retired	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School corner of the Abbey Gardens) is lack of flow, leading to silt and problems of stagnation and lack of oxygen. The Council needs to work with the EA, Catchment Groups. etc. to ensure that everything that is done by the various bodies involved contributes to the health of the river first. Only then can the river become alive and flowing again. Alhtough not within the Council's direct remit, they should lobby continuously for reductions in abstraction, and use planning powers to		No change required
-	less than 10 miles away, student resident, retired	The main problem with the R Lark and Linnet (especially at the confluence at the Nursery School corner of the Abbey Gardens) is lack of flow, leading to silt and problems of stagnation and lack of oxygen. The Council needs to work with the EA, Catchment Groups. etc. to ensure that everything that is done by the various bodies involved contributes to the health of the river first. Only then can the river become alive and flowing again. Alhtough not within the Council's direct		No change required

	-			
42	resident, worker			No change required
			the Issues and Options stage and are set out in	
			the 'What are the issues and options' section of	
		What are the issues?	the draft masterplan.	
43	resident, worker,	Would it be possible to have a circular bus going past the Abbey Gardens to link with thw back of		No change required
	retired		shuttle services in Character Area 5 to serve the	
		elderly or disabled people. Or up Churchgate to the middle?	Ram Meadow area.	
44	resident		Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of	No change required
			the masterplan working group and will be	
			included in all key decisions relating to the	
		Bury St Edmunds Town Council should be included as a project lead for each of the Character	masterplan as well as numerous individual	
		Areas in the masterplan.	projects.	
45	resident		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
			have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
		Yes, the ecology of the area should not be damaged.	this will be protected.	
46	resident, retired		Safety for all users will be a key consideration in	No change required
		I hope safety features for women walking alone will be considered.	all projects.	
47	resident, other			No change required
		Stop developing the green spaces for housing e.g. friars house. Just stop doing it. Housing on	boundaries in to existing green, riverside or	
		poor land e.g. by station.	watermeadow areas.	
48	resident		Thank you for your comment. This area is	No change required
			outside the masterplan area, however, we are	
			working with Suffolk County Council, who are	
		Outside of this area but worth a comment as it is a main access route into town centre from the	members of the masterplan working group, to	
		east - improve traffic flow from/ to A14 Sainsbury's junction. The roads between A14 and Cullum	improve safety and convenience of travelling	
		road roundabout are constantly conjested.	into and around the town centre for all users	
49	resident	The leg of mutton field should be preserved as an historical part of the abbey of Bury St. Edmunds		No change required
-		as well as integral with the Abbey gardens and the Lark & Linnet riverside. IT MUST NEVER,	boundaries in to existing green, riverside or	
		THEREFROE, BE BUILT ON.	watermeadow areas.	
50	resident, retired	It time something was done to improve some of these areas. Quite ugly after walking through the	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
	.,	beautiful Abbey Gardens.		
51	resident, retired		The Eastgate Nursery incorporates the Abbey	No change required
			Walls. It is possible to extend the park into the	
		How can you xpand abbeygardens its defined by the abbey.	nursery.	
52	resident, worker	Some good work has been done in this area over recent years - whilst the Abbey Gardens need to	Agreed.	No change required
		retain their formality the other areas need to retain their naturalness. As suggested, any		
		development needs to be 'sensitive' or it will destroy what is has set out to enhance.		
53	resident	Too limited	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
54	resident, worker		We are very aware that these areas of the town	No change required
			have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
		I would like to see more walls like this and encouragement of nature and wildlife	this will be protected.	
55	resident, worker	I would like to see better public signage and wildlife walks alongside Out Westgate and near to the	Thank you for your suggestions. All options will	No change required
			be considered.	
		encourage residents and visitors to explore and appreciate these areas on foot and by bicycle,		
		rather then just using them as means to get from A to B. The concrete walls on the riverside in		
		some areas should be either removed and replaced or mitigated with sensitive planting so they		
		look less ugly and barren.		
56	resident			No change required
			the town have strong habitat and biodiversity	
			value and this will be protected.	
57	resident, retired	Very important to the town, keep them clear and clean, encourage easy access	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
58	resident	Exciting!		No change required
59	resident, worker		This is acknowledged. Responsibility for water	No change required
			abtsraction rests with the Environment Agency.	
		we are just painting over the mound.		
60	resident, worker	All good and more in council's control	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required

0.4				
61	resident, worker			No change required
			area and is temporary pending a permanent site	
			elsewhere.	
		I'm all for these areas being enhanced for people to enjoy.		No change required
			0	No change required
64	visitor from less than		Full flood management plans will be put in place	No change required
			before any redevelopment progresses in	
	retired	building any more properties.	vulnerable areas.	
65	resident, worker	Brilliant - for once!	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
66	worker			No change required
			very aware that these areas of the town have	
			strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will	
			be protected.	
67	worker, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles		within the site already allocated for development	
			within the adopted Local Plan at Ram Meadow.	
		complete riverside walk? Why plan to use additional signage when other signs aren't cleaned or	The grass within the Great Churchyard is	
			deliberately managed to maximise biodiversity.	
		isn't maintained. All this money that's available for this work could be much better used.	deliberatery managed to maximise bloarversity.	
68	worker		Disagree. This is not appropriate for this	No change required
00			Character Area.	
69		I am concerned by the reference to development, however "sympathetic" There has long been an		No change required
69	resident			no change required
			boundaries in to existing green, riverside or	
=0			watermeadow areas.	
70	resident	Would love to see walks along Linnet, Lark and The Butts joined up and sign posted. Also how		No change required
			be considered.	
		when they have visit Bury said they would of not known they were there.		
71	resident			No change required
			extend beyond the masterplan area beyond the	
		'traveller ' site opposite Tescos at the northern edge of area 8 needs to be looked at. They have	town. The Traveller site is outside of the master	
		been there for a few years now without, as far as I know, any formal authorisation. It is positioned	plan area and is temporary pending a permanent	
		in a sensitive location close to the river and any aspiration to open up this are to greater public	site elsewhere.	
		access could be limited by the 'travellers' being there. Before too long they will be claiming an		
		'established use' on the land.		
72	resident, worker		There are aspirations to provide additional	No change required
			parking in several Character Areas. There is no	
			proposal to provide parking in the riverside	
			Character Area.	
73	resident, worker			No change required
			including the recently upgraded cyclre route to	
		Improve link between this area of town and the residential south-side of town - pedestrian and bike		
		pathways.		
74	resident, retired	paamayo.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
/4		There is a need to enhance pedestrian (and cycle) access to the Lark and Linnet river corridor for	Thank you for your positive comments.	
		leisure and recreation. The expansion of the Abbey Gardens into Eastgate Nursery might allow		
75		the tennis courts to be relocated there, thus enhancing the setting of the abbey ruins.	The advised for a second se	Ne shanna ramirad
75	resident, worker	The Abbey Gardens are jewel in the town, they need better promotion, as many visitors are		No change required
		upsure where they are the on-going work of the Abbey of St Edmund Heritage Partnership, the	these groups is greatly appreciated. No	
		River Lark Catchment Partnership and the Bury Water Meadows Group, should help this as well	particular issues were raised in respect of	
		as the new Bury St Edmunds and Beyond organisation. This area includes Greene King one of the	Greene King and the Theatre Royal other than	
		towns largest employers and the historic Theatre Royal neither of which are mentioned in the	the lack of parking for the theatre. This will need	
		Masternian This area should also include the rugby club which is used for parking by the West	to be addressed as part of the overall parking	
		Suffolk Hospital and Greene King staff. The Rugby cub also use some of the fields by the River	strategy.	
76			Thank you for your response.	No change required
		ו וטעטט ווטנט נוומנ וווע אטט נט עצב מטטעד וט מ קעמוווודע אדט	1 Hann you loi youl lesponse.	וייט טומווער ובקעוובע

77	resident		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
		Lack of specific intentions and very ambiguous.	for individual projects to come forward.	
78	visitor from less than	As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my	Thank you for your comment. This is not	No change required
	10 miles away	major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel	relevant to this Character Area.	
		into town by car.		
79	resident		There are no proposals to extend development	No change required
		1) No development of any kind in these green spaces. 2) No development of any kind in these	boundaries in to existing green, riverside or	
		green lungs. 3) No development on the Leg of Mutton. 4) No development on the land adjoining	watermeadow areas. Full flood management	
			plans will be put in place before any	
		stop treating the area as simply a resource that can be replanted and then destroyed purely for the	redevelopment progresses in vulnerable areas	
		pursuit of profit. 7) Consider the value of the area to the natural World, which will then reward us.	We are very aware that these areas of the town	
			have strong habitat and biodiversity value and	
		, ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °		
00			this will be protected.	No change required
80	resident, worker			No change required
			boundaries in to existing green, riverside or	
			watermeadow areas.We are very aware that	
			these areas of the town have strong habitat and	
		Good bout no development or redevelopment on the river meadows these are important for	biodiversity value and this will be protected.	
		wildlife as you said. There is no room for both.		
04	resident	Just needs more litter picks	Thenk you for your auggestion	No change required
81				No change required
82	prefer not to say			No change required
		• Fully supportive of proposals, keen to improve links with the Abbey Gardens, Great Churchyard	James School is a church owned school and its	
			future use or development is important to this	
			sensitive part of the town. No proposals have	
			been put forward to date.	
83	worker, visitor from		Full flood management plans will be put in place	No change required
	less than 10 miles		before any redevelopment progresses in	
	away	flood defence work is vital.	vulnerable areas.	
84	resident,worker		The Abbey wall is already fully protected due to	No change required
			its status as an Ancient Monument. St James is	
			owned by the church and St James Court is in	
			private ownership. Any proposals on either of	
			these sites will require careful scrutiny given	
			their sensitive location. No proposals have been	
			put forward to date.	
85			Thank you for your comments and suggestions,	No change required
			they will be shared with our parks team and the	
		industries such as Greene King, Robert Boby and the sugar factory to name a few. It could also	Abbey of St Edmund Heritage Partnership.	
		incorporate proper cafe providing inside seating during inclement weather. This would help to		
		promote Bury as an all year destination. I have often wondered if guides should be sited in the		
		gardens who could answer any questions the public came up with. I once overheard a visitor say		
		to her companion " I suppose it was a college of some sort". Can we ask whoever looks after the		
		ruins why the perfectly good fence was removed from around the ruins a few years ago?. We now		
		have people picnicing and leaving litter and playing ball games around some quite fragile flint and		
		stonework. We also need more signs warning of the dangers of climbing on these crumbling		
		structures. Also when are they going to do something to those that have had barrier fencing		
		around for months?		
86			Thank you for your positive comments and	No change required
50		keeping with the environment and yet promoting walking/ outdoor exercise and health living, could		
		further enhance these plans. The introduction of a trim-trail and other health indicators in keeping		
		with the green environment, could also be beneficial.		

87	resident, worker	Again, some good ideas here. Please re-paint the pedestrian and cycle markings on paths. Again, a riverside walk from Eastgate Street/Eastgate Nursery along the adjacent properties northward is long overdue and something I support.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
88	resident, worker	Close to the Riverside character area is British Sugar, which contributes a bleak appearance to the travellers on the A14 going past Bury as well as to local residents. It would be quite a first if the town centre could put together a scheme to bathe the area (principally the 4 silos) with some form of artistic expression. For example, project laser images onto the silos, which could include colours; information about St Edmundsbury, job vacancies, college opportunities, or a changing picture of different issues to highlight (eg Music Festival etc). If it works for Buckingham Palace it can work for Bury 5 years later!	suggestion.	No change required	
89	resident, retired	I particularly support bullet point no 4 of the proposed actions about increasing/maintaining public access to riverside where there is redevelopment. I understand that the footpath from Minden Close/Vinefields to the rear of the Abbey Gardens which runs down the side of The Martins is not a registered public footpath but a permissive path part owned by the County Council through their ownership of the former St James Middle School site. I hope that when the School site is redeveloped that this footpath which is much used by local residents as well as people walking from Moreton Hall will be maintained and hopefully registered as a public right of way. I also suggest Greene King be encouraged to move its production facilities to one of town's industrial estates to reduce pollution from its vehicles and disruption at top of Maynewater Lane.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
90	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Will enhance the area	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required	
91		But stop cutting down trees which are perfectly healthy	Trees are only removed where there is a serious identified issue either with the health of the tree or a threat to health and safety.	No change required	
92	resident, retired		ź	No change required	
93	,			No change required	
94	resident	Good ideas but are they necessary now.		No change required	
95	resident	The riverbaks are important GREEN corridors. They - and the meadows - must be preserved and carefully managed to protect and enhance their WILDLIFE. They should not be developed, nor opened up to greater access as that would disturb and disrupt the natural habitat.	Agreed. We are very aware that these areas of the town have strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will be protected.	No change required	
96	resident, student	Amazing 'green lung' exceleint leisure facility *Cycle path. Jogging track. Pedestrian access to Ram Meadow & to Tesco - and beyond. Lighting for safety, litter bins. Allotments protected!	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
97	other	<i>Education</i> - Guildhall Feoffment Community Primary School falls within the Churchgate Character Area and Guildhall Feoffment Nursery is within the Lark and Linnet Character area. The County Council is supportive of aspirations which improve access by sustainable means to schools and early years settings. <i>Archaeology</i> - Consider adding reference to the historic as well as the natural environment.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	SCC questionnaire response. Received by email
98	resident, retired	Good idea to enhance a much underused resource. The possibility of flooding along the river banks towards Fornham is an important issue.	Thank you for your comment. The flood catchment of the river is well documented.	No change required	
99	visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired	If they are done tastefully, respecting the old town, not trying to turn it into a modern town.	Thank you for your positive comment. Character Area 9 includes a priority to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre.	No change required	
100			Agreed. We are very aware that these areas of the town have strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will be protected.	No change required	
101	resident, worker	Create an outdoor gym's in this area.	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required	
102		No.		No change required	

103	resident, other	This could be an amazing walk - and encourage healthy lifestyle - but it is hard to get to	Thank you for your comment. We hope the	No change required
		(especially from A1101, out Northgate) and is then boxed in by fences and rather unattractive for	masterplan will go at least some way to	
		quite away.	addressing the issues you mention.	
104	resident, other	This needs a lot of work!	Agreed.	No change required
105	resident, retired		Where areas are not specifically mentioned in	No change required
		No mention of Cathedral, St. Mary's church (both important visitors' centre (and both in great need	the draft masterplan, it is because no issues	
		parking spaces and at all times, but especially for important events, such as county services,	within the remit of the masterplan have been	
		Remembrance day service's large funerals and weddings.	identified.	
106	resident, visitor from		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
	more tnan 10 miles		more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
	away, retired		will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area	
		provide toilets these should all be available out of shop hours and Sundays.	9.	

Dec	CMP - Draft MAP	025 Character Area 9. Acress the Town Control Do the controlions proposed for	Total Panrasantations: 192		
		Q25 - Character Area 9 - Across the Town Centre - Do the aspirations proposed for this area address the issues - Do you have any other comments?	Total Representations. Toz		
	responses				
	-				
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident, visitor from		We recognise the town serves a large area that		
	less than 10 miles		requires access by car. Provision for car users is		
	away	Better access for cars and increased on-street parking would be good.	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.		
2	retired		This is an operational matter which is outside	No change required	
			the scope of the masterplan. As a matter of		
		Descind me, what did we do with our owerd winning Towist Information Control. It has been toold wat	record, the building was sold, but the new owner		
		Remind me, what did we do with our award winning Tourist Information Centre? It hasn't sold yet. Let's reopen it.	nas since placed it back on the market.		
3	resident, worker			No change required	
			We recognise there is a need to both replace		
			any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character		
		Removing roads and parking is not a good idea, town access and parking is already limited	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
4	resident	More public seating, tree planting and increased on-street parking all sound good. Depends how/if		No change required	
<i>r</i>	nacidant student	they are implemented.		No shares required	
D	resident, student	There are no issues the areas just need upgrading	Issues were identified across the town centre during the Issues and Options stage	No change required	
6	resident	This is probably too detailed but I would like to see high level signage rather than all the a boards		No change required	
		we currently have, or some other universal way businesses can promote their wares more appropriately.	be considered.		
7	resident	Why are there constant references to 'safer' in the brief? Bury is not a gang-ridden and it just	The references to 'Safer' in the context of the	No change required	
		plays into the usual tabloid-ridden bigotry that we need to sleep with a shotgun/scythe under out	masterplan refer predominantly to physical		
		beds and have private security to protect us just in case a liberal person scares the blue-rinse	safety when moving around the town which is		
		brigade. Bury has changes immeasurably in the 40 years I have lived in the town and for the	addressed by seeking to balance the needs of		
		better. I remember fights and all sorts of issues in the town center when the Cattle Market blacked the town's name and there were large numbers of drinking Denis across the place. It does need	users of all forms of transport.		
		better connecting and unifying giving the smalller traders chance to succeed without the inflated			
		ground rents in St Johns and Abbeygate St.			
8	resident, worker		Consultees have been broadly representative of	No change required	
		Please, please, please can you make sure you consider the needs of everyone and not just the	the population of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding area.		
9	resident, retired	middle class, middle aged majority of the town		No change required	
	.,		there is a need to both replace any displaced		
			parking and provide additional parking. This is		
		Again everything revolves around finding a good solution to parking, it is crying out to be pedestrianised.	addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and		
10	resident			No change required	
			Police on issues of community safety and anti-		
		If there is going to be more seating the "no drinking in public" zone needs to be properly enforced. Also the crackheads/stoners/drunks who continually sleep on benches should be dealt with. The	social behaviour and is bringing in new Public		
		town has had more visitors this year than ever, and what a sight to greet themsomeone off their	Space Protection Orders which will provide new powers to tackle anti-social behaviour.		
		face asleep on the bench outside Poundland. Not acceptable.	•		
11	resident, worker		Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of key		
		Prioritise pedestrians more - keep cars to outer reads and car parks	areas of the town centre is included in Character		
		Prioritise pedestrians more - keep cars to outer roads and car parks	Area 1.	l	

12	resident, worker			No change required
			Council and public transport service providers to	
			improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	
			and around the town centre. Pedestrianisation or	
			part pedestrianisation of key areas of the town	
			centre is included in Character Area 1.	
		Better public transport, get cars out of the town centre		
13		Please remember the long term health of the town is reliant upon both commercial and economic	We recognise the town serves a large area that	
			requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
			mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		doing so will alienate visitors of all classes along with many local people who rely upon cars and		
		buses for access to and enjoyment of the town.		
14		Could be too much emphasis on improving facilities for cyclists and pedestrians, both of whom by	We recognise the town serves a large area that	
			requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
			mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		and fewer people wishing to do their shopping in town and more and more resorting to the internet		
		- with the net result of retail outlets closing down. The quality of town centre retail has already		
		diminished over recent years and I see nothing in these plans likely to enhance the appeal of Bury		
		St Edmunds to quality retailers.		
15	resident, worker			No change required
			There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to	
			support the continued success of the market	
		To keep remain the market day saturday and wednesday to support who earn a living decently		
16	resident, worker			No change required
		use unused floors above shops as affordable housing	be considered.	
17	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away,		response to previous comments.	
		Please see comments for Q7		
18	resident		We recognise the town serves a large area that	
		Car drivers are not 2nd class citizens. Provide free/cheap short stay parking in town everywhere	requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
			mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		unless I need a number of things		
19	resident			No change required
			Area 'Across the Town Centre' because it is	
			recognised that these aspirations relate to more	
			than one, and in some cases all, Character	
			Areas.	
20	resident, worker		All risks should be assessed and action taken as	No change required
			appropriate. We will continue to work with	
		very good idea. Remember not all disabilities are visible. In addition to making the ground surface	<b>S</b>	
			of all residents and visitors are met.	
		assist visually impaired people. Also remember non-building work needs to be done to		
		accommodate those with invisible disabilities ie. making maps and wayfinding signage dyslexia		
		friendly, encouraging shops to be autism friendly, continuing to run a scheme where shops will		
		assist those with learning difficulties who find themselves in difficulties when shopping		
		unaccompanied.		
21	resident		s s	No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
l			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
1	1	What about new public toilets	Area.	1

		r		
22	resident		ů l	No change required
			Area to repair and maintain pavements and	
		Before my dad died we took him into town in his wheelchair. After he died I found out that he	walkways using sympathetic and appropriate	
		hated going out in his wheelchair because it was such an unpleasant experience. There were not	materials and also to optimise access into and	
		enough drop kerbs and the pavements were uneven. Each time a wheelchair hits a bump, the	around the area for people with disabilities and	
		person in it is propelled forward. Yes there is a safety belt but that is not the point. Blocked	mobility difficulties. This could be achieved by	
		paving looks great but is hopeless for people in wheelchairs. The best surface is smooth tarmac,	addressing issues such as dropped kerbs,	
		maybe in a nice colour than black. This is a real opportunity to make Bury St Edmunds a	reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and access to	
		wheelchair friendly town so please, please take this on board. A compromise could be to have a	shops and businesses.	
		mixture of tarmac and nicer paving which integrates to create a pleasant environment.		
23	resident	as comments on previous pages - better toilets for market - disabled parking near town centre -	Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		don't need more on street parking, better to provide good car parks which are free overnight and	response to previous comments.	
		reasonable during the day		
24	resident		Thank you for your positive comments. Every	No change required
		I'm pleased to hear that improvements will be made for pedestrians and the additional seating and		
		litter bins in the town centre sounds good too. Looking forward to the repairs of the pavements	needs of different users of the town centre. The	
		and walkways. Also, the aspiration to optimise access into and around the area for people with	masterplan seeks to balance these needs, and	
		disabilities is excellent too, but it might be difficult to please everyone. For example, wheelchair	will be involving representatives from key	
		users find dropped kerbs helpful, while guide dog users need the kerbs to help the guide dogs	interest groups as we go forward.	
		know when to stop for traffic. Removing street furniture would be high on my list of priorities too.		
		Again, I'm not sure how you are going to keep the car driver (more on street parking but removing		
		traffic and parking in Buttermarket and Cornhill) and the pedestrian (less traffic in town centre)		
		happy. It seems like a conflict of interests here!		
25	resident, worker	The aspirations outlined in section 9 all make positive contribution to the future wellbeing of the	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
25	resident, worker	town	Thank you for your positive comment.	ino change required
26	resident		Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan	No change required
20		Where joint use or fully pedestrianised areas are created, the development needs to be suitable	is designed to be a flexible framework rather	ino change required
		for both winter and summer use. Winter can be dreary so though should be given to making the		
		areas interesting and in keeping with the town. Parking and vehicular access seem to remain an	than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different	
			levels of growth and changing technologies.	
		almost insoluble problem, unless plenty of parking is provided somewhere nearby combined with		
		cheap rapid transit access. The development of motor vehicles, or other means of transport, over the next 20-30 years needs to be considered alongside any town development.		
27	resident, retired	This is a vital "lung" for the town and the only "area where one is relatively free of fume and	Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
21		vehicle pollution.	response to previous comment.	no change required
28	visitor from less than			No change required
20	10 miles away		most would understand its meaning. It is	
	To miles away		considered entirely appropriate for a land use	
		The word "aspirations" is very much consultant-speak: ordinary people would probably not use	planning document and avoids any ambiguity	
		this word.	which may arise from a more colloquial word.	
29	resident		If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	No change required
20			be accommodated during restricted hours, as	
			currently in place elsewhere. Any	
			pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
		If you pedestrianise it, how will you replenish the stores	pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
30	resident	More electric car charging points needed	Agreed.	No change required
30 31	resident		Pedestrianisation or part pedestrianisation of key	
51			areas is included in Character Area 1. The	
			masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all	
		Pedestrianisation must overall all other uses with pedestrian priority at the top, and motorised		
		subservient.	town centre users.	
32	resident, retired		There is an aspiration to provide environmental	No change required
			enhancement of Skinner Street including to	
			screen refuse areas and renovate paving. There	
			are no intentions to turn the area into a shared	
		What is planned for skinner street? Please avoid shared space our town is far too crowded and	space and the historic value of this area will be	
		the street not suitable.	protected.	
33	resident, worker	Fewer shops. More green spaces. Promote local independent traders. Nurture the Wednesday/		No change required
		Saturday open market.		
P	-		•	

34	resident, retired			No change required
			decision taken by the Post Office over which the	
			Borough Council had no control.	
35	worker	losing the post office was a tragedy.	Thaank you for your comments. The Borough	No change required
33	WUINCI		Council works closely with the Police on issues	na change required
			of community safety and anti-social behaviour	
		More public seating could mean more areas for people to congregate and not necessarily the type		
			Orders which will provide new powers to tackle	
		existing seating was removed as on street drinkers and beggars used to congregate and made the		
		public feel at best uncomfortable and at worse unsafe. Signage needs to have a greater emphasis		
			include content as well as appearance The	
		information. This approach needs to change and if done appropriately should lead to a huge	masterplan is designed to be a flexible	
			framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to	
			respond to different levels of growth and	
			changing technologies. All options will be	
			considered when looking at parking provision.	
		great idea but if planted in the ground , will,lead in due course, to damage to pathways and roads.		
		These should only be planted in planters to prevent this problem. Maybe the way to look at on		
		street parking is to provide a very short term facility i.e first 30 mins free next 30 mins at a reasonable rate and same with 1.5 hours. Anything else at an extortionate rate to encourage		
		anyone staying more than 90 minutes to park in a car park. Additional toilets (in the		
		Cornhill/Buttermarket area) would be welcomed but public toilets in Ram Meadow, Abbey		
		Gardens, the apex and the arc seems a pretty good provision to me. Personally I am not		
		supportive currently of a park & ride scheme as to make this work effectively would require		
		dedicated bus lanes which I just don't find is feasible. Addiitionally to make a scheme successful		
		requires the ability to change personal behaviours and how would we get people to use this		
		scheme? The usual (and lazy) way this is done is by hiking up the town centre car park prices and		
		this would be wholly counterproductive and hugely detrimental to town centre businesses.		
36	resident, retired			No change required
			facilities across the town centre is recognised in	
07	naatalant		this Character Area.	No shanna raminad
37	resident, worker			No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
			Area. We recognise there is a need to both	
			replace any displaced parking and provide	
			additional parking. This is addressed under	
			Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough	
			will work with Suffolk County Council and public	
			transport service providers to improve the	
			convenience of getting in to, out of and around	
		reasons (customer age and distance from town centre) cars and public transport are the main way	the town centre.	
	na stala na t	people visit Bury, particularly the shops. These customers should be helped not discouraged.	Therefore for an in the second s	No share a mired
38	resident		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
20	rocidont	junctions are all worthy considerations. THIS WILL REQUIRE INVOLVEMENT OF COUNTY HIGHWAYS WHO HAVE PROVED	Thank you for your comments	No change required
39	resident	THEMSELVES INCOMPETENT. 1. THEY EMPLOY KIER WHO ARE INCAPABLE OF DOING	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		GOOD WORK WITH EMPLOYEES ACTIVELY WORKING FOR LESS THAN HALF TIME. 2.		
		THEY HAVE OFFICERS WHO THINK THAT RAISED HUMPS AND TRAFFIC LIGHTS ARE		
		ACCEPTABLE SOLUTIONS TO A PROBLEM. 3 THEY ARE FAILING TO MAINTAIN		
		PAVEMENTS, ROADWAYS, AND POTHOLES SO WHY SHOULD WE BELIEVE THEY WILL BE		
		ANY BETTER IN THE FUTURE?		
40	resident, retired		Agreed.	No change required

41	resident, retired			No change required
		More or less. the Masterplan team has a gargantuan task. But thankfully thinking and planning	and its delivery are indeed a sizeable challenge	
		have started early. The challenge - how to allow the necessary expansion of housing, commerce,	but essential if the town centre is to remain	
		retail and recreational activities to say nothing of traffic (delivery vehicles as well as private cars)	relevant and meet the needs of its users.	
		in the town and it's immediate surroundings while at the same time preserving it's historical nature		
		and strong sense of community. At the moment, Bury is a wonderful place to live. We have every		
		convenience: historical features of note, good shops, cinemas, theatre, a good hospital, a		
		wonderful park, and a strong sense of community. People know each other, meet in the street .		
		The shop staff are friendly and know their customers as do the coffee shops, restaurants and the		
		cinema. With the considerable increase in residents, will this last? Hmmm		
42	resident	As my wife has disabilities and mobility problems I agree for once	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
43	resident, worker		Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan	
-		Introduce innovative transport solutions such as electric car charging bays (to reduce pollution),	is designed to be a flexible framework rather	
			than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different	
		· · · · · · ·	levels of growth and changing technologies. All	
			options will be considered.	
		attractive and realistic for Bury. Provide a web-based information service that can be accessed		
		from any part of town, and within a 5 mile radius of town. It would inform people coming into town		
		either of where to park, or where to go, or what to see - and would also be able to recommend		
		things they would not have considered otherwise. We live in a smart-phone age, but Bury is still		
		using techniques used for Magna Carta. Get more affordable, mixed use, properties in town.		
		Retail rents need to attract independent retailers in ways that keep them in town, and residential		
		properties should be affordable so we have a mixture of people during the day and night. We need		
		more retail to cope with the growth of the town - this needs to stay in the town centre and should		
4.4		be both big names and small independents.	This is a low alargent of eviating planning policy	
44	resident		This is a key element of existing planning policy	No change required
			within the town centre. However, it is not always	
			easy to retrofit within a historic town grid	
			containing many historic buildings which do not	
			lend themselves to mixed use, providing the	
			necessary independent access and means of	
		distance, which will probably be best provided by multi-storey design.	escape.	
45	resident		The masterplan is designed to be a flexible	No change required
			framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to	
			respond to different levels of growth and	
			changing technologies. Further details will be	
			available as individual projects progress.	
46	resident, retired		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
		Yes more loos would help. You betrayed our trust by failing to ensure that the developers provided		
			Area.	
47	visitor from less than		Thank you for your comments. We recognise	No change required
	10 miles away,	My concern is the overwhelming aspiration for pedestrians and cyclists without consideration for	the town serves a large area that requires	
	retired		access by car. Provision for car users is	
		disincentive for using the town centre. It is acknowledged that the provision of car parking is not	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		without a cost. However the amounts charged would appear to bear no relation to the cost of		
		providing parking areas and the motorist appears a very soft target. On the other hand on street		
		car parking can be provided for very little cost.		
48	visitor from less than		Funding will come from a range of sources	No change required
	10 miles away	Yes I agree certain parts of Bury need tidying up BUT IS it wise to spend money on this with	including private investment as well as funding	
	-		by public bodies	
49	resident			No change required
70			a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision, but no	
			option will progress unless it is valuable,	
			affordable and deliverable.	
L	1			II

50	resident, worker			No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
		Where are the plans for toilets, anywhere in this plan? Where do visitors go to the loo?	Area.	
51	prefer not to say		We are very aware that many areas of the town	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
			have strong historic value and this will be	
			protected. As set out previously, in order for the	
			masterplan to meet the requirements to be a	
			Supplementary Planning Document and	
			therefore become part of the Borough Council's	
			official planning guidance, it is unable to specify	
		The Open Meeting supported the suggestions for public realm improvements and the provision of	sites for development or redevelopment. Rather	
		pedestrian and cycle links across the town centre. But it was concerned that the potential growth	it provides a framework for individual projects to	
		of shopping, tourism and associated traffic volumes and car parking needs should be balanced	come forward.Further detail will be contained in	
		with the need to conserve the special heritage value of many parts of the town centre. Again, more specific and clearly defined proposals are needed.	the Delivery Plan.	
50	raaidant		Thenk you for your comments	No change required
52	resident	Look forward to much better cycle paths around town - visit Belgium to see how it's done! Also, please please a park and ride!	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
53	visitor from less	רויים איז	We recognise the town serves a large area that	No change required
55			requires access by car. Pedestrianisation or part	
	than 10 miles away			
			pedestrianisation of key areas of the town centre	
		TOO MANY CARS	is included in Character Area 1.	
<b>F</b> 4	nacidant natival	TOO MANY CARS	The reduction of streat laboration is included in on	No share required
54	resident, retired			No change required
			aspiration for this Character Area. All options will	
			be considered, whilst taking the needs of	
			businesses and all users of the town centre into	
		Ban 'A' Boards!	account.	
55	resident	a. The proposals are a good thing for the town, further detail required in some areas. b. Well		No change required
			partly within Zone 1, but mostly within Zone 2.	
		should be sympathetic to the historic heart of Bury St Edmunds. d. Improving the attractiveness of	These zones are indicative and it is	
		streets is most welcome/well designed streets and spaces – this is key to maintaining the	acknowledged that Zone 2 has some of the	
		character of the town. e. Concerned that parking will be reduced, it is already an issue in town	same qualities as Zone 4.	
		(especially for residents). f. Traffic control/management/reduction is most welcome. Safety is an		
		issue. g. The preference for 'Mixed Generation' housing is most welcome, houses in addition to		
		flats (especially at Cornhill Walk).		
56	visitor from less than		Agreed.	No change required
	10 miles away, other	We have a lovely, historic town. Please do not turn areas into bland modern fronted retail and		
		residential buildings. We must include characters in to the architectural plans.		
57	resident, worker	I would like to limit the amount of cars in the town centre area. There is good parking at the Arc,	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		Ram Meadows and Parkway.		
58	resident			No change required
		Turn the redundant shopping centre into a multi storey car park, perhaps for residents only,	developer and is in the process of being	
		leaving the streets around there available for parking (paid for)	transformed.	
59	resident		•	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment or detail for individual projects.	
		There is reference to enhanced movement for cyclists but no reference to a plan for a	Rather it provides a framework for individual	
		comprehensive joined up cycle network across the town. This is an essential requirement for the	projects to come forward.Further detail will be	
1		future of the town, particularly in view of the additional extensive housing development on the	contained in the Delivery Plan and as projects	
		periphery of the town and potential additional movement of residents into and out of town.	progress.	
60	resident	Yes, please preserve the character that is Bury St Edmunds		No change required

61	resident	Electric car charge points needed - maybe in street lights? the town is a bit dead a nights, need more trendy bars	Agree electric car charging provision required.	No change required
62	resident, retired		In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment or detail for individual projects. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be	No change required
		Fien aspirations, but lack of detail makes this difficult to comment upon. There are a number of existing policies, design strategies and other initiatives which address many of the issues raised. These should be reviewed rather than reinventing the wheel. Efforts should be put into looking at which elements of these previous initiatives have not been implemented and why.	contained in the Delivery Plan and as projects progress. The policies within which the masterplan will be delivered are set out in the 'Delivering the MAP' section.	
63	resident	Consistent pavement across the town to unify the different areas. Provide a coloured brick path within the pavement for town visitors to follow, connecting the places of interest and encouraging pedestrian traffic (Boston, MA has an excellent example of this in The Freedom Trail).	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will be considered.	No change required
64	resident	Vision 31 developments, the population and increased traffic, with need for shopping access, and increasing tourism, are making key factors to address otherwise the historic town of Bury St Edmunds will grind to a halt. It is a sad fact, that despite the growth of the town, its amenities are diminished. Post Office, Magistrates Court, Art Gallery and now possibly the Bus Station.	Thank you for your comment. The feasibility of Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle schemes are included in the aspirations for this Character Area.	No change required
65	resident	Cyclists are probably the lesser of two evils so we will have to put up with them.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
66	resident, worker	New opportunities for on street parking as flagged in the plan is very positive.	Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
67	resident	We are in danger of changing the whole character of BSE from a traditional market town, into a mini-metropolis, I imagine the next step will be to challenge Ipswich for County Town status!!!	This Character Area includes a priority to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre.	No change required
68	visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	In many places there are drop kerbs but on street parking often obscures them making them ineffective	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
69	resident	The entrance to St John's Street - one of our most interesting shopping areas and leading to the station - is often cluttered with signboards. Would it be better to have one large signboard at the beginning advertising all the services/shops in the street? Also, please can we have a sign at the St John's Street end of Sergeants Walk indicating the Library? The St John's Street association do a great job with their street fair and I hope this continues to be supported by Council.		No change required
70	resident		Thank you for your support. This is one of the key aspirations for the masterplan.	No change required
71	resident, retired		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create more toilet facilities within the town centre, we will be examining initiatives including working with businesses and developers to seek opportunities to improve provision of publicly accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	No change required
70	regident retired	could be some financial help for shops in town to make their toilets available to shoppers.	Area.	No change required
12	resident, retired	Keep it simple!	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required

73	resident, retired		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby. This Character Area	
			includes an aspiration to to optimise access into	
			and around the area for people with disabilities	
			and mobility difficulties. This could be achieved	
			by addressing issues such as dropped kerbs,	
			reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and access to	
			shops and businesses.	
		very concerned about any consideration for the disabled		
74	resident		Thank you for your comments. The town centre	No change required
			will be considered as a whole when evaluating	
			and progressing projects. The structure, map	
		All very good but the proposals need to link up with the others in terms of accessibility to and from		
			enable people to identify the areas of the town	
		e i i	centre the aspirations relate to.	
		becomes more difficult.	centre the aspirations relate to.	
75			Thenk you for your every day. This is set it.	
75				No change required
			the remit of the masterplan. There is a process	
			for requesting changes to resident parking	
			through Suffolk County Council.	
		to visit, make it easy for them.		
76	worker, visitor from		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
	less than 10 miles		more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
	away		will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
			Area.	
77	resident, retired,		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
<i>''</i>			response to previous comments.	no change required
70		,		No change required
78	worker, visijtor from			No change required
	less than 10 miles		the remit of the masterplan. There is a process	
	away		for requesting changes to resident parking	
			through Suffolk County Council.	
79	visitor from more		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
	than 10 miles away		more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
90	regident retired		Area.	No change required
80	resident, retired			No change required
			be considered.	
		can be more places provided for on-street parking and (ii) how on-street parking can "enhance"		
		the environment or improve facilities for pedestrians and cyclists. There is already too much on-		
		street parking spoiling the look of the town. It would be better to improve public transport, look at		
		permanent park-and-ride options, and discourage car journeys - including more car-sharing		
		schemes. I note that our French twin town, Compiegne, has free bus transport, paid for by the		
		businesses in the town. So people of all ages use it.		
81	resident, worker		Thank you for your positive comment.	No change required
82	resident		Pedestrian priority and provision is addressed in	
			all Character Areas including pedestrianisation	
			of key areas included in Character Area 1.	
	1		or noy areas moluled in Onarduler Area 1.	1
		Provision for pedestrians is not adequately addressed.		

83	resident		Whilst the Borough does not intend to create	No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
		There is no mention of toilets in this area and they are badly needed.		
0.4	, vicitor from loso them		Area.	No shanna yanyiyad
84	visitor from less than		, , ,	No change required
	10 miles away		there is a need to both replace any displaced	
			parking and provide additional parking. This is	
			addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and	
		the benefits of the current parking in the buttermarket area is the ability to 'pop into town' when you	•	
			accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
		instances I don't want to have to park away from the centre and pay £3 for 2 hours parking.	nearby.	
85	resident		Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of	No change required
			the masterplan working group and will be	
			included in all key decisions relating to the	
			masterplan as well as numerous individual	
			projects.	
		Areas in the masterplan.		
86	resident, worker		There is an aspiration in this Character Area to	No change required
			to repair and maintain pavements and walkways	
			using sympathetic and appropriate materials and	
			also to optimise access into and around the area	
			for people with disabilities and mobility	
			difficulties. The Borough have taken the first	
			steps to decriminalise parking enforcement,	
			which currently sits with the Police. This is a two	
			year process as it has to go through an Act of	
		pavements are a hazard for anyone disabled and the roadways especially bad for wheelchair and	Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
			2019.	
		impossible to pass		
87	visitor from less than		The Borough Council works closely with the	No change required
-	10 miles away		Police on issues of community safety and anti-	
			social behaviour and is bringing in new Public	
			Space Protection Orders which will provide new	
			powers to tackle anti-social behaviour.	
		and not by lorge groups of youths or homologo	powers to tackie anti-social benaviour.	
00		and not by large groups of youths or homeless.	Thank you for your commente Derevel Course!	No change required
88	resident, retired	If you want more visitors/local residents to spend manay in Dury, more ser parties is ware the	Thank you for your comments. Borough Council	no change required
			colleagues are working with Network Rail and	
			train service providers to seek to address the	
			issue of parking at the rail station.	
		station as such poor public transport.		
89	resident	Additional toilets are needed nearer the town centre, and in the bus station area - think about the		No change required
			additional planting, trees and displays has strong	
		surfaces is preferable to using those that look old and good, but aren't actually hard wearing! What	support in the Issues and Options stage.	
		is the obsession with tree planting - metal or otherwise?	· · · · ·	
90	visitor from less than		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
	10 miles away,			
	prefer not to say			
		The pavements and walkways are currently bad in many places. Hope the councils well instead.		
91	visitor from less than	The parentonic and maining of are carrently bud in many places. Hope the councils well instead.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
31		This is a priority. Pavements etc. bad to the point of dangerous. Urgent in my opinion.	Thank you for your comments.	
02		ירווס וס מ פווטוונץ. ד מיפווופוונס פנט. שמע נט נוופ פטווג טו טמווקפוטעט. טוקפווג וודוווץ טפוווטוו.	The day to day operation of facilities such as the	No change required
92	resident		The day to day operation of facilities such as the	no change required
			bus station is outside the scope of the	
			masterplan.	
93			Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		other.		

<b></b>				
94	resident, other		In order for the masterplan to meet the	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment or detail for individual projects.	
			Rather it provides a framework for individual	
			projects to come forward.Further detail will be	
		Overall find the plan confusing. Too vague. Framed in terms no-one can object to. Less	contained in the Delivery Plan and as projects	
		'aspirations' more specific suggestions.	progress.	
95	resident, other		The town centre will be considered as a whole	No change required
	,		when evaluating and progressing projects. The	
			structure, map and Character Areas are for	
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
			areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		Area 3's development will have an effect on the other areas.	to	
96	resident		The Borough will work with Suffolk County	No change required
50		The flat flat and a second state in the former second for the first of the second state of the flat of	Council and public transport service providers to	<b>S</b> 1
		That's fine once people are in town, but we need to make it easier for people with limited mobility	improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	
		to be able to get into town and as far as the town centre. Bus services to some areas of town are	and around the town centre.	
		very poor, and we need stops near the town centre and not further away.		
97	resident	We bought our 1st home in BSE town centre in 1973 - moving from. N. London. We fell in love	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		with the town then, had our family and watched them and the town grow together. We still		
		absolutely love our town and our family have remained as residents raising their families. So well		
		done Bury St. Edmunds!		
98	resident		This is a matter for enforcement by the police.	No change required
		Clamp down on cyclists, who use pavements, and travel wrong way in one-way streets.		
99	resident		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required
		With the volume of houses planned between now and 2031 the demand for parking in the town	users of all forms of transport including	
		will continue to rise. Recognising this and making provision to accommodate it has to be a key	motorists. We recognise the town serves a large	
		part of the MAP. Will there be sufficient demand to support a Park and Ride scheme, or should	area that requires access by car. Provision for	
		public transport be improved to better serve the large new developments on the outskirts of the	car users is mentioned in Character Areas	
		town? On the other hand, should parking charges be reduced to encourage more shoppers into	1,3,5,6 and 9. The masterplan is designed to be	
		the town?difficult questions, but for a town which is the focus of a large rural hinterland with	a flexible framework rather than a rigid blueprint,	
		inadequate public transport serving (or not) many villages finding the balance will be difficult but	able to respond to different levels of growth and	
		addressing it will be the key to success. Don't forget, for many people who rely on Bury the car is	changing technologies.	
		their only means of getting here		
100	resident	Yes, more easily accessible public toilets in the town centre are desperately needed. The ones in	Thank you for your commonts	No change required
100		the Arc are very poorly sign-posted and are tucked away, some distance from the Cornhill /		
		Buttermarket area. Yes, more public seating would be a great asset.		
101	visitor from more	Dullemarkel alea. Tes, mole public sealing would be a great asset.	In order for the mestarolan to meet the	No change required
101	visitor from more		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
	retired		Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment or detail for individual projects.	
			Rather it provides a framework for individual	
			projects to come forward.Further detail will be	
			contained in the Delivery Plan and as projects	
		Not clear how links will be provided	progress.	
102	resident	MORE PUBLIC TOILETS - PEOPLE WITHOUT BLUE BADGE NEED TO BE ABLE TO GET	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		INTO TOWN CENTRE, IF THE HEALTH OR MOBILITY IS POOR - ZEBRA CROSSING FROM		
		ARC TO TOWN CENTRE ACROSS ST ANDREW STREET.		

103	worker			No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
		Provision of more public lavatories has been discussed in the past and agreed that current	accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
		provision is satisfactory. This aspiration will just increase expectation but not be delivered.	Area.	
104	resident, retired			No change required
104	resident, retired			No change required
		the second se	an impression rather than detail. We are very	
		How are you preserving the character and appearance, of town centre. When the artist picture,	aware that many areas of the town have strong	
		completely changes that. the town is a pleasurable xperience for all just because of ots age and	historic value and this will be protected.	
		character		
105	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		A huge amount of work has gone into this plan - as I indicated in the earlier consultation. retaining		
		what is unique about BSE is crucial. If we can enhance that, great, if not best leave well alone.		
106	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	No change required
			be considered when carrying out a viability study	
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking	
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an	
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and	
			other parking nearby	
		Where are disabled drivers able to park?		
107	resident, retired			No change required
			more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
		The lack of public toilets in Bury is a disgrace. There should be adequate facilities (at least the	accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
		size of those in the Abbey Gardens) in the Cornhill / Buttermarket area.	Area.	
108	resident		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
100	resident		have strong historic value and this will be	no change required
		The historic grid is the most valuable	protected.	
109	resident, worker		The council has no control or influence over the	No change required
109	resident, worker			
			rent of private property. The masterplan cannot	
			influence who occupies shops, this is a matter of	
			market demand. However, a healthy town centre	
			should be capable of attracting a wide range of	
		affordable letting a for small independent business. No more chains. It's more individual with one	shops and other facilities.	
		off shops. Maybe a craft market area too		
110	resident, worker	It is hard to tell at this stage, they are good aspirations but it will depend on the detail. There will	Thank you for your comments. More detail will	No change required
		be a lot of public resistance to change as we are a very conservative town. Parking and	be provided in the Delivery Plan and as	
		movement are key issues, where we need imaginative and generous provision.	individual projects come forward.	
111	resident	It is good to see that the future of Bury is being planned, it needs to be a combination of the old		No change required
		and new to keep it an interesting place to visit and live		
112			Agreed. Thank you for your comment.	No chongo required
1''4	resident	Both local and visitors often say they think we have a lovely town. Let's be careful to keen it that		
1	resident	Both local and visitors often say they think we have a lovely town. Let's be careful to keep it that		No change required
	resident	way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	rigiood. Hidrik you for your common.	no change required
140				
113	resident resident, retired	way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police.	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it easily accessible.	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to	
113		way. A lot of its beauty is that it is accessible. This attracts locals and visitors. We must keep it	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.	

115	resident, worker		The masterplan is designed to be a flexible	No change required
		I feel this vision is selling the town short and missing taking any real, tough, steps to make a vision	framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to	
		for the future happen. I can see why you have taken this approach but for me it is too grounded in	respond to different levels of growth and	
		the current and past with too little view for what could be and needs to be in the future.	changing technologies.	
		Conventional, adequate, quaint, lets hope these will all be useful in 10-15 years time.		
116	resident, worker		There is an aspiration to review the feasibility of	No change required
			a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision, but no	
			option will progress unless it is valuable,	
			affordable and deliverable. The Borough does	
			not intend to create more toilet facilities within	
			the town centre, we will be examining initiatives	
			including working with businesses and	
			developers to seek opportunities to improve	
			provision of publicly accessible toilets.	
		Park and ride unaffordable More public toilets unaffordable		
117			Disagree.	No change required
		visitors to the area will be put off		
118	resident, worker		If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	No change required
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
			that are equally convenient prior to closure.	
		need to consider the need for extra parking availability very close to shopping areas. I wonder also		
		how far bus stops will be from shops bearing in mind that the vast majority of bus users tend to be	accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
		older or people carrying heavy shopping so would not want to walk too far to catch a bus	nearby.	
119	resident		Thank you for your suggestions. All options will	No change required
			be considered.	
		and toddler bays as is it very difficult getting a baby/toddler in and out of a car parked in the		
		normal tight spaces. Has any consideration been given to providing a town centre click and collect		
		service if the pedestrianisation go ahead as I think this could be the best solution		
120	resident		Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		In the main the aspirations in this section are among the best in the plan. I would not however like		
		to see more residential property in the town centre unless it was for people who for various		
		reasons do not use cars. The whole plan seems to point to the town needing another bypass to		
		take traffic around the town to get to their required access point and also a park and ride facility -		
		possibly from two parking locations. I am also concerned about walkways being open to		
		pedestrians and cyclists. Much thought should be given to keeping cyclists apart from pedestrians		
		in some way because many cyclists cycle at full tilt and many do not have audible warning		
		systems such as a simple bell. I'm amazed that more people are not being injured by thoughtless		
		cyclists. The walkways around Moreton Hall are at least a reasonable attempt to do this.		
121	resident	I think too much emphasis is being put on the provision of cycle ways we really need more parking	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
L		facilities but at much reduced rates than currently required.		
122	resident, worker			No change required
		LI DIS IS A DISTOLIC TOWD, AND NOT A DEW TOWD LIKE MUITON KEYDES ETC. SOME UDDIADE MAYDE DESILADIE	have strong historic value and this will be	
		but not on this stupid scale!	protected.	
123	visitor from less than		The market will remain in its current location.	No change required
			There is an aspiration in Character Area 1 to	
			support the continued success of the market	
124	resident, worker	Good, just don't take the car parking away	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
125	worker		The use of renewable energy is to be	No change required
			encouraged.	
		more emphasis on increasing the level of renewable energy consumed as a proportion of total		
		energy use. I appreciate with many listed buildings and perhaps limited space this may be a		
		challenge but this should be something we are not afraid of pursuing. Perhaps we can install		
		local energy networks in each of the identified areas. Businesses should be encouraged to share		
		energy generated from RE sources. Not only can they benefit from lower energy bills but there are		
		obvious carbon savings to be made too. This all helps to improve corporate image.		
126	resident		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
· _ v		Edmunds.		······································
L		Lunanas.		

	-			
127	worker, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles		sensibly and obey the highway code. The	
	away		planting of trees need not cause pavements to	
			crack if adequate root deflectors are fitted when	
		suggest it's a cycle free lane too as they pay no need to the highway code! Yes, more seating is	they are first planted. Any survey will only reflect	
			the views of those who take part, but analysis of	
			those who did respond did reflect favourably	
			upon the age structure of the Borough, except	
			for the lower age groups.	
128	worker			No change required
-			relevant projects.	
		Providing taxis and private nire venicles can access		
129	visitor from more		•	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		strong habitat and biodiversity value and this will	
	retired		be protected. We will be working with local and	
			national interest groups going forward.	
		Where is wildlife addressed? Native wildflower planting for example?		
130	resident			No change required
			clock can be seen by taking a step to one side.	
			Trees do need to be appropriate to their setting.	
		be trimmed back or replaced with Silver Birch.		
131		Overall the aspirations are positive if they can be achieved. The appearance and accessibility of	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		the town centre would be immediately enhanced by a clamp down on A boards which have		
		mushroomed in recent years, as well as the use of pavements to display goods. This is		
		particularly apparent at the top of St Johns Street at the junction with Brentgovel Street. Some		
		more greenery in the town centre with the planting of trees along St Andrews Street would be		
		welcomed. The planting and sculptures on roundabouts on the approach to the town centre are a		
		great success and should be maintained.		
132		Especially more public seating.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
133	visitor from less than		Disagree.	No change required
	10 miles away,			
		Easy access to the town centre will be impaired		
134	worker		Maintenance is a key issue which needs to be	No change required
			addressed and is included in the masterplan.	
		starts so why would it be different after.		
135	resident, retired		Thank you for your comments and suggestion.	No change required
		Improved pedestrian and cycle access into and within the town centre are very much to be	Improving signage to key locations is an	
		welcomed. I welcome the emphasis on the historic environment and heritage assets of the town,	aspiration in this Character Area.	
		though i would like to see greater emphasis placed on the improved access, management and		
		interpretation of the abbey and its gardens. This would not only boost tourism but would also		
		strengthen the case for funding from external organisations like the HLF.		
136	resident, worker	The town should look it's best to attract more visitors, planting schemes should be part of every	Agreed. Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		development and grey areas around the town should be enhanced Litter picking is vital and this		
		should extend into the car parks and along the rivers. The potential growth of shopping, tourism		
		and associated traffic volumes and car parking needs should be balanced with the need to		
		conserve the special heritage value of many parts of the town centre. More shopping is going		
		online and it is restaurants, coffee shops and leisure facilities that are increasing around the town.		
		If cycling is the way forward then we will see an increase in electric bikes and so charging points		
		should be incorporated in the town. There will also be an increase in electric cars which will also		
		need to be charged		
137	resident		Thank you for your response Please see	No change required
137	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required

138       resident, worker       Thank you for your comprehensive response. Works of the broad issuesations of your survey, I don't find the format very helpful. The Yes/Don't know/ho boxes can't really represent ones thoughts about the whole, nor individual areas, I think that is the more complexed than hits, which was provide the text for sach comment, that like the ubquitous surveys that one is asked to complex that don't updue to the whole, nor individual areas, I think that is the own the ext for sach comment, that like the ubquitous surveys that one is asked to complex that don't suck of the work is used to sample the text for sach comment, although two may boable to improve the format in the turne board to the herge own to is asked to complex that don't suck or such as the same text of the sach comment, although two may boable to improve the format in the future work or such as any strate one is asked to complex the the text of the sach comment. although two may boable to improve the format in the turne or work in the same text of the sach comment. although two may boable to improve the format in the turne or work in the same text of the sach comment. although two may boable to improve the format in the turne or work in the same text of the sach comment. although two may boable to improve the format in the same text of the sach many examples in Europe where in beautiful places they have put residents parking underground, and en not allowed to become yell and threat were reflection, there are many examples in definition to make sach starter were response from toward the would be ended to include mixed-sach threat were reflection, types of salt-the Abit is uvalid the goad to beact were reflection, types of salt-the board to beact the sach that the sach many wat the wore reflection one and the would be as a possible thure wore the common text is the sand there was and there was printe saccosse the toward texe or for	
Please note that my yes' to Q24 above is a qualified yes'. Whilst Len sympatrize with many of the broad issues/sime of your survey. I aoth find the format very helpful. The Yes/Dort Knowledged. It is difficult to maintain a the broad sues/sime of your survey. I aoth find the format very helpful. The Yes/Dort Knowledged. It is difficult to maintain a much more complicated than this, which is why have put a qualified yes' in each case. One we have introve the format that like the ubiquitous surveys that one is asked to complete with almost every enquirytransaction these days, that they are going to bu esed by simply totting up the number of yess, nos, and don't knows. If one is trying to forocast a future for this town, it seems to mato to be guite questionable that the group on the outgot by simply totting up the number of yess, nos, and don't knows. If one is trying to forocast a future for this town, it seems to mato to be guite questionable that of the group lenging and genite on opyment of pedestrians, and represents a terrible visual intrusion on attractive environments both urban and rural. There are many examples in Europe where in beading haces they have put residents parking underground, and one thinks of the telephone exchange building as a possible future location. The metieval gift Bury is a rightly acalismed beacon of modest architecture, and every effort should be made to hearuse that new rufil developments represent the highest standards of energy efficiency, and at end of residents. These to include mixed-gae accommodation, types of self-help housing Co- operatives, as well as levkork units. Its slightly include that every effort should be made to find meaningful sources of works as close as possible. It would be a targedy if libecar- just a chocate-box town till of depope and touries, whith the every effort should be made to find meaningful sources of works as close as possible. It would be a targedy if libecar- is a chacoclate-box town till of depope and touries, whith de	
139         resident         *         resident         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *         *	
boxes cart really represent ones thoughts about the whole, nor individual areas, think that its much more complicated than this, which is will have put a qualified yes' in each case. One have an quality/transaction these days, that they are going to be used by simply toting up the number of yes's, nots, and don't knows. If one is trying to forecast a future for this town, it seems to me to be quite quastionable that the growth of shooping and of caru use as we know it now, should be so central to your vision for the way forward. As we now know, excessive car use and dependence is bad for our health, bad for the welleng and gentle enjoyment of pedestrians, and represents a terrible visual intrusion on attractive environments both urban and rural. There are many examples in Europe where in beautiful places they have put residents parking underground, and one thinks of the telephone exchange building as a possible future location. The medieval glin Bury is rightly acclaimed beacon of modest and housing in the town centre to encourage a mix of resident. There desire glin difficulty, in envisaging what this future location, types of all-help housing co- operatives, as well as live/hovik units. It's slightly ironic that the great response from town center residents. These a slightly ironic that the great response from town center residents cornes annihy from is men elderly peoplicate, who will be are ound to see this housing of operatives, as well as live/hovik units. It's slightly ironic that the great response from town center residents. These as upod range of views where applicable that generation of residents. These as upod range of views where set provision prove of views where yeel for should be made to find meaningful sources of work as close as possible. It would be a tragely if thecame just a chocata-box town full of dispope and tourists, with the excess people could socialis and taleved to becautify ing an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate matrites" - visual or protect? If thee wells	
much more complicated than this, which is why I have put a qualified yes' in each case. One feasity       we provide the free text for each comment, and this the ub lighting uses that is most average of this town, it seems to not be the improve the format in the future by offering more options such as log of the uses in the the growth of shopping and of car use as we know in two, should be the time the uses in the time text for each comment, and one thinks of the future loy offering more options such as log of the use of the uses of the use of the use as a possible. It use the use as the the use of the	
much more complicated than this, which is why I have put a qualified yes' in each case. One feasily       we provide the free text for each comment,         that like the ubiquitous surveys that noe is asked to complete with almost every       enquiry/transaction these days, that they are going to be used by simply toting up the number of       and the future by offering more options such as         in the future by offering more options such as       central to your vision for the wey flow whork. As we now know, excessive car use and dependence is       and for our health, bad for the wellbeing and gentle enjoyment of pedestrians, and represents a       aregiv agree/disagree.         in the the text by the provide the text by the provide the text by the provide the text by offering more options such as       aregiv agree/disagree.         in the future by offering more options such as       the telephone exchange building as a passible future location. The medieval grid in Bury is a       and for bury heat the text by the provide the text by each comment, and the provide the grid future incestic architecture, and overy effort should be       and one thinks of the text by each comment, and the provide the provide that the text by each comment, and there was present the huge efforts in comore and the provide that the text by the provide the text by the provide the text by the provide text by the pro	
133       resident       tendent	
enquiry/transaction these days, that they are going to be used by simply toting up the number of quire questionable that the the growth of shopping and of car use as we know, toxes to me to be quire questionable that the the growth of shopping and of car use as we know, toxes as we know throw, should be so contrait to your vision for the wellbeing and genile enjoyment of pedestrians, and represents a terrible visual intrusion on attractive environments both urban and rural. There are many sxamples in Europe where in beautiful places they have put residents parking underground, and one thinks of the telephone exchange building as a possible future location. The medieval grid in Bury is a ingriptly acclaimed beacon of modes historical domestic architecture, and every effort should be made to become yet another example of mundane, skimp developer's pastiche. Also it would be good to see the provision of different models of housing in the future location. The set in loude mixed-age accommodation, types of self-help housing co- operatives, as well as live/work units. It's slightly incinci that the great response from town centre residents comes mainly from us more elderly poople who won't be around pose bet future, and I as susport that many will have great difficulty in envisating what the revery effort should be made to find meaningful sources of works as close as possible. It would be a tragedy if i became just a chocolate-box town full of old people and tourists, with the next generation priced out as it already is. If one could sea a future in which there was a rich interdepandence between younger and dote generations, and there was a postapia every effort should be made to find meaningful sources of works as close as possible. It would be at ragedy if i became just a chocolate-box town full of old people and tourists, with the next generation priced out as it already is. If one could sea a future is which there was a rich interedepandence between younger and dote generations, and	
heres is not and don't knows. If one is trying to forecast a future for this town, is seems to me to be local trying to grade as a trying to grade as a trying to grade as the know it now, should be so cantral to your vision for the way forward. As we now know, excessive car use and dependence is bad for our health, bad for the wellbeing and genue enciprent of pedestrians, and represents a terrifle visual intrusion on attractive environments both urban and rural. There are many examples in Europe where in beautiful places they have put residents parking underground, and one thinks of the telephone exchange building as a possible future location. The medieval grint in Bury is a rightly acclaimed beacon of modest historical domestic architecture, and every effort should be made to ensure example of mundane, skimp developer's pastiche. Also it would be good to see the provision of different models of housing in the town centre to encourage a mix of residents. These to include more discip people who won'the around to see this future, and that every effort should be made to find that the individe as a possible. It would be a tragedy if ibecame just a chocolate-box town full of old people whore there was a right and optimistic view of the future in which there was a right and optimistic view of the future in which there was a right and optimistic view of the future in which there was a right and optimistic view of the future is salidity in there was a right and optimistic view of the future.         139       resident       "ensland" the see should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration, and grappointeite musers. These should come under regular motels by people work on the disabilities and impairments/ - visual of practical? Is included to find an enderse and in the disabilities and impairments access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/ - visinal of prachacina? These are not anortis in the ready and there	
quite questionable that the the growth of shopping and of car use as we construct you vision for the way forward. As we now know, excessive car use and dependence is bad for our health, bad for the wellbeing and gentle enjoyment of pedestrians, and represents a terrible visual intrusion on attractive environments both urban and rural. There are many examples in Europe where in beaufith places they have put residents parking underground, and one thinks of the telephone exchange building as a possible future location. The medical grid in Bury is a rightly acclationed design incorporating exceptional domestic architecture, and every efficiency, and are not allowed to become yet another example of mundane, skimpy developer's pastiche. Also it would be good to see the provision of different models of housing in the town centre to encourage a mix of residents. These to include mixed-age accommodation, types of self-help housing co-Operatives, as well as live/work units. It's slightly inoit that the great response from town centre residents comes mainly from us more elderly people who won't be around to see this future, and I suspect that many will have great difficulty in envisaging what this future effort should be made to find meaningful sources of work as close as possible. It would be a tragedy if it became just a chocolate-box town full of old people and houring bring. So it is vitally important that this tow attracted so que of areage of venues where people could socialise and learn new skills, independent of maga-chains, and there was a rich interdependence between younger and older generations, and there was a pethaps every encouragement given to small-scale local local food producers, this could I think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.         139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration. The reference to visual and appropriate materials	
139       resident       * central to your vision for the way forward. As we now know, excessive car use and dependence is bad for our health, bad for the wellbeing and genite enjoyment of pedestrians, and represents a terrible visual intrusion on attractive environments both urban and rural. There are many examples in Europe where in beautiful places they have put residents parking underground, and one thinks of the telephone exchange building as a possible future location. The medicavili grid in Bury is a rightly acclaimed beacon of modest historical domestic architecture, and very effort should be made to ensure that new infil developments represent the highest standards of well-proportioned, well-mannered design incorporating exceptional standards of energy efficiency, and are not allowed to become yet another example of mundane, skimpy developer's pastiche. Also it would be good to see the provision of different models of housing in the town centre to encourage a mix of residents. These to include mixed square gorad difficulty in envisaging what this future might brig. So it is vitally important that this town attracts young people to live in the centre, and that every effort should be made to find meaningful sources of work as close as possible. It would be a tragedy if it became just a chocolate-box town full of old people and tourists, with the next generation priced out as it already is. If one could see a future in which there was a price people could socialise and learn new skills, independent of mega-chains, and there was persenge every encouragement given to samily calle local food producers, this could I think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.       The reference to visual and appropriate materials <sup>1</sup> visual or practical? In there are no any conflic between the two, low sing, different levels assily identified. Avail and people? More provision of publicly accesshete more indestanding of whathto needs are not mixingi	
139         resident         * resident         * ferference to visual and appropriate materials* - visual or pactical?         If the end of the subject of	
139         resident         ************************************	
139       resident       "endercharge winder of meassibile for the example of number of the set should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration.       The reference to visual and appropriate materials" - visual or provision of public visual visua visual visua visual visual visual visua visual visual visual visua	
139         resident         "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and hot be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? is level, nor the sum and experiments. The set in the sum of the town if the set should be made to ensure that new infill developments represent the highest standards of well-proportioned, well-mannered design incorporating exceptional standards of energy efficiency, and are not allowed to become yet another example of mundane, skimpy developer's pastiche. Also it would be good to see the provision of different models of housing in the town centre to encourage a mix of residents. These to include mixed-age accommodation, types of self-help housing co- operatives, as well as live/work units. It's slightly ironic that the great response from town centre residents comes mainly from us more elderly people who won't be around to see this future, and I suspect that many will have great difficulty in envisaging what this future might bring. So it is vitally important that this town attracts young people to live in the centre, and that every effort should be made to find meaningful sources of work as close as possible. It would be a tragedy if it became just a chocolate-box town full of old people and tourists, with the next generation priced out as it already is. If one could see a future in which there was a rich interdependence between younger and older generations, and there was a good range of venues where people could socialise and learn new skills, independent of mega-chains, and there was perhaps every encouragement given to small-scale local food producers, this could 1 think provide rather as bright and optimistic view of the future.         The reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and paractical. There need not be any conflict between the two, sip, different levels easait) identified (Cycle and pedestrian noutes to be easi	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration.       If predestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required         140       visitor from less than       (visitor from less than       (visitor from less than)       No change required	
139       resident       "ender to ensure that new infil developments represent the highest standards of well-proportioned, well-mannered design incorporating exceptional standards of energy efficiency, and are not allowed to become yet another example of mundane, skimpy developer's pastiche. Also it would be good to see the provision of different models of housing in the town centre to encourage a mix of residents. These to include mixed-age accommodation, types of self-help housing co-operatives, as well as live/work units. It's slightly ionic that the great response from town centre residents comes mainly from us more elderly people who won't be around to see this future, and 1 suspect that many will have great difficulty in envisionities. With the next generation priced out as it already is. If one could see a future in which there was a rich interdependence between younger and older generations, and there was perings every encouragement given to small-scale local food producers, this could 1 think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.       The reference to visual and appropriate materials and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? is: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimise? If those with disabilities and immisiming potential conflict between users.       No change required maintenias in understabilities and immisimely available? More provision of publicly accessible         140       visitor from less than       Visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "ensident       "ensident       The reference to visual and appropriate materials" visual or practical?       No change required materials relates to beth will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments accords of those with disabilities and impairments access the two mill disabilities and minimising potential conflic between users. <td></td>	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? is: level, no slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical?       The reference to visual and appropriate materials." No change required materials and public vacessible towice the wown is a posible? If those with disabilities and impairments/acring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       The reference to visual and appropriate materials is level, not the submit disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? is: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedeptrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       The pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? is: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes be easily identified. So the aspiration of publicly accessible       The reference to visual and appropriate materials " visual or practical? is: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes be easily identified. So the aspiration impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non sup, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedetarian routing wallable? More provision of publicly accessible       The reference to visual and appropriate materials. There are not minimising potential conflict between the two, puint will be an aspiration.         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and approgriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, no slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified. Will access the two will accessible       The reference to visual and appropriate materials or the two, just an understanding of what the needs are nd minimising potential conflict between users.       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical: is to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       The reference to visual and appropriate materials and practical.       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? is: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       The reference to visual and appropriate materials and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible       The reference to visual and appropriate material.       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required       No change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       The reference to visual and appropriate materials and the revel access the town if close town if close town is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
already is. If one could see a future in which there was a rich interdependence between younger and older generations, and there was a good range of venues where people could socialise and learn new skills, independent of mega-chains, and there was perhaps every encouragement given to small-scale local food producers, this could I think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.       Image: The reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and propriate materials relates to both visual and practical. There need not be any conflict between the two, slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would	
and older generations, and there was a good range of venues where people could socialise and learn new skills, independent of mega-chains, and there was perhaps every encouragement given to small-scale local food producers, this could I think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.       Image: The reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and papropriate materials relates to both visual and practical. or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
learn new skills, independent of mega-chains, and there was perhaps every encouragement given to small-scale local food producers, this could I think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.The reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and propriate materials relates to both visual and practical. There need not be any conflict between the two, slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessibleIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries wouldNo change required140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries wouldNo change required	
Image: 139to small-scale local food producers, this could I think provide rather a bright and optimistic view of the future.The reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and practical. There need not be any conflict between the two, slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessibleThe reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and practical. There need not be any conflict between users.No change required140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries wouldNo change required	
the future.the future.139resident"enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessibleThe reference to visual and appropriate materials relates to both visual and practical. There need not be any conflict between users.No change required140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries wouldNo change required	
139       resident       "enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       The reference to visual and appropriate materials. There need not be any conflict between the two, just an understanding of what the needs are nd minimising potential conflict between users.       No change required         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would       No change required	
"enhancing pavements" - these should come under regular maintenance and not be an aspiration or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessiblematerials relates to both visual or practical. There need not be any conflict between the two, just an understanding of what the needs are nd minimising potential conflict between users.140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessibleThere need not be any conflict between the two, just an understanding of what the needs are nd minimising potential conflict between users.140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
or beautifying an area. "Sympathetic and appropriate materials" - visual or practical? ie: level, non slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessibleThere need not be any conflict between the two, just an understanding of what the needs are nd minimising potential conflict between users.140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
slip, different levels easily identified. Cycle and pedestrian routes to be easily identified by all using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessiblejust an understanding of what the needs are nd minimising potential conflict between users.140visitor from less thanIf pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
using them and regularly maintained. How will access be optimised? If those with disabilities and impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       minimising potential conflict between users.         140       visitor from less than       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
impairments/caring for those with disabilities and impairments access the town if close town centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
centre parking / public transport is not readily available? More provision of publicly accessible toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
toilets to be achieved and not be an aspiration.         140       visitor from less than         If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
140       visitor from less than         If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required	
currently in place elsewhere. Any	
podestrianisation will take account of this pood	
More needs to be done to assess servicing options so that alternative arrangements are made for pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	
premises that are affected by pedestrianisation.	
141 resident If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then No change required	
You mention Park and Ride, most towns have a park and ride to the town centre, you are closing alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
the bus stops which are in the town centre, and moving them several hundred yards away, ok if	
you are able bodied but St Andrew Street N is on a hill, be it slight	
142 visitor from less than As someone who lives in one of the villages near BSE where there is no reliable bus service, my Thank you for your response. Please see No change required	
10 miles away major concern is that adequate and inexpensive parking is provided for those who have to travel into town by car.	
decriminalise parking enforcement, which	
1) Fast track parking enforcement to the local/borough council. Then please end the scourge of currently sits with the Police. This is a two year	
single yellow and double yellow line parking. Parking in resident's parking zones with a permit. process as it has to go through an Act of	
Daily illegal parking that takes places on Short Brackland, Well St and Westgate St just as three Parliament. We expect this to be in place during	
examples of streets where Urban Clearways are continually flouted. 2019.	

144	resident	More recycle bins	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
	resident			No change required
145	resident		more toilet facilities within the town centre, we	
			will be examining initiatives including working	
			with businesses and developers to seek	
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly	
			accessible toilets, as set out in this Character	
		The town centre certainly needs more toilet facilities.	Area.	
146	resident			No change required
		People are concerned about losing parking in the middle although they realistically need to get		
		over that, even though it's only a potential issue work needs to be done to get people away from		
		their crazy expectations especially those who think £2.30 for a days parking is too much!		
147	resident		73% of respondents to the issues and Options	No change required
			questionnaire described themselves as	
			residents of Bury St Edmunds. The aspirations	
			for this area have received significant support	
			from local residents. Borough, Town and County	
			Councillors are represented on the masterplan	
			working group and all St Edmundsbury and	
			Forest Heath Councillors received individual	
			communications. the masterplan will be adopted	
			through the political process.	
		Use the knowledge of local Residents and their Councilors		
148	worker		We recognise the town serves a large area that	
			requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
		Don't forget that Motorists bring shoppers into town, how much shopping can someone on a bike	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		carry - very little, so don't criminalise the drivers.		
149	prefer not to say	• Supportive of broad overall developments proposed. • In particular; improvements to the town	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
		environment, greater pedestrianisation, introduction of cycle routes, disabled access, road safety -		
		all good • An integrated approach to people movement and vehicle access needs has to be		
		adopted. Cycles routes, paths, parking and public transport are all integral and related, and cannot		
450	in the second state of the second	be seen in isolation • Park & Ride is a must	Arment	Nie eksenze nemvine d
150	worker, visitor from	Disconstructions of a state of the second seco	Agreed.	No change required
	less than 10 miles	Please please don't spoil our lovely town centre with concrete please ensure that the plan		
151	away	reflects the beautiful architecture and design of our lovely town If more trees are to be planted can someone take a look at the ones we already have first. The	Opinion is divided in respect of the tree outside	No change required
151	resident			
		ones in the Traverse are far too large for their siting. They both fill the full width at eaves level and encroach on roof lines. During autumn the leaves become quite hazardous in wet weather. No one	their setting. The planting of troos pood not	
		seems to clear these up. Roots can also disrupt paving. The trees on Cornhill are also far too	cause pavements to crack if adequate root	
		large now. Who thought it a good idea to plant one so it blocks the view of Moyse's Hall? The	deflectors are fitted when they are first planted.	
		retaining wall of this tree has already had to be repaired. The paving used in town is not suitable	Similarly, the specification of paving needs to be	
		for the heavy delivery vehicles which have to use it. Take good look at the paving in the Traverse	appropriate for its function.	
		and St. Andrews St. (S). Both areas need attention. If you are proposing to use similar elsewhere		
		it MUST be looked after regularly.		
152	resident		The town centre will be considered as a whole	No change required
			when evaluating and progressing projects. The	
			structure, map and Character Areas are for	
			reference only to enable people to identify the	
		The movement of people and vehicles need to be considered in holistic fashion across the whole	areas of the town centre the aspirations relate	
		town centre, not piecemeal.	to.	
153	resident		Thank you for your comment. The Borough have	No change required
			taken the first steps to decriminalise parking	
			enforcement, which currently sits with the Police.	
			This is a two year process as it has to go	
			through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to	
		More pedestrianisation and better parking enforcement	be in place during 2019.	

154	resident		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required	
			all users of the town centre. There is an		
			aspiration across the town centre to optimise		
			access into and around the area for people with		
			disabilities and mobility difficulties. This could be		
			achieved by addressing issues such as dropped		
			kerbs, reducing street 'clutter', surfacing and		
		which take into account the needs of the less abled e.g. partially sighted, those with a pram or	access to shops and businesses. Organisations		
		anyone in a wheelchair or mobility scooter. It would be great to take these sorts of needs into	working with people with additional needs have		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	been involved in the development of the		
			masterplan from the earliest stage.		
		leisure and points of engagement around the town.	masterplan nom the caniest stage.		
155	resident, worker	, , ,	Thank you for your positive comments and	No obongo required	
100				No change required	
		Park and Ride - yes please. Dropped kerbs - yes please. Dedicated, covered, cycle parking - yes	suggestions.		
		please. Provide seating on level surfaces where possible. Sitting on a seat on sloped surfaces			
		isn't much fun (or good for you). Trees. Please don't follow Cambridge Station's example and			
		install weedy spindle trees. We need good specimens for Bury. Even some evergreens for the			
		winter months. Please don't alter the Abbey Gardens toilets too much - they are excellent. If they			
		are refurbished, cublicle sizes might be reduced and modern partitions and locks are often of a			
		lower quality - you only have to experience the shoddiness of the materials used in the Arc loos to			
		see this. Town centre maps require the words 'you are here'!			
156			The Baraugh have taken the first stone to	No change required	
100	resident			No change required	
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which		
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year		
			process as it has to go through an Act of		
			Parliament. We expect this to be in place during		
			2019.		
		Those that a centrally located designated area for visiting coaches to drop on visitors will be	2010.		
		maintained and enhanced and illegal parking by cars etc in this area on Angel Hill will be stopped.			
157	visitor from less than			No change required	
	10 miles away		more toilet facilities within the town centre, we		
			will be examining initiatives including working		
			with businesses and developers to seek		
			opportunities to improve provision of publicly		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	accessible toilets, as set out in this Character		
450			Area.	No change required	
158				No change required	
159	worker, visitor from			No change required	
		Disappointed that no improvements are suggested for the area of Upper and Lower Baxter Streets			
	away	which is a dead area of the town and would benefit from Retail to improve the link between Town	however, be positive effects from neighbouring		
		Centre, Abbey Gardens and Looms Lane.	improvements.		
160		facilities for children and parents. Places to be/to play safely. Safe for children around their homes		No change required	
		i.e. no fast cars. Wider pavements, good crossing points. Open spaces to be greened and treed	,,	<b>J</b> - 1	
		with seats and play equipment/climbable sculpture. Good for elderly & disabled & HEALTH.			
		איונד שנמש מות אמץ בקטארובוזיטוווושמשוב שנטאנערב. שטטע זטו פוטפווץ ע טושמשופט ע הבאבדה.			
161	ragidant	Our plan must appour an improvement by walking, evaluat and by seen. Due use must be still at the	The mosternion does not propose the removal of	Amond conjunction to delate reference to	
161	resident	Our plan must encourage movement by walking, cycling and busses. Bus use must be aided by	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	•	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
		by reducing dominance of cars and adding more street trees. charging points for electric cars.	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
		5 6 6 6 7	misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed	meet the needs of the town.	
				meet the needs of the town.	
			without comprehensive solutions for providing	meet the needs of the town.	
			without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	meet the needs of the town.	
160			without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre.		
162	visitor from less than	The historical heart and soul are being eroded from this fine town, however as in the past you take	without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre. We are very aware that many areas of the town	meet the needs of the town. No change required	
162	visitor from less than 10 miles away,	The historical heart and soul are being eroded from this fine town, however as in the past you take no notice even with petitions	without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre. We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong historic value and this will be		
	visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired	The historical heart and soul are being eroded from this fine town, however as in the past you take no notice even with petitions	without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre. We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong historic value and this will be protected	No change required	
	visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	The historical heart and soul are being eroded from this fine town, however as in the past you take no notice even with petitions I regret that the "tree" sculptures in St Andrew's St. were ever commissioned and paid for. They	without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre. We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong historic value and this will be protected The tree sculptures were commissioned and		
	visitor from less than 10 miles away, retired resident, retired	The historical heart and soul are being eroded from this fine town, however as in the past you take no notice even with petitions	without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre. We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong historic value and this will be protected The tree sculptures were commissioned and	No change required	

	-				
164			Thank you for your comments. All options will be	No change required	
		Ride (with smaller shuttle buses) should be urgently considered and/or a 3 to 4 level multi-pstorey	considered.		
405	na side et	car park should be built as close to the town centre as possible.	The are equilable to be a posticle on just	No shanna naminad	
165	resident	Bury has been unique - please keep it's character and don't destroy it - i.e. the arc could've been	· · · · ·	No change required	
		built to look like the old town, sensitively and not like everywhere else	another indoor shopping arcade. What we have		
			replicates the form of the town utilising the		
			medieval street grid and open square, with a		
			mixed scheme of shops, residential		
			accomodation and performance space. It is unique to Bury St Edmunds, but is not to		
			everyone's taste.		
166	other		Thank you for your comments. We look forward	No change required	SCC questionnaire response.
100	other	Highways and Transport - The County Council recognises that greater parking capacity is	to working with you to deliver the masterplan.		Received by email
		recommended by a car parking and capacity and management study undertaken in 2015 (as			
		stated in the Masterplan issues and options report). The County Council will work with the			
		Borough Council in order to support use of sustainable modes transport to make access easy for			
		people who visit the town for shopping, tourism, or to access services. Any residual demand to			
		access the town centre, after encouraging use of sustainable transport, should then be taken up			
		by car parks, whether that is through increasing capacity in the town, or through a park and ride			
		scheme. Public Health - An aspiration across the town centre to increase access and information			
		about community spaces would be welcome and contribute to objective 4, 'Supporting Thriving			
		Mixed-Use Neighbourhoods'. Increased access and information to community spaces would help			
		to tackle the impacts of social isolation and loneliness amongst residents of the town centre,			
		particularly elderly residents who live alone. Evidence related to this issue can be found in the County Council's issues and options response. <i>Flood Management and Sustainable Drainage</i> -			
		Flood risk could also be included in the 'Across the Town Centre' Aspirations. This way			
		aspirations to reduce flood risk can be matched up with aspirations to make a greener town centre			
		through the use of water gardens, green roofs tree sumps and other features that can contribute to			
		reducing flood risk.			
407					
167	resident, retired	Public seating is welcome, litter bins too if regularly emptied. Make more of the two art galleries that have closed in the Apex is not suitable.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
168	visitor from less than		The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of	No change required	
	10 miles away		users of all forms of transport. For example,		
			pedestrian priority and provision is addressed in		
			all Character Areas including pedestrianisation		
			of key areas is included in Character Area 1.		
		Stop the march if the motor car.			
169	visitor from less than		Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
		Some if the pavements are uneven so sorting that out. Improve toilets facilities in the centre of			
		town.			
170	resident, worker			No change required	
			replace any displaced parking and provide		
		No you are taking parking away and making it more difficult for people to go about their business	additional parking. This is addressed under		
474		and shopping - easy way to kill the town.	Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		ļ
171		If you want more tourists to visit Bury you need a much bigger bus station. Not everyone can or		No change required	
1		will want to walk from further out car parks. Remember there is a large proportion of non-motorists as well.			
172		I hope there will be an improved town centre with everywhere being pedestriansed	of transport. Thank you for your comment.	No change required	l
172	,	This merely states the obvious. In my comment 6 I wasn't told enough about my situation. If you		No change required	
173	prefer not to say	wish you contact me my name is and my T.N. is I do not think it necessary to	Thank you for your comments.		
		answer question 27-36.			
174	resident		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required	
1			any displaced parking and provide additional		
		Because of inadequate parking visitors from other tours (e.g. Haverhill, Sudbury, Newmarket) go	parking. This is addressed under Character		
1		to Cambridge.	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.		
-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	

175	resident, retired		In order for the masterplan to meet the	No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to provide such detail. Rather it	
		NOT BOLD ENOUGH IN TACKLING THE NEED FOR A BETTER TRAFFIC PLAN COMBINED	provides a framework for individual projects to	
		WITH PUBLIC TRANSPRT POLICY.	come forward.	
177	visitor from less than	No.	Thank you for your response.	No change required
	10 miles away,			
	prefer not to say			
178	resident, retired		Thank you for your comments. Whilst the	No change required
170	resident, retired		Borough does not intend to create more toilet	No change required
			facilities within the town centre, we will be	
			examining initiatives including working with	
			businesses and developers to seek opportunities	
			to improve provision of publicly accessible	
			toilets, as set out in this Character Area. There	
			is an aspiration to improve cycle access and	
		Need to address. Tailet facilities. Cycle facilities which are not an nevements	facilities including secure parking in this	
170	regident retired	Need to address: Toilet facilities. Cycle facilities which are not on pavements.	Character Area.	No obongo required
179	resident, retired		We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required
		Great need for more public toilets and in all areas. Also rubbish bins (but not close to residential	have strong historic value and this will be	
			protected. There is a priority in this Character	
		windows as in Risbygate street now), which need frequent emptying. The historical character of	Area to preserve and enhance the character and	
100	raaidaat	Bury will undoubtedly be lost in all this development.	appearance of the town centre.	Amond contration to d
180	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	· •
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to p
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
		It is important to have a hug station, not only far local huges but far ecceptor too, you con't have	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
		It is important to have a bus station, not only for local buses but for coaches too. you can't have	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
		coaches queuing up to unload and load passengers half way down St. Andrews street north. What a Bad impression that would give the tourists.	meet the needs of the town centre.	
181	resident, visitor from		This is an operational matter which is outside	No change required
	more than 10 miles	Why didn't you put the Tourist Board Office in the bus station. That would have made the bus	the scope of the masterplan.	- · ·
	away, retired	station much more attractive and helpful for visitors. It is pathetic as it is.		
182	other		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		To ensure that cars are removed from the town centre. To ensure that illegal and inconsiderate		
		parking is dealt with firmly. To provide a park and ride system. To provide safe and secure cycle		
		parking. To provide proper cycle lanes separated from vehicular traffic. Ensure safer and better		
		access for disabled people. Ensure that the night time economy does not suffer by looking at		
		allowing cars in to the centre in the evenings. Ensure that if any areas are pedestrianised fully		
		they do not become a magnet for anti social behaviour. We question the need for any more retail		
		space bearing in mind the substantial increase in on line shopping. If development does take		
		place and car parking is displaced then the replacement car parking must be provided first.		1

delete reference to s station and replace with a p provide bus facilities to ne town.	

BSE	TCMP - Draft MAP	Q26 - Anything else? Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about the town	Total Representations: 218		
		centre that should be considered as part of the masterplan?	Total Representations. 210		
muoto		centre that should be considered as part of the masterplan?			
ID	Stakeholder group	Representation	Response	How it was addressed	Notes
1	resident		Borough Council colleagues are working with	No change required	
Ĩ	resident	Couldn't the contaminated land in Tayfen Road be turned into Car Parks. This would mean traffic	Network Rail and train service providers to seek		
		could get off Parkway sooner and there would be parking for any new/old housing in the area, also			
		for people using the Railway Station, where there is never enough parking.			
2	resident, worker		There are no proposals to remove the historic	No change required	
		repaving skinner street would most likely anger many people as it is part of burys history and heritage. the old cobbled stones attact alot of people	paving of Skinner Street, merely to renovate it.		
3	prefer not to say		We recognise the town serves a large area that		
			requires access by car. Provision for car users is		
			mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.		
		I laless you are going to part out public transport than people will still peopletic in some to visit Dury	The Borough will work with Suffolk County		
		Unless you are going to sort out public transport then people will still need their cars to visit Bury, people need buses to ruin at peak times for commuting to work and also during the day for those	Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of		
		who wish to visit. Without a cheap, reliable public transport system you just push people away if	and around the town centre. We recognise there		
			is a need to both replace any displaced parking		
		centre. If you remove them then I won't bother coming, if you make it difficult for me to get into	and provide additional parking. This is		
		town I won't bother coming. With all the building on Moprton Hall and the proposed building in	addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and		
		Thurston I dont see any mention of improving access from that side of the town. Also remember	9. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to		
		people live in these areas, from memory there are quite a lot of houses along St Andrews St North	accommodate Blue Badge and other parking		
		I doubt they want a bus stops all outside their front doors. Sad that yet again no proper link	nearby.		
		between the centre and the arc is likely to be delivered.			
4	resident, student		Thank you for your comment. Please see	No change required	
		Keep it the same just upgrade it	response to previous comments		
5	worker, visitor from	Mar the light to the ADO and a immediate Durational and an instant to the standard to be an ended on the standard standard to be a standard to b	We are very aware that many areas of the town	No change required	
	less than 10 miles	Yes, the link to the ARC needs improving. Bury is an ancient town and needs to be preserved as is.Let's stay the same to be different!	have strong historic value and this will be		
6	away resident		protected. Thank you for your comments. There is a	No change required	
0	resident		priority in Character Area 9 to preserve and		
		Parent and child parking would be great, better road surfaces, better pavements, focus on the	enhance the character and appearance of the		
		market and the Abbey it's what this TOWN is known for.	town centre.		
7	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to	1
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.	
			No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
		Should the bus station be demolished, where will the service be relocated. There remains a need	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
L		for a central point for bus departures and arrivals.	meet the needs of the town centre.		
8	resident		Cornhill Walk has been purchased by a private	No change required	
		Get rid of 'spoons and demolish the eyesore that is Cornhill walk and put something useful and	developer and is in the process of being		
0	regident retired	public utility there instead. Just sit down and look at car parking, bus routes and cycle routes FIRST, then see what can be	transformed.	No change required	
9	resident, retired	enhanced after finding suitable increase in the number of spaces.	These requirements will be given full consideration.	No change required	
10	resident, retired	Said it all at the start, dont expect it will make any difference.	Disagree.	No change required	
10	resident, worker	Just pedestrianisation, pedestrianisation, pedestrianisation! Air quality, footfall, the nighttime	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	1
Ľ		economy - they will all benefit!			
12	resident		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required	
		My main concerns are expressed elseware in the questionnaire.	response to previous comments.		

13	resident, worker	market	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
14	resident	Improve the public seating in the open area outside the Apex, it should have more benches, water features, more colour!	Thank you for your suggestion.	No change required
15	10 miles away	Please refer to earlier comments on the changes and opportunities available to town planners by virtue of the emergence of 'mobility as a service'.	Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required
16	more than 10 miles away	The town has existed in its current form for many years, fashions come and go - especially in town planning and architecture - care needs to be taken to ensure that the overall results of the change programme are those which will persist and prove to have long term benefit to those people who both live within and visit the town. Short term gains at the expense of the longer term goals should be avoided.		No change required
17			The Borough Council works closely with the Police on issues of community safety and anti- social behaviour and is bringing in new Public Space Protection Orders which will provide new powers to tackle anti-social behaviour.	No change required
18		The anticipated increase in traffic due to the town housing expansion seems to be ignored. Central Parking is key, unless alternative expensive shuttle buses operate which are unpopular. Central parking could be provided with multistorey underground parking below the Angel Hill, leaving the surface area to be used in a more attractive way than ongoing car parking. Most other Parking areas will be rather far from the town centre. I suspect the area is chalk which does not create huge excavating & waterproofing costs, & any history buried there cannot be enjoyed should it even exist!	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
19		The extension of Residents' Parking to 6.00, or even 7.00 pm. Those of us who have to travel to	Thank you for your suggestion. This is outside the remit of the masterplan. There is a process for requesting changes to resident parking through Suffolk County Council.	No change required
20		around the Southgate roundabout where there are four roundabouts from the hospital direction to the Sainburys roundabout. There is too much traffic coming off the Nowton estate and from the hospital and the flow is seriously restricted at certain times. Also the public transport is not effective. For example. People find buses which run from Morton hall very inconvenient and the bus takes over 40 minutes to go round Morton hall before it goes into Bury. The only people who use the bus service are the people with bus passes. Other people use their cars which jam up space in town. You really need to reroute the buses, and make them more frequent and you might like to consider a smart card system as they do in Tallinn where you can go any way through the town city by tram, bus or trolleybus for 6 euros for 5 day travel. You will have to look at the pricing carefully. You need to think very carefully in the routing where the buses go in straight lines rather	Centre Masterplan area. However, the issues referred to do have an impact on how people access the town centre. Traffic flows around the town are being addressed by Suffolk County Council to accommodate the growth of the town and the surrounding area. Bus services are operated by individual providers and local public bodies have very limited control, in most cases none at all. The Borough will, however, work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre.	
21	resident, worker	Aldi at old lloyds bank building at risbygate?. marketing of old cooperative bank unit opposite corn exchange, better pedestrian sign posting. widening of pavements in woolhall street		No change required
22	visitor from more than 10 miles away, retired	Please see comments made for question 7 regarding disabled access and paving etc.	Thank you for your response. Please see response to earlier comments.	No change required

		evening with a focus on local people enjoying the event before the town is descended upon by large numbers of visitors.		
31	resident, worker	Don't reduce the availability of residents' only parking to accommodate increased visitor numbers. Encourage more town centre events to do as the Christmas market does and open on a Thursday		No change required
		lights, cycle on pavements and can be a danger to children and older persons surely they can be accomodated at Ram meadow?	users should be accomodated. There is a responsibility on cyclist to ride sensibly and obey the highway code. The majority should not be penalised for the actions of the minority.	
30	resident	Everything sounds lovely we do need a better selection of shops, I live in James School flats and there is no where to park our cars only public parking if you think removing parking from town centre is good you clearly feel there is ample parking so would you consider parking spaces for	any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. St James School site is privately owned and not controlled by the Borough Council. Additional seating is proposed. The needs of all	
29	resident			No change required
28	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	More disabled parking, not less. The reduction of traffic in the town centre by means of pedestrianisation is fine in principal, but this should NOT be at the expense of reducing the available parking spaces available. We need more parking, and Pay on Exit Parking, as we need both regular users and visitors and tourists to come and visit our town. If we place more restricted parking on these people we will reduce the footfall into the town, which will impact on businesees in the town. We need profitable businesses in the town. The alternative is empty shops, lack of business rates being paid, less taxes being paid to the treasury. We need to make it easy for people to visit our town centre not make it difficult,	of all aspirations that affect or influence parking provision.Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and other parking nearby We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking during the masterplan consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consider all options going	No change required
27	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will be considered when carrying out a viability study	No change required
26	resident	All the roads that lead in to town should be considered. There are many roads that could be	All roads are being looked at as part of the Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031. However, increasing the size of the road at the expense of the pedestrian is not the answer and will only make the problem worse as pedestrians who live within the town resort to the car.	No change required
25	resident	Please ensure that more car parking is provided and at a reasonable price, ensure that all areas within the town centre benefit from the master-plan!	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
24	resident	Resident chose to live there, they need to accept the noise/parking restriction etc that goes with this. The Arc curfew should be 11.30pm not the 10pm it is after some shows.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
23	resident, worker	I feel that the town council are only concerned about visitors - they need to start looking at making the town a more practical place to get around for the people that have to live here day to day.	The masterplan seeks to address the needs of residents and visitors alike. The highway works are currently being carried out by UK Power Networks (new gas supply) and Suffolk County Council. There is a balance to be struck between a greater level of disturbance over a shorter period of time or less disturbance, but over a longer period.	No change required
00	na atalas to sure t		The meaternian exclusion of the local states o	No share required

32	resident		• • • • •	No change required
			they fulfill an important function for a section of	
			the town's population. The format of tourist	
			information provision is an operational matter	
			and not one for the masterplan. Whilst the	
			Borough does not intend to create more toilet	
			facilities within the town centre, we will be	
			examining initiatives including working with	
			businesses and developers to seek opportunities	
			to improve provision of publicly accessible	
		Need to get rid of some of the awful looking night clubs and cheap eating places. Tourist	toilets, as set out in Character Area 9.	
		information an absolute must More public toilet facilities please		
33	worker, visitor from		The Borough will work with Suffolk County	No change required
			Council and public transport service providers to	
			improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	
			and around the town centre for users of all forms	
			of transport.	
		anyone in the vicinity.		
34	resident, worker			No change required
		Apart from parking and toilets mentioned previously, the traffic calming measures need to be such		
		that they do not damage the cars, I have already had to have new springs because of the calming	situation wich needs addressing.	
		measure around my estate and on the other estates when I go to visit friends, and while I agree		
		that these measures are needed, I feel they should be such that they don't damage the cars, after		
		all the drivers and passengers also bring money to the town as well.		
35				No change required
			suggestions. All options will be explored.	
		dependency on cars. This will also lead to increased health benefits and reduced air pollution.		
		Frome in Somerset has started renting out electric bikes for people to use as the area is so hilly.		
		Maybe this would be a possibility in Bury? If people could try out a bike and be given a safe route		
		to cycle on, this would hopefully encourage people to become more active. There would need to		
		be secure bike storage though.	-	
36	visitor from more		Agree.	No change required
07		are other towns.		
37		Don't try these cycle routes that take part of the road and run for a few yards - dangerous for all	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		concerned - all cycle routes should be cycles only with their own traffic lights and made an offence		
		to ignore them - don't suddenly join cycle paths to raods as that's when collisions occur and keep		
20	rooidont worker	traffic lights away from exits at roundabouts The masterplan should also consider the impact of these proposals and enhancements on the	The wider erec is cleachy being addressed by	No obongo required
38	resident, worker		, , , ,	No change required
			Suffolk County Council to accommodate the	
			growth of the town as identified in the adopted	
		effective traffic management and traffic flow routes to minimise congestion and disruption to the town. It would be folly to develop a well-managed and attractive town centre, only to find that the	Local Plan.	
		edge-of-town traffic disruption discourages visitors.		
39	resident		Agreed.	No change required
39		town remains and will continue to be a place of destination. Access to the town, car parking and	Ayreeu.	
		alternative access to the town centre, will also continue to be very challenging items. New ideas		
		for providing access will be essential if the current form of getting to the town - the car - continues		
		as now. I think that changes to the car as a means of transport will be far-reaching, but they are as		
		yet unknown! Alternatives need to be thought about.		
40		Working with landlords and tenants to maintain the outside of shops/signage/buildings so that they	Agreed	No change required
-0		don't become dirty or damaged and enforcing this standard.	nyiocu.	
41	visitor from less than		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
T 1		See comments above	response to previous comments.	
42	resident, prefer not			No change required
	to say		models will be developed as individual projects	
		The information needs more pictures - lots of descriptions are hard to understand.	progress.	
ļ			P. • 3. • • • •	1 I

			-	-
43	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre. The format	
			of tourist information provision is an operational	
			matter and not one for the masterplan.	
		Dring back the bug station and conitalize it with reintroducing a towist information contrologic	matter and not one for the masterplan.	
	an el de a t	Bring back the bus station and capitalise it with reintroducing a tourist information centre again.	The selection for a second second	No. ali an na maina d
44	resident	Park & Ride is the only option, to allow more cars into an already conjected town center, is	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
4.5		complete madness, it just will not work		
45	resident	More electric car charging points needed	Agreed.	No change required
46	resident		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
1			any displaced parking and provide additional	
		It is essential that a Park and ride scheme should be provided for out of town visitors and that you	parking. This is addressed under Character	
		do not drive "locals" away by reducing town centre parking	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
47	resident	Any development in or bordering character areas 5,9 will need to be able accommodate more	Full flood management plans will be put in place	No change required
		frequent flash flooding as climate change becomes more extreme and major development is	before any redevelopment progresses in	
		planned in the larch catchment upstream from town, so many supposedly sustainable drainage	vulnerable areas.	
		schemes have proved to be inadequate.		
48	resident	Increase pedestrianisation, preserve and encourage the market at all costs.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
40 49		increase pedestrianisation, preserve and encourage the market at an costs.		No change required
49	resident, worker		If you are referring to Smiths Row, it vacated	No change required
			The Market Cross to relocate at the former	
			Stationmaster's House at the railway station. To	
			the best of our knowledge, that is still their	
			intention. The gallery at Angel Hill, operated by	
			the cathedral closed due to financial constraints.	
		Where is our main art gallery??		
50	resident			No change required
			are outside the remit of the masterplan and the	
			control of public bodies. We work, however, to	
			encourage a wide range of businesses within the	
		We should try and increase the number of shop trading in different goods.	town centre.	
51	worker		Agreed.	No change required
		Firstly I want to see some activity coming out of this process rather than it just being an exercise in		
		discussions, meetings and consultation but with no positive outcomes. Bury currently has a lovely		
		town centre and one that is thriving so I am delighted that the Council (and other partners) want to		
		work together to ensure this remains the case for the forseeable future. There, of course needs to		
		be some give and take and commercial partners need to be identified to deliver on some of our		
		ambitions but there is a lot we have got right but additionally there are some key issues we need		
		to address sooner rather than later if we are to maintain our position as having one of the loveliest		
		town centres in East Anglia		
52	resident, retired		Pedestrian priority and provision is addressed in	No change required
			all Character Areas including pedestrianisation	
			of key areas included in Character Area 1.	
		Please concentrate on people (i.e. pedestrians and cyclists) instead of cars		
53	resident, working	ו וכמשל לטווטטוונימנים טוו אבטאוב נו.ב. אבטבשנוומוש מווע לאטושנש) וושנפמע טו למוש	The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required
55	resident, working		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	
1			Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
			Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
			change in response to market forces but we will	
			have no influence over this.	
		Leave it alone as it has already been ruined enough		
54	visitor from less than			No change required
1	10 miles away,		on the issues and priorities identified during the	
	retired	I hope you will take notice of what the public would like as most councils ignor the publics views	Issues and Options public consultation.	
		and only take vested interests into account espically when momey is involed		

ration to delete reference to	
g the bus station and replace with a	
g the bus station and replace with a	
iration to provide bus facilities to	
eds of the town.	
required	
required	
required	
equired	
equired	
required	
oquirou	
equired	
required	
required	
equired	
required	
cquircu	
required	
	1

55	resident	Better flow of traffic needs to be sorted out, more parking areas, cheaper parking	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
55 56	resident	A good start, however, the thinking should be a bit more innovative. SCC could lead the way with		No change required
50	Tesident	a bit more courage. Some suggestions are on other questions I filled out.	response to previous comments.	
57	resident			No change required
		WHERE ARE THE NEW PARKING, PARK AND RIDE, TRAFFIC FLOW?TO MAINTAIN LET ALONE MAINTAIN	redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
58	resident		Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		Only to emphasise the need to remove clutter, A-boards etc. (as mentioned under movement issues and options) Important both aesthetically and to prevent obstruction - as a user of a mobility scooter A-boards and obstructions at dropped curbs are a real problem.		
59	resident	No.		No change required
60	resident, worker	Reduce pollution and traffic - both rat runs and those who circle round town trying to find parking. Provide more public toilets - close to good tourist information centres. Better cycle parking provision - not just random cycle spaces where you lock up your bike, but a place that minimises the 'footprint' of the stored bike. We're short of space, use it intelligently. Bring the information	The Borough will work with Suffolk County Council and public transport service providers to improve the convenience of getting in to, out of and around the town centre for users of all forms of transport. Whilst the Borough does not intend to create more toilet facilities within the town centre, we will be examining initiatives including working with businesses and developers to seek opportunities to improve provision of publicly accessible toilets, as set out in Character Area 9. Character Area 9 also includes an aspiration	
		about the town into the smart-phone age - have a fast, wide area (5 miles around the town) network that informs people about the town and the facilities available - and is relevant to each particular visitor/user/resident.	to improve cycle access and facilities, including secure parking.	
61	resident, retired	Just about everything I mentioned during the consultation appears to have been covered somewhere or the other, so I'm feeling quite satisfied at present. The language presently used in all of the 'aspirations' is (necessarily, I have to admit) in pretty general / non specific language so commenting further about finer points is probably not helpful. The plan, which I think is well put together and very well presented (congratulations to all involved) is very ambitious and will be difficult to attain whilst funding is so tight. That said, a plan with ambitions like this is very necessary to drive forward and maintain our thriving town trying to maintain its looks and 'feel' whilst incorporating development and lifestyle changes. I always feel proud when I tell people where I live (in the centre of Bury) and I have never heard anything other than a very positive response. Our town in held in high regard by others. We must maintain this.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
62	resident, worker	im just a bit suprised that nowhere do i see anything regarding parking and access . several areas are to have parking reduced or limited , but there is no mention of any type of shuttle service , or increase in parking .	We recognise there is a need to both replace any displaced parking and provide additional parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9. Consideration of a shuttle service is an aspiration under Character Area 4 and across the town centre.	No change required
63	resident	- Cycle network planning for the town - Better bus network and possible relocation of the St Andrew's Street station to the Train Station to create a transport hub.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required

61         resident, retred         No change required         No change required           64         resident, retred         Inark you provide or packing working with the constraints or reading working with all signing or required         No change required           60         vorker         Moot negree work work help. You betrayed our touts by failing to ensure that the developer and the constraints or reading working with the constraint of the significant and signi	 					
Image: Section in the section of the sectin of the section of the section	No change required			resident, retired	64	
8         property wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is trutive and there developers provided (which is notified in the form for over 100 participation a sproper, wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is trutive and there developers provided (which is notified in the form for over 100 participation a sproper, wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is trutive and there developers provided (which is notified in the form for over 100 participation a sproper, wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is trutive and there developers provided (which is notified in the form for over 100 participation a sproper, wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is trutive and there developers and the sproper sprovided (which is notified in the form for over 100 participation a sproper (which has not been addressed in this participation and participation andifferent andifferent and partitent and participation and partic						
Image: Second						
Image: Section of the active sectin active secting active secting section of the active		to improve provision of publicly accessible				
84         Performance loss would help. You betrayed our trust by failing to ensure that the developers provided (whether and second the provided in the second the second to reach approved black through these would have been addressed in this parts and cyclists but not encough about those of the motions: to would help. You betrayed our trust by failing to ensure that the developers provided (whether and second the provided in the second to the second to the motions: the second to the provided in the second to the second pulsage experiment of the provides in the second to the second pulsage experiment of the provides in the second to the second pulsage experiment of the second to the second to the second pulsage experiment of the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the second to the s		toilets, as set out in Character Area 9. It is				
Image: Second second help: You betrayed our trust by failing to ensure that the developers provide comments in a proper, wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use how hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is further and and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket is the second the balan is the second the balance is the second the secon		unfortunate that the developers of the arc were				
Image: Second second help: You betrayed our trust by failing to ensure that the developers provide comments in a proper, wide connection between The Act and the Buttermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the bala. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use how hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is furthe and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket. It is further and and sharmed to use hyperbalance is the second the balan. Untermarket is the second the balan is the second the balance is the second the secon		unable to reach agreement with all adjoining				
Ves more locs would help. You betrayed our that by failing to ensure that the developers provided (which has a calcular) in this form for over 100 and provide in the betray fails that in the ves of the ensure that the developers provided (which has a calcular) in the four matery in the provide of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the betray fails that not enough about these of the ensure that the ensure th						
end         proper, wide connection between The Arc and the Buttmanket, it is furthe and sharmfuld to us in the provide start, narrow allows, market all services and the provides but not enough about those of the monor serves a large area that monor serves allows much advances of the interest efficience operation of the care starts area monor to a service. If the monor serves allows much advances and the buttment with the sont the monor serves allows much advances and the start of the line (source on unable and end) with the sont the monor serves allows much advances and the start of the line (source on unable and end) with the sont the monor serves allows much advances and the start of the line (source on unable and end) with the sont the monor serves allows much serves allows by care provides set of the source of the monor serves allows and the line (source on unable and end).         No change required           66         readent, worker         Mary more trees and toles. Both these will disproportionately affect vision runner and the source of the monor serves allows and to the source of the monor serves allows and the barry more trees and source of the market. As previous the source of the market Area is to support the source of the market. As previous the source of the market Area is to support the source of the market. As previous the source of the market Area is to support the source of the market Area is to support the source of the market. As previous the source of the market Area is a support on the set and advance on the source of the market. As previous the source of the market Area is a support the source of the market Area is a support the source of the market Area is a support the source of the market Area is a support the source of the market Area and the source of the market Area. A support the source of the market Area is a support the source of the market Area and the second the source of the market Area. A			Yes more loos would help. You betrayed our trust by failing to ensure that the developers provided			
Image         Image <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>						
65         worker         Much has been made of pudsatians and cyclicits but not enough about those of the motions. With the town having much additional housing, there will be increased thriftic congestion aurrounding onliging expanding conditionally, that is taken will be provide the town earlier constraints. We necessity on the second to make the taken with more second to make the taken t						
Bit         motorist. With the two having much additional housing, there will be increased training they be available and should have been dealty with more exclusely in this document.         mentioned in Character Area 1.3,5.6 and 9.           Bit         resident, worker         Many more trees are blicks. Both these will disproportionality affect valuer number and should have been dealty with more exclusely in the document.         Thank you for your positive comments.         No change required           Bit         resident.         Many more trees are blicks. Both these will disproportionality affect valuer number and should have been dealty with more exclusely in the document.         Thank you for your positive comments.         No change required           Bit         resident.         Stop the mania of emphasis on cyclists. Enforce parking restrictions.         Thank you for your positive comments.         No change required           Bit         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heart and sol of the town of the hyber holding as tamened by may popole. Improvements to be in place during 2019.         Thank you for your comments. The market will incode a delivery strategy for the aspiration.           Bit         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heart and sol of the town of the hyber holding as tamened by may popole. Improvements to be in place during 2019.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspiration.           Bit         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the neart and our popola not consorted with the need tor unpropopola.         The consorted with the popola. </td <td> No change required</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>65</td>	 No change required				65	
existing and the second base addressed in this plan. With a park and ride likely to be unviable and should have been dealt with more seriously, traffic issues will become more significant and should have been dealt with more seriously, in this document.         monitoned in Charactor Areas 1.3.5.6 and 9.           66         resident, workar         Monor trees and totals. Both these will disproportionately affect visitor number and hoppnose.         Thank you for your positive comments.         No change required           67         resident.         The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre. The Borrugh have tents and soul of the town centre. The Borrugh have tents are so tooks to balance the noeds of all users of the town centre. The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre. The resent relocation of the town centre. The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre. The resent relocation of the town centre. The force parking restrictions.         No change required         No change required           68         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre. The resent relocation of the town centre as needed outbailed with the need to report work to source and other high technology atternatives should be considered. The Open centre as needed to should avail or visule popula do conserve our historic minitor market will the any parking. Nost papele think the need to report work to source popula work should be considered. The open centre.         No change required           69         resident         It is vial that are parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. These new to sapefic and clearly defined proports.         There have bass alignificant support for 'Pay on contrea's no						
surrounding villages expanding considerably, raffic issues will become more significant and should have been deally with more sensative in this document.         No change required           66         resident         Thank you for your positive comments.         No change required           67         resident         The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all users of the two cortro. The Borogh have been provided in the Polico.         No change required           68         prefer not to say signage across the low cortro are needed but should a void cultering the street scenes to signage across the low cortro are needed but should avoid cultering the street scenes our historic on victors. The societ the read of corrowing is the write the read of proportion. The origin the street scenes our historic on victors. The societ the read of scenario the two cortro are needed but should avoid cultering the street scenes our historic on victors. The societ the read of proportion is constrained and care parking. Note specific and clearly defined proportion and development should be carefully balanced with the need for group and development should be carefully balanced with the need for group wistors from less that for the two contre.         No change required         No change required           69         resident         It is vial that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors 'on, we could have strengt or the need or group or one more spocific and clearly defined proposation for the two more strengt bar of the initit Hard copy input ply AP.         No change required           71         profer not los say for the two strents.         No change required will bapefully avoid ou						
Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document.         Image: should have been dealt with more seriously in this document with here been dealt with more series and have been dealt with more						
66         maxident, worker         Mary more trees are toilets. Both these will disproportionately affect visitor number and happiness.         Thank you for your positive comments.         No change required           67         resident         The masterplan soeks to balance the needs of the steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which uses of the town centre. The Borouse this to be in place during 2019.         No change required           68         prefer not to say         The Street Markat is the heart and soul of the town centre. The recent relacation of the town contro Peak One into the WH binn haded by thomology alternatives should be control of the precedent parking entry of the approximative should be control of the town centre. Despin control of the town centre Peak One into the WH binn haded by thomology alternatives should be control of the town centre. Despin control of the town direction to control remain about affect of the market will remain in this current location. There is an entry out or your positive comments. The market will remain in this current location. There is an entry out or your positive comments. The market will remain in this current location. There is an entry out or your positive continued current should be control be in place during 2019.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations. There is an individual projects progress.           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. Their conversions all the time between visitors 'n, we could have stay of furthout but the ticket is nearly up' or 'ny will we only have for the town centre. Despin on ward to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         No change required           71						
Image         Image <th< td=""><td> No change required</td><td>Thank you for your positive comments</td><td></td><td></td><td>66</td></th<>	 No change required	Thank you for your positive comments			66	
67       resident       The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all users of the town center. The Borough have taken the first steps to beciminalise parking anforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Pariament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.       No change required         68       prefer not to say       The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town center. The recent relocation of the town center are needed but should woid dutting in the street scene so perhaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. In frame you contaments. There is an againation in the Current Location. There is an again in its current location, There is an again in the current coefficient to even centre are needed but should woid dutting in the right development should be acredibly altender with the need to value people and conserve our the town center.       No change required         69       resident       It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between the town center. I meaded but should be acredibly up alter with the town center. There details will be provided in the town center.       No change required         70       visitor from kess than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between the administic parking group of poward.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       MORE GREEN.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be carequired town center. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required       No change requ		thank you for your positive confinents.				
all users of the town centre. The Borough have taken the first steps to decriminalise parking enforcement, which currently sits with the Police. This is a two year process as its thas to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.           68         prefer not to say currently sits with the Police. This is a two during 2019.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.           68         prefer not to say currently construct Post Office in to HwH Smith building is lamended by many pacele. Improvements to signage across the two centre are needed to should avoid cluttering the street sconsidered. The Open the continued scores of the market will remain in its cores and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The Open this to two construct are and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The Open technolog users remain about this charactery and the right direction but concerns remain about this class are specific and clearly defined proposal for the town centre. The the has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between twisters buy, we could have stays for lunch but the licket is nearly up 'or '' will we only have it wisters buy, we could have stays for lunch but the licket is nearly up 'or '' will we only have it wisters buy, we could have stays for lunch but the licket is nearly up 'or '' will we only have it wisters buy the car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between to or the use show before the car park licket trues out. 'magine how much more time and more papel would specific without a predietermined time limit! Hard copy i pub ty /A' 10 miles away.         No change required         No change required         No change required         Intervieweil	 No change required	The masterplan seeks to balance the needs of			67	
Resident         Inside the second of the town centre reserves to the town centre reserves town centres reserves town centreserves town centre reserves					•	
endrocement, which currently sits with the Folica.         This is a two year process as it has to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.           68         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre.         The Asset to go through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.           68         prefer not to say         Cherr Post Office into the WH Singh technology and the town centre are needed but should avoid duttering the street scenes on adder this the currently sits with the rest.         Thank you for your comments. The market will heread unage 2019.           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors "on, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up" or " well we only have time to no more should before the car park toket turns out. I'magine how nuch more time and work on for the same significant support for "Pay on to no more should before the car park toket turns out. I'magine how only there time to no near one should be a predistrimed time timit! Hard copy input by AP.         Their has been significant support for "Pay on consument.         No change required           70         visitor from less than 10 miles away         MORE GREEN.         If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accomment.         No change required           71         prefer not to say         Ext car parking during the master.         No change required						
Image: Stop the mania of emphasis on cyclists. Enforce parking restrictions.         This is a two year process as it has to on through an Ax of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.           68         prefer not to say         The Street Markins is the heart and soul of the town centre. The recent relocation of the town (perferse parking restrictions).         Thank you for your comments. The market will empression as praking. We support the operhaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The Open in the direction but concurses runnan about that in and car parking. Most parking. Most parking is generally moving in the right direction but concurses runnan about that in and car parking. Most parking the street scene so proceed in the direction but concurses runnan aboughe think the need to restree our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposal for the town centre.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time batween to see some more specific and clearly defined proposal for one more shop before the car park link detur usor out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by A         There has been significant support for Pay on coll have staged for lunch but the licks tis naarly or of well we only have staged this to our colleagues in Parking. Services, who will colleagues in Parking Services. Who will constrain the servis the have deventime time limit!!! Hard copy input by A						
Stop the mania of emphasis on cyclists. Enforce parking restrictions.         through an Act of Parliament. We expect this to be in place during 2019.           68         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre. The recent relocation of the town is in its current location. There is an aspiration in its current location. There is an aspiration in character Area 1 to support the captors in its current location. There is an aspiration in character Area 1 to support the diverse strenge so the market. As previously mentioned success of the market. As previously mentioned, more details will be provided in the provided in the indiverse second or parking. More papele think the need for orouble and development should be carefully balanced with the need to value people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         No change required           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the we only have time to people would pave stayed for funch but the tidket is nearly up' or ' well we only have time to people would pave stayed for funch but the tidket is nearly up' or ' well we only have time to say in the your ormanet.         No change required           70         visitor from less than         MORE GREEN.         Thank you tor your comment.         No change required           71         prefer not to say         Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.         Stop daytime delive		· · · · ·				
Image: Stop the mania of emphasis on cyclists. Enforce parking restrictions.         be in place during 2019.         be in place during 2019.           68         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heat and soul of the town centre. The recent relocation of the town centre are needed buildang is lamented by many people. Improvements on perhaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The people size smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The people size smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The people size smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be conserved um histor environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations. Character Are a 1 to support the continued success of the market. As previously mentioned, more dualis will be provided in the Delivery Plan and as individual projects progress.           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between for one more shop before the car park itcket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people suid speed wild wild wild wild wild wild wild wil						
68         prefer not to say         The Street Market is the heart and soul of the town centre. The recent relocation of the town centre are needed but should avoid cluttering the street scene so perhaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The Open Meeting on 26 July concluded that the Town Centre Masterplan is generally moving in the right direction but concerns remain about traffic and car parking. Most people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the two centre.         Thark would prove details will be provided in the conditioned will avoid cluttering the ink the need for growth and development should be candid with the reduct on value people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the two nearter.         There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit. The arconversations all the time between visitors 'on, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up' or ' well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out.' Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.         No change required           70         visitor from less than 10 miles away         MORE GREEN.         If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be change required         No change required           71         prefer not to say         Stop daytime deliveries in SLAndrews st.         If pedestrianisation will take account of this need.         No change required           73         resident         Thank you for your goositive comment.         No change required         No			Stop the mania of emphasis on cyclists. Enforce, parking restrictions			
69         resident         There has been significant support for 'Pay on historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking for ward.         No change required           69         resident         ti s vital that car parking. Function of under specific the car parking. Not the set provide in the visitors' on, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up' or ' well we only input by AP.         There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking for ward.           70         visitor from less than 10 miles away         MORE GREEN.         There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking for ward.         No change required           71         prefer not to say         Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.         If p development should be considered the so obviously gone into this plan.         Agreed.         No change required           72         resident         treaded work which has so obviously gone into this plan.         Thank you for your comment.         No change required           73         resident         Thank you for your positive comment.         No change required         No change required           74         resident         Thank you for your comment.         No change required         No change required           74         resident         Thank you for your positive comment.         No change required         No cha	 Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations				68	
signage across the town centre are needed but should avoid cluttering the street scene so perhaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The Open direction but concerns remain about traffic and car parking. Most people think the need for growth direction but concerns remain about traffic and car parking. Most people think the need for growth and development should be carfully balanced with the need to value people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.       There has been significant support the continued access of the specificant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking during the masterplan or nosultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking Services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking Services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking Services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our coalleagues in Parking Services, who will consulted during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrainstation goes ahead, deliveries would No change required No change required         71       prefer not to say       MORE GREEN.       If pedestrainstation goes ahead, deliveries would have staged for town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       No change required       No change required         72       re						
perinaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered. The Open Meeting on 26 July concluded that the Town Centre Masterplan is generally moving in the right direction but concerns remain about traffic and car parking. Most people think the need to rgrowth and development hould be carefully balanced with the need to value people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         Continued success of the market. As previously mentioned, more details will be provided in the perinety people now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         No change required           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors "on, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up" or " well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and more people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.         There has been significant support for 'Pay on consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consult all options going forward.         No change required           70         visitor from less than 10 miles away         MORE GREEN.         Thank you for your comment. Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.         No change required         No change required           72         resident         Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering						
Meeting on 26 July concluded that the Town Centre Masterplan is generally moving in the right direction but concerns remain about traffic and car parking. Most people think the need for growth and development should be carefully balanced with the need to value people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.         mentioned, more details will be provided in the Delivery Plan and as individual projects progress.         No change required           69         resident         It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors "oh, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up" or " well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.         No change required           70         visitor from less than 10 miles away         MORE GREEN.         If pedestrianisation going forward.         No change required           71         prefer not to say         Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.         If edestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.         No change required           72         resident         Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. To many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.         Agreed.         No change required						
Image: Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.       Image: Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.       Image: Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. To or many towns have been runned by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan       If pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. To or many towns have been end up this tow softworthet hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Agreed.       No change required         74       resident       Thank you for your comment.       No change required       Morage required         74       resident       Thank you for your comment.       No change required       No change required         74       resident       Thank you for your comment.       No change required       No change required						
and development should be carefully balanced with the need to value people and conserve our historic environment. People now want to see some more specific and clearly defined proposals for the town centre.       progress.         69       resident       It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors 'oh, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up' or ' well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.       There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit. Care parking during the masterpian consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consider all options going forward.       No change required         70       visitor from less than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       Thank you for your comment.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. To om any towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone in						
Image: Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.         72       resident         71       prefer not to say         72       resident         73       resident         74       resident						
Image: constraint of the town centre.       for the town centre.       Image: constraint of town centre. <th co<="" td=""><td></td><td>progress.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td></td> <td>progress.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		progress.			
69       resident       It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors "oh, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up" or " well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.       There has been significant support for "Pay on Exit consultation stages. We have passed this to our consultation stages. We have p						
It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between visitors "oh, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up" or " well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.       Exit' car parking during the masterplan consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consider all options going forward.         70       visitor from less than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       Thank you for your comment.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       Stop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required       Agreed.         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required       No change required         74       resident, retired       Fresident, retired       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74	 No change required	There has been significant support for 'Pay on		resident	69	
visitors "oh, we could have stayed for lunch but the ticket is nearly up" or " well we only have time for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.       consultation stages. We have passed this to our colleagues in Parking Services, who will consider all options going forward.         70       visitor from less than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required	no onange required	• • • •	It is vital that car parking in Bury is all Pay on Exit. I hear conversations all the time between		03	
for one more shop before the car park ticket runs out." Imagine how much more time and money people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.       colleagues in Parking Services, who will consider all options going forward.         70       visitor from less than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       Thank you for your comment.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. To or many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required						
Image: consider all options going forward.       Consider all options going forward.       Consider all options going forward.         70       visitor from less than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       Thank you for your comment.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       MORE deliveries in St Andrews st.       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required						
70       visitor from less than 10 miles away       MORE GREEN.       Thank you for your comment.       No change required         71       prefer not to say       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required						
10 miles away       MORE GREEN.         71       prefer not to say       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       Agreed.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.       No change required	 No shares required		people would spend without worrying about a predetermined time limit!! Hard copy input by AP.		70	
71       prefer not to say       If pedestrianisation goes ahead, deliveries would be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.       No change required         72       resident       Bury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       No change required         73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.       No change required	ivo change required	i nank you for your comment.			10	
be accommodated during restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere. Any pedestrianisation will take account of this need.72residentBury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.Agreed.No change required73residentThank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.Thank you for your positive comment. The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.No change required	 No obongo required	If podestrianiaation goes about deliveries would		,	71	
Image: state in the state in	no change required			prefer not to say	1/1	
Image: state in the state in						
Image: style styleStop daytime deliveries in St Andrews st.Image: style style72residentBury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.Agreed.No change required73residentThank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.Thank you for your positive comment.No change required74resident, retiredThe town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.No change required						
72residentBury St Edmunds is a wonderful town to live in, all development should be done sympathetically. Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.Agreed.No change required73residentThank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.Thank you for your positive comment.No change required74resident, retiredThe town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.No change required		pedestrianisation will take account of this need.	Chan deutine deliveries in Ct Andrews at			
Too many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master PlanToo many towns have been ruined by poor town planning and building design. The Master Plan73residentThank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.Thank you for your positive comment.No change required74resident, retiredThe town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.No change required	 No observe verying d	Armond			70	
Image: will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.       will hopefully avoid our town suffering this fate.         73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.       No change required	no change required	Agreed.			72	
73       resident       Thank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.       Thank you for your positive comment.       No change required         74       resident, retired       The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.       No change required						
74       resident, retired         74       The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	 <u></u>					
Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.			I nank you for all the hard work which has so obviously gone into this plan.			
	No change required			resident, retired	74	
Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still						
change in response to market forces but we will						
have no influence over this.		have no influence over this.				
Leave it alone!			Leave it alone!			

	-				
75	resident			No change required	
		It isn't 'cool' enough - very behind the times with regard to fashionable bars/cafe's/restaurants etc.	are outside the remit of the masterplan and the		
			control of public bodies. We work, however, to		
		sign is totally at odds with the buildings. Need to get rid of budget stores like iceland/sportsdirect	encourage a wide range of businesses within the		
		from the centre - they do not enhance the area at all (bit of a snob comment I know). anything to	town centre.		
		attract higher end shops like Reiss etc would be great.			
76	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment. There was the	No change required	
		More about this consultation. I realise that for ease of analysis you have requested Yes/No	opportunity to provide comments with each		
		answers to each group pf ideas, but in many cases my support is qualified and no option for partial	question, all of which have been analysed and		
			taken into account.		
77	visitor from more		Options for Park And Ride/Walk/Cycle provision	No change required	
	then 10 miles ower		is set out in Character Area 9.		
	ratirad	Park and drive please as soon as possible. I find it a contradiction that the Council has allowed			
		local people to block the road outside their own houses for between 50p and £1.50 a week and yet			
		I have to pay pounds for a few hours visit. There used to be residential areas with available			
		parking which have now been allocated to only those with permits and yet there are many of these			
		spaces free most of the time. I agree with the general principal of moving cars out of town centres			
		but this has to be matched with park and ride schemes operating at sensible times. If necessary			
		this to be funded by those who want to keep cars in the town - this is the principle used is many			
		previously congested European cities.			
78	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
				positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
				meet the needs of the town.	
			No proposals will be progressed without		
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
			meet the needs of the town centre.		
		Just to get it right this time and keep the bus station where it already is and make all buses use it.			
79	resident			No change required	
			project team, including consultants, organised		
			and staffed 20 public consultation events over		
			the masterplan process, including at the main		
		put a face to the project, encouraging public buy-in and supply a clear point of contact for	supermarkets, market, leisure centre and The		
		feedback.	arc.		
80	resident		The Borough have taken the first steps to	No change required	
			decriminalise parking enforcement, which		
			currently sits with the Police. This is a two year		
			process as it has to go through an Act of		
			Parliament. We expect this to be in place during		
		Bring back traffic wardens!	2019.		
81	visitor from less than		Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan	No change required	
		Parking, capacity, pricing (unless it is cheap or free you can not compete with the internet),	is designed to be a flexible framework rather	Ŭ Î	
			than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different		
			levels of growth and changing technologies.		
	and to miles analy	vehicles for all retail areas, during shopping hours. Encourage late night shopping through out the	Thank you for your suggestion.		
		year, not just Christmas? I work in the week, leaving Saturday only, when it is manically busy, you			
		need to work to even out the shoppers. there is never a queue at Amazon, There are no parking			
		charges at Amazon. I do not risk getting a parking ticket at Amazon, I am afraid this is who you are			
		up against, the world is changing and if you want to compete you need to offer something that they			
		do not, there is a lag as a technology (The Internet) really starts to make a difference to peoples			
		lives we have only had 20 years so far, there is more to come yet. You need to make the shopping			
		experience appealing and I am afraid it is not. In your plan you mention signage, can I suggest you			
		indicate on these signs how long it would take people to walk there, it has a surprisingly			
		physiological effect knowing how long it will take to get to a different Character Area.			
82	visitor from less than		Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
1	10 miles away	Morge parking and easier access for ambulatory disabled people			

00	regident		The meeternlan does not area as the removed of	Amond oppiration (
83	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to
		Leave the bus station as a working bus station, upgrade the shelters & invest in a new fleet of		meet the needs of th
		buses. Currently the worst service and filthiest buses of any town I have lived in in the UK. (have	without comprehensive solutions for providing	
			the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
0.4	rotirod	a look at Nottigham for examples of good practice).	access to the town centre.	No obongo required
84	retired			No change required
			Hill. During the Issues and Options stage there	
			was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill.	
			We have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
			facilities available nearby. We recognise there is	
			a need to both replace any displaced parking	
			and provide additional parking. This is	
			addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and	
			9. Character Area 1 includes an aspiration to	
		pedestrianising of Angel hill is a huge mistake, taking away bus station ditto, Market Square etc	accommodate Blue Badge and other parking	
		does need help, we need more long term car parks, with electronic signs that actually work, coach	nearby. If pedestrianisation goes ahead,	
		parking on angel hill is a mess, St Andrews St South needs to be one way enforced, provision for	deliveries would be accommodated during	
		blue badge holders etc needs to be looked at for access for the elderly if pedestrianised areas, its	restricted hours, as currently in place elsewhere.	
		a good start but needs more detailed input. We have to start somewhere, but banning all cars	Any pedestrianisation will take account of this	
		deliveries has not been taken into proper consideration, just banning cars and pedestrianised	need. Other successful examples of	
		everthings wont work, is not a workable solution we need a solution for Bury St Edmunds not	pedestrianisation in a historic centre include	
		modeled on Manchester or Holland or anywhere else, we need to look at other town with medieval	York and Norwich.	
		centres and what will it all cost will it enhance the town or stop lucrative tourists from coming to		
85	resident	this great Town	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
00	resident	It is important to encourage visitors who bring money into our town. There is also no doubt that		No change required
		the street markets are a vibrant attraction for both visitors and residents. Please continue to		
		support the market traders - especially those regulars who come every week of the year		
		regardless of the weather. Whilst agreeing that Bury St Edmunds is primarily a tourist town,		
		don't lose sight of what makes it unique ie that it is a medium size historic market town. Don't try		
		to emulate Cambridge or other large cities or we will lose our essential character. Most visitors		
		comment that they love the fact they can walk around the whole town visiting our historic sites,		
		green spaces and shops. It is also important to provide services and a safe, pleasant		
		environment for the residents. Too many late-night entertainment venues and extension of		
		licensing hours can destroy our peace, and cause litter and anti-social behaviour. Whilst catering		
		for the young and those who may be moving into town, please don't forget those who have lived		
		and worked here for 50 years or more.		
86	resident		-	No change required
		Essential to remove the current damaging numbers of cars who are permitted to use the centre of	Character Area 1.	
		Bury St Edmunds as a car park. The town has reached saturation point. Pollution was at		
		unacceptable levels in 2010 and by now it must be much worse. Something must be done AND		
		QUICKLY. We can taste the pollution on our tongues so what is it doing to our health?		
87	resident	We live in a beautiful town and though I understand and agree that we must move forward, it is	Agreed.	No change required
	l	important that we maintain that unique quality that makes our town different.		No sha
88	resident		We recognise the town serves a large area that	• .
			requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
		Please dont penalise drivers in this scheme. Bus services are so intermittent that some of us	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		need to use our cars and have access to inexpensive, central parking		
89	resident, worker	Please do not completely jeopardise the flow of traffic just to accomodate bicycles. Also please do	The masterplan seeks to balnce the needs of	No change required
		not introduce any more 20mph zones.	users of all forms of transport.	
90	resident	To not have a bus station in St Andrews Street only a bus stop as its not used.		No change required
91	resident, retired		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
		very concerned about any consideration for the disabled	response to previous comments.	

on to delete reference to ne bus station and replace with a	
ion to provide bus facilities to s of the town.	
uired	
uired	
uncu	
uired	
uired	
uired	
uired	
uired uired	

	_			
92	visitor from less than 10 miles away		The area including the football club is already allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We are continuing to work closely with Bury Town	No change required
			FC to help them achieve their ambition for further growth.	
		Yes don't build on the football club.		
93	resident, worker	I meant to click yes to all the questions, I think I got carried away and forgot.	Thank you for your response. All your comments will be taken into account.	
94	resident		Thank you for your comments. Neither Moyse's Hall, nor The Theatre Royal have been overlooked, but no particular issues have been raised in respect of either. It is understood that Smiths Row Gallery which vacated The Market Cross intends to relocate in the former	No change required
		Yes, please don't overlook Moyse's Hall Museum, The Theatre Royal, and the need for an Art Gallery.	Stationmaster's House at the railway station.	
95	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	While cutting traffic access t the centre it must reflect on better easier traffic movement throughout the town in all other areas, if visitors have to queue or get held up they won't come into the town in the first place	Suffolk County Council to accommodate the	No change required
96	resident, retired, other		Disagree. Abbeygate Street is on of the most popular streets in the town centre. Other successful examples of pedestrianisation in a historic centre include York and Norwich. There was strong support for pedestrianisation from the Issues and Options consultation.	No change required
97	resident, retired		The wider area is already being addressed by Suffolk County Council to accommodate the growth of the town as identified in the adopted Local Plan.	No change required
98	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away, student	Most of the ideas are good but do not stop Bury from being a town that you can briefly pop into to run errands.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
99	visitor from more than 10 miles away	When extending the retail area of the town, please can we encourage independents by creating small units which are available to rent at sensible prices. At present, Bury is not a complete identikit of every other town; let's keep it that way. It will encourage more visitors if there is something different to see. Please can you also give consideration to the fact that Bury is a market town located in a rural area. Many of us have no option but to travel to Bury by car because it is too far to cycle or walk and the bus services are not adequate. We need an adequate number of parking spaces and at sensible prices. A park and ride would be an excellent idea too. Please can we also have "pay on exit" so that we can stay as long as we like, without getting to the other end of town and then realising we need to rush back to avoid getting a fine. Sometimes you come into town for an errand putting an hour on the car and then decide you'd like to stop longer to browse or eat, but you are the other end of town and will have to walk all the way	that a vibrant town centre relies upon a variety and mix of uses and retail opportunities. The need to accommodate cars within a large rural hinterland is acknowledged and the emphasis has been on improving alternative modes of travel for those who have a choice. This includes the thousands of people who live within walking or cycling distance of the centre, but currently use a car. We do not wish to penalise those who do not have this choice. There has been significant support for 'Pay on Exit' car parking during the masterplan consultation stages. We	

-				
100	resident, retired			No change required
		The government has published plans to phase out Diesel and petrol cars. The town needs to start	be considered.	
		providing straight away more charging points - there will need to be many more, and very soon.		
		This should include a cluster of charging points at a park and ride - a double encouragement for		
		motorists to stay out of the centre of town. Cycle routes must be continuous. At the moment, too		
		many stop short, particularly at danger points such as junctions and round-abouts, just where		
		extra protection is required. There is often confusion as to whether the cycle route goes onto the		
		pavement. There are also places where some cyclists use cycle tracks on the "wrong side" of the		
		road - particularly where these use pavements. Direction arrows would help.		
101	resident, worker		Pedestrianisation of key areas of the town centre	No change required
			received strong support at the Issues and	
		thing that everyone loves about the town. That people live, shop, work, take time out cheek by jowl		
			Area 9 to preserve and enhance the character	
			and appearance of the town centre.	
		the pavement which would warrant its pedestrianisation. Within a frighteningly short space of time		
		the area would look cheap and ratty. It is the quirky nature of the town centre that people love- the		
		mismatch, the different character of each part- good and less good that make it a living, viable town. We should be preserving what we have rather than developing it into something it never		
		should be. WE have already trashed the iconic Post Office building- what will be next.		
102	resident		Posident parking is outside the remit of the	No change required
102			Resident parking is outside the remit of the masterplan.	No change required
103	resident, worker,			No change required
100	retired		policy in Vision 2031. Surrounding areas will be	
			fully taken into account when taking projects	
		town. Could this be included?	forward.	
104	resident			No shanga raquirad
		More access for cars. More shops dose not mean more customers !!!!		No change required
105	resident		If St Andrews street south is pedestrianised then	
			alternative locations for bus stops will be sought	
			that are equally convenient prior to closure.	
		There is no ention of where the buses are going, I hope they will still go behind Boots, so shopping		
		will not have to be carried far.		
106		Parking is vital, we lack the parking, and whether this is through a park and ride system or through		No change required
		adding more parking, it needs to be addressed. A park and ride system should be located in town,	be considered.	
		not in barrow or next to the council office. We need somewhere like Moretonhall, or westley, just		
		off the a14, so once you come into bury you park there and take the park and ride. This should		
		drastically reduce traffic, providing it's not overpriced.		
107	,			No change required
108	resident		Bury St Edmunds Town Council is a member of	No change required
			the masterplan working group and will be	
			included in all key decisions relating to the	
		MAP, we should be able to join our local authority partners as project leads. In particular, BSETC	•	
			projects.	
		occurs within the vicinity of these sites should include BSETC as a project lead. BSETC		
		represents the town and parish of Bury St Edmunds only and therefore its primary focus is the		
100		town itself. This is not the same for SEBC and Suffolk County Council.	The selection of the second	Ne shara na mular d
109		disabled facilities e.g. Parking and toilets in cornhill/buttermarket. The arc is too far away for	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
110		elderly/disabled.	Maintaining a boolthy town control about a set	No change required
110	visitor from less than			No change required
111	,		in attracting a variety of shops.	No change required
111	resident	I couldn't be bothered to read through the many pages of council-speak that comprises this plan,	Thank you for your comments. Your suggestions	no change required
			are included in the draft masterplan.	
		problem already - Access into town needs to be improved from various directions - Get some non-		
		council people do sort this process properly. Get experts in and don't rely on people called		
		Graham and Nigel just because they know where the Sharpies are and already have Word		
110		installed.	The improved links as greated would be	No change required
112	resident, retired			No change required
1			beneficial. The limit to the number of coffee shops will be determined by market demand.	
1				

113	resident			No change required
			examining initiatives including working with	
			businesses and developers to seek opportunities	
			to improve provision of publicly accessible	
		As previously mentioned, more toilet provision. How about a plan, as in other towns, where the	toilets, as set out in Character Area 9.	
114	visitor from less than	cafes and pubs don't restrict their toilets to customers only?	The wider area is already being addressed by	No change required
	10 miles away,		Suffolk County Council to accommodate the	
	prefer not to say	No plan to improve traffic from on and out of town. This problem seriously affect visitors and	growth of the town as identified in the adopted	
	prefer flot to say	business. Action now before other improvements. Hard Copy input by AP.	Local Plan.	
115	visitor from less than		The emphasis has been on improving alternative	No change required
	10 miles away		modes of travel for those who have a choice.	
	To miles away		This includes the thousands of people who live	
			within walking or cycling distance of the centre,	
			but currently use a car. If we can change the	
			behaviour of these people, it will free up space	
			for those who do not have this choice. However,	
			the wider area is already being addressed by	
			Suffolk County Council to accommodate the	
		You have not addressed the access in and out of the town for cars. Already queuing is	growth of the town as identified in the adopted	
		unacceptable and at times a nightmare.	Local Plan.	
116	resident			No change required
-			providers and local public bodies have very	
			limited control, in most cases none at all. The	
			Borough will, however, work with Suffolk County	
			Council and public transport service providers to	
			improve the convenience of getting in to, out of	
			and around the town centre.	
		We need better Bus service from estate to town.		
117	resident, retired		During the Issues and Options stage there was	No change required
			some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We	
			have not taken this forward as we recognise	
			Angel Hill is a valuable car park on the east side	
			of town to allow the public to make use of the	
			facilities available nearby.	
		Completely Pedestrianise Angel Hill and add more outside café seating area.		
118	resident	If the town centre is going to be pedestrianised, perhaps we could have regular bus/minibus		No change required
		services from say, the station along Northgate St., Mustow St., Angel Hill, Westgate St., St	aspiration under Character Area 4 and across	
		Andrews St South and North and back to the station, with frequent well marked stops. Out of town	the town centre. All options will be considered.	
		bus services and coaches could also use this circuit for dropping off.		
119	resident			No change required
		I notice that after 6pm many disabled parking spots remain empty- yet other visitors (evening) are	plans to limit exclusive use of these spaces by	
		frustrated by lack of parking spaces in Core area's (Angel Hill in particular). Is it possible to	Blue Badge holders	
100	raaidant	introduce scheme to release these spaces between certain hours? (Or some of them.)	The town control will need to extend to a security of	No change required
120	resident		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	no change required
			Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.	
			Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still	
			change in response to market forces but we will	
		Basically - leave it alone If it ain't broke Don't mend it.	have no influence over this.	
121	resident		Thank you for your comments. The move of the	No change required
121	i coluci li		Post Office was a commercial decision taken by	
		Better post office facilities, in a dedicated building, with seating, and writing surfaces. Better	the Post Office over which the Borough Council	
			had no control.	
	I	researce to the disclose to a topol decess and problings from the old to the new shopping control.		1I

122	resident		Thank you for your positive comments. Although the MAP will form part of	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	
			the Borough Council's official planning		
			guidance, the delivery of the priorities		
			and aspirations within it will be led by		
1		The MAP is an excellent plan which demonstrates a desire to develop and improve the town,	a range of partners. These will include		
			Suffolk County Council, developers and		
1		is essential that the delivery of the plan is managed and coordinated and developments do not	other organisations with a stake in the		
1		occur in a piecemeal way. It would be good to see, as part of the MAP, the vision for managing	town centre, alongside the Borough		
		delivery and the ideas of the steering group regarding which authority will overview the process -	Council and landowners. The roles of these		
		will it be the Town Council, St Eds District Council, Suffolk County Council or even a new	organisations will be to assess each aspiration		
		Development Corporation? So many good strategies fail through bad implementation and different			
		vested interests of various bodies	to progress individual projects.		
123	resident	With the huge increase in housing developments on the outskirts of the town, there will be a big		No change required	
125	resident	increase in the number of people wanting to get into the centre of town (especially in the	a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision under	No change required	
		weekends). Unless some kind of effective park-and-ride scheme is developed, the roads into the	Character Area 9.		
		town could become gridlocked.	Unaraulei Alea 3.		
124	rocidont		There has been significant support for 'Day or	No change required	
124	resident			No change required	
			Exit' car parking during the masterplan		
		Less pay and display parking. More pay on departure parking would result in people spending	consultation stages. We have passed this to our		
			colleagues in Parking Services, who will		
		restaurants. A splash pad or water park somewhere would also be a huge attraction for families	consider all options going forward. Thank you for		
		within Suffolk and beyond. A john lewis store where the old cornhill shopping centre is would really	your suggestions. Bury St Edmunds does not		
		enable BSE to become a day out/shopping place to rival Cambridge and Ipswich!	meet the criteria for a John Lewis store.		
125	resident		There is an aspiration in Character Area 9 to	No change required	
125					
		THE SMALL BRICKS USED FOR ROAD SURFACES AND PAVING ARE A HEALTH HAZARD.	repair and maintain pavements and walkways		
		THE MIGHT LOOK NICE WHEN FIRST PUT DOWN BUT THEY ARE NOT PRACTIVAL FOR	using sympathetic and appropriate materials.		
		LONG TERM USE.			
126	worker	Redevelopment of St Andrew Street car park with decked parking should be considered as a	Thank you for your suggestion. All options will	No change required	
			be considered.		
1		more car parking in Bury is becoming essential.			
127	resident		The town centre will need to adapt to a growth of	No change required	
			Bury St Edmunds and the surrounding areas.		
			Even if we do nothing, the town centre will still		
			change in response to market forces but we will		
			have no influence over this.		
		Leave it alone.			
128	resident, worker		The highway works are currently being carried	No change required	
_ <b>_</b>		Any more major roadworks will need careful management. We have had the inconvenience of the	out by Suffolk County Council. There is a		
		Cullum Rd roundabout works for the last three months and now we have the Spread Eagle work to	balance to be struck between a greater level of		
			disturbance over a shorter period of time or less		
			disturbance, but over a longer period. We		
		masterplan can the knock on for for parking in residential areas please be considered. As the	recognise there is a need to both replace any		
		quite badly affected. If people are coming into the town, either for work or leisure they need to be	displaced parking and provide additional		
			parking. This is addressed under Character		
100	raaidant	encouraged to use designated parking facilities, not just park outside people's houses.	Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	No chonge required	
129	resident		Blue badge parking is a key requirement that will	<b>a</b> 1	
			be considered when carrying out a viability study		
			of all aspirations that affect or influence parking		
			provision.Character Area 1 includes an		
			aspiration to accommodate Blue Badge and		
		Where are disabled drivers able to park?	other parking nearby.		
130	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
		around the main hub of the town. Make sure there is a good amount of waste litter bins to help			
		keep the town clean and tidy.			

131	resident			No change required	
			seating in Character Area 9. There are		
			alsoaspirations in this Character Area to repair		
			and maintain pavements and walkways using		
			sympathetic and appropriate materials and also		
			to optimise access into and around the area for		
			people with disabilities and mobility difficulties.		
			This could be achieved by addressing issues		
			such as dropped kerbs, reducing street 'clutter',		
			surfacing and access to shops and businesses.		
		disable people			
132	resident, worker		All options need to be considered.	No change required	
133	resident, worker			No change required	
			crossings. All options should be considered.		
		street are essential both are hazardous at the moment			
134	resident		Consideration of a shuttle service is an	No change required	
		Dether they Ded. 0 Dide, how should a final structure has reade. Dow Mandau, As well I'll	aspiration under Character Area 4 and across		
		Ratiler than Fark & Ride, now about a circular town bus route - Rath Meadow- Angel Hill-	the town centre.		
		Churchgate Street - Thaverse- Parkway - Kaliway Station - Kali Meadow			
135	resident		Consideration of a shuttle service is an	No change required	
			aspiration under Character Area 4 and across		
			the town centre.		
136	resident		Consultees have been broadly representative of	No change required	
		the elderly. This has its place however need to understand different needs for all sections of	the population of Bury St Edmunds and the		
		society.	surrounding area.		
137	resident, retired	Make sure there is enough reasonably priced parking the ensure people help coming to Bury St.	Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
		Edmunds.			
138	resident, retired			No change required	
139	resident	Love the new plans, joining up the different areas is a fantastic way to improve things. The town	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required	
		centre is already lovely but the parts in-between the nice areas are a bit scruffy and disjointed.			
		This is great!			
140	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
		Park and ride to keep visitors coming and not price them out with high and unsatisfactory parking			
141	resident, worker		This is explained in the introductory text and the	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	
			explanation of the MAP. In addition, a delivery		
			plan (the who, what, how and when) will take the		
			masterplan to the next stage of delivery. There		
			is no reference to hanging baskets in the		
			document.		
142	resident, worker			No change required	
	,		sources including private investment as well as		
			funding by public bodies. No projects will		
			proceed without being fully assessed for value		
			and deliverability, but the masterplan has been		
			developed with expert advice that all aspirations		
			are realistic and deliverable.		
143	resident, worker	More parking for people working in the town centre needs to be provided, more long term parking		No change required	
140	TUSICEIL, WUIKEI		• •	÷ .	
			requires access by car. Provision for car users is		
			mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.		
		building more houses with no proper solution to the town centre parking is not good. Telling the			
		public to use buses and trains isnot a viable option. Cost of trains too expensive, buses do not run			
		frequent enough to make them an option either.			
144	resident, worker	How about pulling down the building between the arc (top shop end) and the buttermarket, which	This building belongs to a private third party and	No change required	
			it is not within the gift of the council to remove it.		
		making it a place to sit and relax in the centre of town. This would also create a wide and very			
	1	obvious link between the arc and the town centre.			
,					
145	resident	General maintenance and enhancement for access and leisure - pavements, benches, traffic limitation and serious control	Thank you for your comments.	No change required	

-		·			
146	resident, worker	Think cycle storage like the type at the railway station should be placed on the edge of car parks and be made available for free as a way of encouraging people to cycle instead of driving. Plus here it would be less of an eyesore. Would also like to see hopper style bus service running from Railway station and Ram Meadow. Also think park and ride feasibility/ trigger point at which it would.be feasible needs.spellings out.	and facilities including secure parking in Character Area 9. There is also an aspiration to review the feasibility of a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision under Character Area 9 and this review, when completed, will provide full information which will be publicly available. Consideration of a shuttle service is an aspiration under Character Area 4 and across the town centre.		
147	resident, retired	Make sure that you keep the medieval parts of the town well in evidence as they give the town a unique character. In short do not ruin the town with the new plans. Do give thought to parking at the railway station and keeping some form of centralised bus station. You want to bring people into the town and many of them will want to leave again so this should be made possible by all forms of transport - public and private. The town benefits from Moyses Hall museum, Abbey Gardens, Cinemas and concert and theatre venues Could a space be found in the new plans for an art	We are very aware that many areas of the town have strong historic value and this will be protected. Borough Council colleagues are working with Network Rail and train service providers to seek to address the issue of parking at the rail station. In order for the masterplan to meet the requirements to be a Supplementary Planning Document and therefore become part of the Borough Council's official planning guidance, it is unable to specify sites for development or redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework for individual projects to come forward.Further detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.		
148	resident		Thank you for your comments.	No change required	
149	resident, worker		•	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	

150	resident, worker		Disagree. Pedestrianisation of Cornhill and	No change required
			Buttermarket was strongly supported in the	
			Issues and Options stage, to which 73% of	
			respondents described themselves as residents	
			of Bury St Edmunds. Abbeygate Street is on of	
			the most popular streets in the town centre.	
			Other successful examples of pedestrianisation	
			in a historic centre include York and Norwich.	
			The consultations were publicised through local	
			press including the East Anglian Daily Times,	
			social media, local radio and via the Borough's	
			and partners' websites. Our Bury St Edmunds,	
			the BID, are members of the working group and	
			publicised the consultations to all its member	
			businesses. A leaflet was distributed to all	
			23,000 addresses within Bury St Edmunds in the	
			Spring. In total, 20 public consultation events	
			were held over the two consultation periods,	
			including at the main supermarkets, library,	
		10001, $1010110100000000000000000000000000000$	markets and leisure centre. We are sorry you	
		get our function don't public veryone out to the bateline areas, the shop recepcits will fold you need to	feel the consultation was not publicised	
		listen to the shop keepers, they are not happy, in fact in St. John's Street I found 3 shopkeepers	sufficiently.	
		who had never heard of the new town plan speak to the shopkeepers about the pedestrianisation		
		of the Cornhill, they are not happy - they know they will lose business thank you for listening		
151			It is hoped that a new occupier can be found	No change required
			soon.	
	worker, visitor from			No change required
	more than 10 miles		in Bury St Edmunds in the Spring, giving people	
	away		information about the masterplan consultation	
			periods and inviting them to access the	
			questionnaires either online or at the information	
			points and consultation events across the town.	
150		have their say, and not just a select few.		
153	worker		Thank you for your comment. Please see	No change required
454		• •	response to previous comments	
154	resident			No change required
455			centre to thrive.	Ne shara na maina d
	visitor from more		The council has no control over the rents	No change required
	than 10 miles away,		charged by the owners of property to their	
150		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	tenants.	No change required
156	resident			No change required
			does not mention any specific age range as it is a high level document and will aim to balance	
		significant omission. I would like to see the provision of more green/open spaces as an aspiration		
157	resident		Thank you for your comments. Westley Road is	No change required
157	ICOLUCIIL		outside the masterplan area.	
		growing in the middle of the path on corner of Highbury Crescent Westley Road.	indiande line masterplan alea.	
158	resident		Thank you for your comments. We are working	No change required
100			closely Suffolk County Council to improve the	
			convenience of getting in to, out of and around	
			the town centre for users of all forms of	
			transport.	
		Luck !		
159	resident, worker		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
100		you are reducing car access. The bus routes are complex and very long/doubling back on selfs	Thank you for your comments.	
		(e.g. from Appledown Drive to Town centre). Currently it fells like the town want to separate		
		Moreton Hall from the centre, south and west. I am sure Mildenhall estates feel similar. Town		
		access is appalling, restricted and congested from these 2 areas		
1		access is appaining, restricted and congested north these 2 areas		

	-			
160	visitor from less than		Disagree, this is the approach which created the	No change required
	10 miles away,		barrier to movement in the first instance.	
	retired		Dualling of the road would create significant	
			environmental damage including demolition of	
		If you want to grow business in the town centre, plan for road capacity improvements. Ideally the	people's homes and the ecology of the water	
		Parkway dual carriageway should extend to the A14 junction. Whilst you rightly look to improve	meadow and would not address the problems	
		pedestrian access, such provisions will adversely effect ease of car access. Plans must ensure	created by the junctions. The option was ruled	
			out by a government inspector.	
161		We need bus and taxi access especially in St. Andrews st and it needs to be policed also more	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
		taxi ranks.		
162	resident, retired	As set west and and the laboration of a should be assessed and This sould not form the		No change required
		An east-west park and ride/shuttle service should be reconsidered. This could run from the	aspiration under Character Area 4 and across	
			the town centre. All options will be considered.	
		local parking issues), via Risbygate St and the ARC, St Andrews St North, the railway station,		
		Angel Hill and thence to a terminus in the Rougham Road area, and return. There is also a need		
		for clearer branding for the town (a job for the DMO?), more toilets, a properly-policed coach stop on Angel Hill, and (in my dreams) a reinstated Tourist Information Centre.		
163	worker	No	Thank you for your response.	No change required
163	resident, worker		Thank you for your response.	No change required
10-1		Improvements to signage across the town centre are needed but should avoid cluttering the street	Thank you for your suggestions.	
		scene so perhaps smart phone and other high technology alternatives should be considered and		
		encouraged. The Tourist information points are vital, both at the modern side of town at The Apex		
		and on Angel Hill Bury St Edmunds should be viewed through the year as the town is very		
		different in the summer to the winter Brexit should also be considered as many retailers are		
		finding the rising costs are making them close their doors Bury St Edmunds has a great reputation		
		for music and the arts and this could be further developed The car parking signage should be		
		renamed ling term, medium and short term and not by the names of the car parks as that is		
		confusing (could be as well) Hard copy input by AP.		
165	resident, retired		Thank you for your response. Please see	No change required
			response to previous comments. The personal	
			information requested is so we can check we are	
			reaching a true representation of the residents of	
			Bury St Edmunds and surrounding areas, and	
			amend our approach accordingly should we not	
			be doing so. All information and comments	
		Please see my comments above Also I feel rather taken aback to see the questions nos. 34, 35,		
		and 36 in your survey, and feel that you absolutely should not be asking for this kind of information	attributed to any individual.	
		in this context.		
166	resident			No change required
			requirements to be a Supplementary Planning	
			Document and therefore become part of the	
			Borough Council's official planning guidance, it	
			is unable to specify sites for development or	
			redevelopment. Rather it provides a framework	
		no provision for art galleries or open art spaces. No mention of encouraging, supporting or	for individual projects to come forward.Further	
			detail will be contained in the Delivery Plan.	
		visitors access Bury's inspirational expanding retail empire if close by vehicle access is not		
407		provided for? Accessing the town on foot or by cycle is not accessible to all.	For the south second for so	No shara na maina d
167		Resources are critical and yet do not feature in this document. What scale of resources are being	u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u	No change required
			including private investment as well as funding	
			by public bodies. This is set out in the 'Delivering	
		significant resources being provided by partners? Are developers contributing to the cost of	the MAP' section. CIL is not applicable in St	
			Edmundsbury.	
		pedestrianisation in key areas (ie Buttermarket, Abbeygate St.) and improvements to the public realm, the vision will not succeed.		
168		Better signage in the centre of town often people can't find the abbey gate or they cant find Ram	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
100		Meadow carpark as there are insufficient signs once in the town centre		
		INCOLVE COLVERTE DE LE LESUINCETT SIGLE VICE IL LE TUMI CETTE		

160	visitor from loss than		We recognize the town comics a large area that	No shange required
169	visitor from less than		We recognise the town serves a large area that	No change required
	10 miles away		requires access by car. Provision for car users is	
		Please think about the needs of those who cannot access the town by public transport - we need	mentioned in Character Areas 1,3,5,6 and 9.	
		accessible, adequate and inexpensive parking facilities.		
170	resident		Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
170	Tesideni	1) Absolutely no development in our green spaces adjoining our ancient waterways. 2) Increase	Thank you for your suggestions.	No change required
		and improve pedestrianised areas to include St John's St. 3) Remove lateral vehicular access to		
		Abbeygate St from High and Lower Baxter St. 4) Fastrack parking enforcement to local		
		authorities immediately. 5) Provide parking at our train station through a CPA if necessary. 6)		
		Listen to what we are telling you and then act upon our wishes please and not vested interests.		
171	resident, retired	Listen to what we are tening you and then act upon our wisnes please and not vested interests.	Existing policies contained within the Local Plan	No change required
17.1		Residents and visitors find Bury St Edmunds attractive because it has a unique character which is	require all new development to recognise and	No change required
		appealing. The fact that most of the buildings within the town centre are low level is a major	respect local characteristics (Policy DM2 Joint	
		contributing factor in my opinion. There should be a policy to restrict the height of new buildings in	Development Management Policies Document).	
		the town centre.	Development Management Policies Document).	
172	resident, worker	I like it mostly, as it is. Skinner St is an issue which you are taking up and arc to main shopping	Thank you for your comment.	No change required
		centre.		
173	resident		Disagree. We recognise the town serves a large	No change required
			area that requires access by car. Provision for	
			car users is mentioned in Character Areas	
		The plan seems to suggest an attack on the motorist.	1,3,5,6 and 9.	
174	resident		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	
			the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus
			for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to p
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the
			No proposals will be progressed without	
			comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest	
			possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to	
			meet the needs of the town centre.	
		RETAIN BUS STATION ENTIRELY!		
175	worker		Thank you for your comments.	No change required
		The car parking spaces machines have not worked correctly for years. It is sad that something like		
		the bollard that has been pushed over near Palmers on Abbeygate street has not been repaired		
		and has been that way for weeks making the town look shoddy. Yet we are contemplating major		
		expenditure on the Masterplan. Fix the small things that don't cost a lot of money first and people		
		would have faith in the ability of the council to take on large projects.		

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	

176	prefer not to say		Thank you for your comments and suggestions.	No change required	
			There is an aspiration to review the feasibility of		
		Park & Ride. An innovative and imaginative approach to P&R needs to be taken, one which	a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision. All		
		recognises that it forms only part of the environmental and town centre living experience for all of	options will be explored, but no option will		
		us. Safe pedestrian routes, cycle lanes, reduced car access, controlled shop deliveries and	progress unless it is valuable, affordable and		
		electric or low emission vehicles are all part of the broader issue. • P&R is not just about moving	deliverable.		
		visitors from an out of town car park to the town centre in a big bus (diesel?). We would need			
		more than one park. The bus(s) should not only take visitors to the town centre, but there needs to			
		be other routes to places of work - factory workers or shop workers are an obvious group. • The			
		buses can be a variety of sizes and this would reflect on the usual or expected passenger			
		numbers. In Reykjavik, the central P&R site acts as a bus hub to distribute people across the city.			
		• P&R buses must be reliable and operate to a timetable which meets the needs of users, not just			
		bus companies. The sites and the buses must be clean, safe and accessible to all. • From an			
		environmental perspective, the buses or mini-buses should be electric or at least initially low			
		emission. • P&R sites should incorporate safe and well signed paths to enable people to walk, not			
		just use the bus. Cycle routes as well as safe and secure cycle parks provided on the P&R site.			
		Allow and encourage businesses to access the P&R facilities with their own vehicles and bus their			
		own workers in and out of town. For example Greene King might have its own mini-bus, the list is			
		endless. In Cambridge local building firms collect their workers (plus tools) from the P&R sites and			
		take them into the town centre. • Reduce the congestion outside school gates by encouraging			
		schools to have their own mini-buses and collect (and return) children from the P&R. Recognise			
		that we are a rural area and parents have to drive in from the surrounding villages, but they only			
		need to go as far as the P&R. This happens already with the P&R sites and schools in Cambridge.			
		The lack of congestion and environmental improvement would encourage more children to walk			
		etc • School or business mini-buses would have legal access to bus lanes and sensors to operate			
		automated rising bollards or security gates. Every school or business bus could be 12 – 15 car			
		journeys saved or prevented. • Retail outlets within the town centre should be authorised to offer			
		shopping pick up areas within the P&R, so that shoppers can bus or walk into town, buy items and			
		have their purchases delivered back to their car.			
177	resident, retired		Thank you for your comments. The masterplan	Amend aspiration to delete reference to	
				redeveloping the bus station and replace with a	
			but it does mention opportunities for its	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
				meet the needs of the town.	
			misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed		
			without comprehensive solutions for providing		
			the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian		
		KEEP THE BUS STATION. DON'T PLANT TOO MANY TREES. USE THE RIGHT PAVING.	access to the town centre.		
178	resident, retired	REEF THE BOS STATION. DON'T FEARLY TOO MANT TREES. USE THE RIGHT FAVING.	Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan	No change required	
110			is designed to be a flexible framework rather	no change required	
			than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different		
		Intelligent Park & Ride would make some of the traffic and parking issues much easier to handle.	levels of growth and changing technologies.		
		Please think sufficiently far ahead, including the impact of moving to all electric vehicles in the	Thank you for your suggestion.		
		next couple of decades.	Thank you for your suggestion.		
179	resident	Park & Ride essential - electric vehicles please. Signage around the town currently rather poor. A	Thank you for your comments	No change required	
119	resident	big effort is needed on this.		no change required	
180	resident		There has been significant support for 'Pay on	No change required	
100		Due to Bury's success we know parking is at a premium and needs to be addressed in the short	Exit' car parking during the masterplan	no onange required	
		and long term. Ideas around paying on exit, could be a helpful measure to consider. Further to	consultation stages. We have passed this to our		
			a i		
			colleagues in Parking Services, who will		
		plan, with the hope of greater pedestrianisation of the town resulting in the disincentivisation of	consider all options going forward. The		
		cars. This and the two needs may be something worth reconsidering. For future redesigns, to	masterplan seeks to balance the needs of all		
			users of the town centre, recognising that		
		around St John's Street, could help to enhance the area.	compromise will be required to achieve this.		
	1	ןמוטעווע סג סטוור א סערפני, נטעוע חפוף גט פווואווניפ גוופ אופא.			

181		It looks like you have put the local pedestrian and cyclist at the heart of these plans, which is thoroughly commendable. Holland did this in the 1970s on the principle that if a five year-old and a ninety-five year-old can both access areas safely, then everyone else will be able to manage. It worked for the Dutch and should work similarly here if you hold your nerve and stick to your principles. Good luck.		No change required
		The plans do not include the health services nor the educational services presumably as their locations are outside of the town centre area. But the strategic developments of those major services may have significant impact on the town centre. Nor have we built in consideration of the strategy for retail development (eg the impact of IT on the purchasing online services). For example, the schools reorganisation must result in some premises becoming available. The	Thank you for your suggestions. The masterplan is designed to be a flexible framework rather than a rigid blueprint, able to respond to different levels of growth and changing technologies. The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. Effects and influences on and from surrounding areas will be fully taken into account when taking projects forward.	
183		As already stated we are a local business in Abbeygate Street that employs 10 local people on full and part time contracts. We rely on passing footfall to bring in new customers who we hope will visit again. The plans main emphasis seems to be on the ARC and town centre which I am sure will be extremely nice. I am also sure that this may encourage visitors to the town centre and the ARC. However, I am worried that we, at this end of town, could well suffer. That can have a knock-on effect on jobs. We already pay a premium to be in the grid and the associated high rates that go with it. The closure of Ram Meadow will also impact as I am sure that new passing	Car Park. Any redevelopment must maintain at least the existing number of parking spaces. In addition, new development in the area will	No change required
184		I think that one problem with examining the town centre in isolation is that possible solutions to some of the issues by considering locations/sites outside the town centre is not possible e.g. need.	The boundary of the masterplan is set by the policy in Vision 2031. Effects and influences on and from surrounding areas will be fully taken into account when taking projects forward. There is an aspiration to review the feasibility of a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character Area 9.	No change required
	worker	I would like to see parking remaining in the Buttermarket. Pedestrian use is light other than on market days and short term parking is important to many using town sevices.		No change required
	worker, visitor from less than 10 miles away	Please see previous notes	Thank you for your response. Please see response to previous comments.	No change required

187	resident, retired	Please seriouusly consider PARK & RIDE outside the town this will be essential for the future. Traffic along parkway etc. is a nightmare & drivers drive far too fast especially at the roundabouts. I think that on the whole the proposals in this document are sensible except for bus station and football ground. Please be aware that more & more people from the Cambridge area are shopping in Bury they say it is a cheaper, pleasant environment & they can get there by bus - but say parking & traffic are a nightmare	There is an aspiration to review the feasibility of a Park and Ride/Walk/Cycle provision in Character Area 9. The masterplan does not propose the removal of the bus station, but it does mention opportunities for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to the town centre. The area including the football club is already allocated in Vision 2031 for redevelopment. We are continuing to work closely with Bury Town FC to help them achieve their ambition for further growth.	No change required
188	resident, retired	If Bury is to maintain its attractiveness to residents and visitors much can be achieved by the simple common-sensemeasures outlined in your MAP Section"Place Issues and Options" e.g. improving signage and lighting, centrally located toilets, repairing and maintaining pavement surfaces and additional seating.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
189	resident	Good accessible public toilets, situated in town centre. If you want to attract visitors and provide facilities for residents this is essential	Thank you for your comments.	No change required
190	resident	It is a great pity that these excellent aspirations exist, yet the same people responsible are quite	The WSOH is outside the remit of the masterplan.	No change required
191	resident	Our HISTORY must be protected and preserved. The very special cobbled surface of SKINNER STREET must be preserved as it is, with all its ups and downs. Repairs must use original materials - not cement mortar. It should not be "improved", just carefully restroed where it is damaged.	Thank you for your comments. The aspiration in relation to Skinner Street relates to renovation rather than remioval of the historic surfacing.	No change required
192	resident, student	DO NOT CLOSE THE BUS STATION. Reinstate the information office and the electronic signs. Smarten it up, Park & Ride/shuttle bus linking stations & car parks. Joined up thinking. An Arts Centre for Visual Arts, exhibitions etc & a chance to show the council art colelction & clocks This town needs an Arts centre.Education, leisure, prestige, culture, health.	Thank you for your comments.	Amend aspiration to or redeveloping the bus positive aspiration to meet the needs of the
193	other	Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 28 July 2017 which was received by Natural England on 28 July 2017. Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development. <b>Natural England does not consider that this Town Centre Masterplan poses any likely risk or opportunity in relation to our statutory purpose, and so does not wish to comment on this consultation.</b> The lack of comment from Natural England should not be interpreted as a statement that there are no impacts on the natural environment. Other bodies and individuals may wish to make comments that might help the Local Planning Authority (LPA) to fully take account of any environmental risks and opportunities relating to this document. If you disagree with our assessment of this proposal as low risk, or should the proposal be amended in a way which significantly affects its impact on the natural environment, then in accordance with Section 4 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006, please consult Natural England again.	Thank you for your comments.	No change required

delete reference to s station and replace with a o provide bus facilities to he town.	
	Natural England response - received by email

194	other prefer not to say	We wish to make the following comments on the draft plan. 1-To ensure that cars are removed from the town centre. 2-To ensure that illegal and inconsiderate parking is dealt with firmly. 3-To provide a park and ride system. 4-To provide safe and secure cycle parking. 5-To provide proper cycle lanes separated from vehicular traffic. 6-Keep the Bus Station where it is. 7-Ensure safer and better access for disabled people. 8-Ensure that the night time economy does not suffer by looking at allowing cars in to the centre in the evenings. 9-Ensure that if any areas are pedestrianised fully they do not become a magnet for anti social behaviour. 10-We question the need for any more retail space bearing in mind the substantial increase in on line shopping. 11-If development does take place and car parking is displaced then the replacement car parking must be provided first. 12-We feel that the areas as described do accurately reflect the various areas of the Town.	Thank you for your positive comments and	No change required	Moreton Hall Residents Association response - received by email received by email
		I'm sure the planning means well and has been well thought out. I'm also sure that I am not qualified to criticise nor complain, especially as I'm not able to spend the time to consider the whole picture. I recognise that this is a very difficult task, and trust that those that have, are locals as well as outside consultants whom I fear may not have a feel for the magnificent city of Bury St Edmunds.I'm glad there has been an option for local people to look at and be involved in the plans. I'm sure there has been many mad ideas, as well as many good. A couple of observations if I may: We are lucky as BSE is a fabulous place to live, and consequently, only going to expand. One of the problems is, we are a very old town, designed originally with walls to keep people out, and gates to collect taxes. Thus, now not a modern road system, nor room for much expansion within. If planning was as simple as drawing lines, I would suggest a pedestrian only centre, cafe culture, covered areas for year round outside dining, market, shows, and tourism. All bringing revenue in. I would open up the tunnels and towers, and most importantly, make much out of Magna Carta. I'd like to see a "town trail" incorporating these and other landmarks. Almost every American tourist, and any that understand why MC created the ability for them to be tourists, should want to see the birthplace of the laws that allows them to be. This includes the Abbey Gardens, St Edmunds shrine etc. They are magnificent and need to be preserved, enjoyed and part of the "town trail". In return, I would create out of town park and ride, and in town, make Ram Meadow an underground and overground car park, with reduced parking rates for the town's shop workers. We have fabulous pubs, restaurants and buildings. We should show these off and facilitate people to travel in, park easily and cheaply, walk about safely and spend their £.We don't need more modern Arc shops with homogenised stores. Bury needs to look old and historic, clean and tidy, be welcoming and safe.	suggestions.		
196	other	3 page document sent seperately	It is not possible to respond without the information.		Bury Town Trust response, Word document received by email.
197	other	please could you take into consideration the provision of taxi ranks in the town. We have over 60 hackney vehicles but only one rank for ten vehicles ,members of the public are always expressing concern at the long walk from angel hill to the rank. The newly formed taxi and private hire council forum would like our opinions heard and I would suggest a rank on angel hill would be easily accommodated	Yes, all options including Angel Hill should be considered in consultation with the operators.	No change required	Taxi and private Hire Forum response. Received by email.
198	other	3 page letter sent seperately	It is not possible to respond without the information.		Pigeon Investment management response. Letter sent by email.
199	other	5 page letter sent seperately - also questionnaire response which has been input.	It is not possible to respond without the information.		Suffolk County Council response

			-	
203	other	<i>Economic Development</i> - The measures identified in the Masterplan should encourage employment growth in Bury St Edmunds, as the town centre becomes a more attractive retail	Thank you for this informative contribution.	
		centre and a more desirable location for B1 development. The Borough Council should consider		
		how the Masterplan could be used to promote investment in the town centre. If the measures and investment proposed can be developed into a coherent narrative, they should encourage investor		
		confidence and thus could be used to support inward investment narratives. Archaeology -		
		Development and redevelopment in the Masterplan areas would require archaeological work as		
		set out in Aspiration 26 of the Bury St Edmunds Vision 2031 and paragraph 128 of the NPPF and provide an opportunity to engage with the public on heritage and archaeology. <i>Rights of Way</i> - It		
		may also be beneficial for the masterplan to include aspirations to increase connectivity with		
		residential areas to the east of the town centre. This could include better connectivity for		
		pedestrians and cyclists to current routes which cross the A14 to and from the town centre. <i>Public</i>		
		<i>Health</i> - From a public health perspective, there are some concerns about objective 7, 'Managing and Enabling Access for All', which has few aspirations or proposed actions for any of the areas to		
		make changes to improve access for residents and/or visitors with, dementia, or learning		
		disabilities. The County Council can offer support as to how to best take account for these issues		
204	resident, retired	in the masterplan	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
204	resident, retired		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	· ·
		You can see the railway being on the edge of town is an issue. The Bus centre in the centre of	for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to
		town is an asset. The Bus service into town is a life line to many people. The bus station should be enhanced rather than moved out onto the street. It should be staffed to give directions to visitors		meet the needs of the town.
		and to deter drunks and people smoking in the shelters. It is also safer for traffic and helps traffic	without comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
		flow where it is.	access to the town centre.	
205	visitor from less than		Character Area 9 includes a priority to preserve	No change required
	10 miles away	Include character and amenity. Exclude the motor car.	and enhance the character and appearance of the town centre.	
206	resident, worker		We recognise there is a need to both replace	No change required
			any displaced parking and provide additional	
		We need more easy access parking - not less - the shops will die and the crime rate will increase -	parking. This is addressed under Character Areas 1, 3, 5, 6 and 9.	
207	visitor from less than	(that is documented, pedestrianised areas increase crime at night).	The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to
	10 miles away,		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities	redeveloping the bus station and replace with a
	retired		for its redevelopment. This has led to misunderstanding. No plans will be progressed	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.
			without comprehensive solutions for providing	
		Doing away with the nus station is a ridiculous idea. We need a larger bus station as we need more buses to cover a larger area because of a growing population, in the villages of Suffolk no	the fullest possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian	
		well as the ton. Villages don't have enough shopping facilities, so we need to go to town many of	access to the town centre.	
		no by bus.		
208	resident, worker	Overall view of Masterplan is excellent, keeping people bikes and cars separate is excellent. New links from buttermkt to the Arc should be a priority.	Thank you for your positive comments.	No change required
209	prefer not to say	Bury has an excellent opportunity with the Masterplan to create a new and exciting town centre	Thank you for your suggestions. The draft	No change required
		and surrounding area. They must listen to the people of the town. Buses should be redeployed to the car park at the ARC and the old station redeveloped to a multi-storey car park with associated	masterplan was developed from the outcome of the Issues and Options public consultation.	
		shops to the front.		
210	resident, retired	Some of the proposals for acting developers to pay for our conjustions score a hit antimistic as	Not all aspirations will be funded by developers,	No change required
		Some of the proposals for getting developers to pay for our aspirations seem a bit optimistic - or pie in the sky?	some will need alternative sources of funding.	
211	visitor from more			No change required
	than 10 miles away, prefer not to say		be considered.	
		Can we have a fountain?		
212	resident, other		The A14 is outside the area of the masterplan	No change required
		Quite road surface on A14 - this is disgraceful, and could surely be resurfaced to reduce noise.	and outside the remit of the local authority, being the responsibility of Highways England.	
ļ		Teans road surface on ATH - this is disgraterial, and tould surely be resultated to reduce 10156.	no responsibility of righways Eligidilu.	1

on.		SCC questionnaire response. Sent by email
	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
ance of	No change required	
eplace ional cter	No change required	
	Amend aspiration to delete reference to redeveloping the bus station and replace with a positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to meet the needs of the town.	
	No change required	
aft come of on.	No change required	
elopers, nding.	No change required	
ns will	No change required	
erplan ity, being	No change required	

	I		I		
213	resident, other			No change required	
			The A14 is outside the area of the masterplan		
			and outside the remit of the local authority, being		
			the responsibility of Highways England.		
		PREF. IN TOWN CENTRE A14 ALL AROUND BURY NEEDS RE-SURFACING IMMEDIATELY!			
214	resident, retired		Thank you for your comment.	No change required	
045		facilities in town.	The state of the second s	No oberge veryingd	
215	resident, retired			No change required	
			does not mention any specific age range as it is		
			a high level document and will aim to balance the needs of all town centre users.		
		roads are too narrow.			
216	resident, visitor from		The masterplan does not propose the removal of	Amend aspiration to delete reference to	
210	more than 10 miles		the bus station, but it does mention opportunities		
	away, retired		for its redevelopment. This has led to	positive aspiration to provide bus facilities to	
			misunderstanding and needs to be amended.	meet the needs of the town.	
		The bus station as it is now is disgusting (it vey bad outlook for visitors, hobody to help, provide	No proposals will be progressed without		
		timetables of information. It was much better when it was manned by stan who were invaluable.	comprehensive solutions for providing the fullest		
		was born and bred in bury and loved the town for many years but now i do not like it anymore and	possible bus, car, bike and pedestrian access to		
		In you close the bus station I will go elsewhere to shop and visit. To the People involved with the	meet the needs of the town centre. The		
		Bus station. I have been using the bust station for the last fifty years, travelling in from Shepherd	timescale is set by the Vision 2031 policy and		
		Grove Park, station on Sermonds buses. In the early days we had enough buses at useful times to	the masterplan will become part of the council's		
		be able to travel to other towns as well as Bury. e.g. Newmarket, Cambridge, Sudbury,	official planning guidance. Bus services are		
		Colchester, Stowmarket, Theuord Milderman, Brendon. Over the years the number of Buses	operated by individual providers and local public		
		coming to bury nom shepherds Grove park has slowly detenorated till now we have no chance of	bodies have very limited control, in most cases		
		going anywhere rather than Bury. Our timetable has been decimated. The bus station is empty	none at all. The Borough will, however, work		
		when we arrive in Bury at 11am. Personally I now hate going to Bury now. My husband has been	with Suffolk County Council and public transport		
		In Astimore Nursing nome for 14 months for end of the care. It is only 2 and a naminines from	service providers to improve the convenience of		
		shepherds Grove on the other side of the A143. When he hist went there i use to catch a bus at	getting in to, out of and around the town centre		
		11 -15am, arrive in Bury 12noon stay on the bus and go straight back via Bardwell, get off at the			
		home at 12-40. This was acceptable as there were no buses going directly past there. Now I have			
		to catch 10-25am to Bury, wait in town until 12-45pm to get there at 1-25pm. It is very tiring now, I			
		used to wait in the library café with coffee and snacks. Now that is closed, there is nowhere			
		comfortable nearby. The bus station rest there since you took the staff away. It is not a very			
		pleasant place for tourists coaches and any visitor to Bury. There is nobody to tell tem where			
		places are to visit, or where and when to get buses. I thought the council wanted to Welcome			
		visitors. This not the way to do it. If I was a visitor I would never come again or recommend it to			
		anybody else. We need a larger bus station, a larger pleasant rest room with staff to help,			
		refreshments available, pleasant toilets, notice boards, computer screens that can be read by			
		everybody, not just young people with good eyesight. The present boards are useless to most of			
		elderly people. If you get rid of the bus station and park buses in the street, where are the public			
		expected to wait especially in the winter and bad weather. It will be very unpleasant and will drive			
		people away. They will go elsewhere. This is going back to the 1930s. I am designated with the			
0.17		whole idea.			
217		The MAP contains a series of aspirations for the Town Centre. However, whether these objectives	· · · ·	Introduce a delivery strategy for the aspirations.	
			updated and reviewed will be required to follow		
			on from the masterplan. However, a high level		
		should emerge and be made the subject of public consultation before the MAP is adopted. Without			
			identified would be beneficial.		
		aspirations are possible, viable and deliverable. If the aspirations cannot be achieved, there will be			
1		no certainty that the future needs of the Town Centre will be met and the worth of the MAP will be			
		questioned.	1		

219       other         211       other					
219       other       Pailed down on Historic Building renamed, and what a disaster a murdle in the backyard and building turnit down. Rising Sun and Cupy House, The only finally store (if the backyard and and the rough partial, the so one noted all the houses being out of uses to the sody our load do and and glid clock and an of enough partiag. Has no en noted all the houses being out of uncertained and were allowed and account building of the 'Arc.' Doesn't surgime more addy. Were allocad and blie of lattice building and the houses being point of no confected Like 'Roughan Town and Tu Hill. One of genes, it is gone fuse-err.' Thesis to go on fills the lattice is and y Hully counting the some speed arranged on the historic mance speed arranged on the historic base and the speed were member and the scence historic harrange and the mance speed arranged on the historic mance speed arrange mance speed arrange manc	8 other		Opinions differ, but the general consensus is	No change required	
zulding burnt down. Rising Sum and Cuppe House. The only family stone let seems to be Poimers" Our Town Post Office, will to mode way to ro use, tot solid to use, not solid to make valop for a wait. Poimers" Aur Town Post Office, will to mode way to ro use, not solid to make valop for a wait. the was no an encide all the houses being council are executive houses More are being build for the local younger generation, to be able to afford to buy?? Our Couple House has been not enough parking, here you me, when all our famil and for growing lood on and for animals to grade on the historic not enough parking, here you me, when all our famil and the goal while may be when all our change and not chaog. Annual Walkar, "abroad the matrix with Eleve you me, when all our famil and not chaog. Annual Walkar, "abroad the staded as much more with Chaugh Tow seen it when abroad, so distressing. Example, the waiter with the more share town. Else wore on the stade of distressing. Example, the stade of not within might be cheaper now. Built look out "England" as a more information is in every faulty the stade of not more with Chaugh Tow seen it when abroad, so distressing. Example, the transmitter of the town or with chaugh the nog sky thal and to chaog. Annual Walkar, "abroad the transmitter of the town or with chaugh the seen the abroad to distressing. Example, the transmitter of the town or with chaugh the seen the stade of town or with seen the builters to the solid town or well be produced with the complex is not the solid town or well be produced with the complex is not the solid town or well be the town of the well the seen the solid town or well be the seen the solid town or well be the solid town or well be the town of the well the seen the solid town or well be the solid town or well be the solid town or well the town ather the solid town or the solid town or to			ě i		
219       other         219       other					
219       other       Arrangements with famous and has been here executive houses			· · ·		
219       other       other       not encode planching, Has no one holeced all the houses baing focumal are executive houses       In planching, Has no one holeced all the houses baing focumal are executive houses       In planching, Has no one holeced all the houses baing focumal are executive houses         More are being build for the local young generation, to be able to afford to buy? POUF count is the planching of the planchin					
219       other       More are being puild for the local younger generation, to be able to afford to buy? Our Country side Mentage Lands to being sold of no conficacad L. Like R-Rougham Town and Tu Hill. Onco if gone, its' gone forever." That's tot good farm land for growing food on and for animats to graze on gone, its' gone forever." That's tot good farm land for growing food on and for animats to graze on the natural way. Believe you are, when all our farm land has been taken over - well be having in form. The town has been taken over - well be having in the good farm land for growing food on and for animats to graze on the hartural way. Believe you are, when all our farm land has been taken over - well be having in the good farm land for growing food on and for animats to graze on the hartural way. Believe you are, when all our farm land so the states on the hart well the sort good farm date out is in tot grid locade, but it is hours has been and cannot be prevented. We have the choice of they're sides unable to move. Leave our "Bus station" alone. It's already heavy in uses, or we can be proxetive and try they're sides unable to move. Leave our Bus station" alone. We have the lovely Dutt "It'bring" across the road. A building is in keeping with the architecture of Buy St Edmunds Town centre - A pity the "Arc" dirth follow suil So out of keeping. Too tall, too avepowering, its already pulled down and replaced with the Cornhill wail a glass House. And that horrendou we wooden shack, top of Kings Road. And Lundkreat the the scription, the see see and hear they're band and walking down Risbygate Street going to be Church. And then coming bask and people lining the street to work hard hore are shared as ford to walch it. The Regimental Sargent Majors, were a force to be reckoned with, if and when any solder misbehaved. Don't even the Regimental Sargent Majors, were at tore to be reckoned with, if and when any solder misbehaved. Don't even the sepaceal by othis in		5 1 5 5	Ŭ		
slide Heritago Land is being sold of on confiscated. Like "Rougham Town and T Hill. Once its gene, Its" gene forever: "That's lay good farm land for growing food on and for animatis to grave the subset on the natural way. Believe you me, when all our farm land has been taken over - well be having to like. The work of the dot with might be cheaper now. But look out "England" as sub- They'd have over a barrel, and food will thin got sky high and not chaep. "Animal Vellare," abroad is treated so multi-the respond to any future the hyp're sides unable to move. Lawer our "bus sitiation" ahore. It's alteressing. Example: a chickens tied with string one leg each together at a Marke in the scorching hear, having black they're sides unable to move. Lawer our "bus sitiation" ahore. It's alteressing. Example: a chickens tied with string one leg each together at a Marke in the scorching hear, having black they're sides unable to move. Lawer our "bus sitiation" ahore. It's alteressing the string having on they're sides unable to move. Lawer our "bus sitiation" ahore. It's alteressing the string having the string hear they arous a the read to bar beyond they and they tawe they are alteressing. Example: a chickens tied with string one leg each together at a Marke in the scorching hear, having the base to walk. Have "Tinn House our "bus sitiation" and the for the alderly to be able to walk. Have "Tinn House" down and filly tooling glace is a digrace to the levely 'bodon' got pulled down and replaced with the Commil lass? It was sneadly how the lovely 'bodon' got pulled down and replaced with the domn's stated and hear they re band and walking down Risbigate Street going to the Church. And then coming back and people linning the street to watch and there explaints of hind elseptically for the elderly with part of the Bus station, parity sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: Built justabout sums Z19         219       other       There is an aspiration					
219       other       gone, is: gone forever." That's to good farm land for growing 'tood on and for grave on gympathetically rebuit/restored following a tragic the natural way, when all out farm land has been having to lift. The town is not gift locked, but it is busy more from abroad for food, which might be cheaper now. But took out "England" as soon and be news the forteely I've seen it when abroad, so distressing, Example: a chickens tild with sting one log each together at a Market in the socricing heat, panning lying on they're sides unable to move. Leave our "Bus station" abne. It's already heavy in usage, With be and to the socricing heat, panning lying on they're sides unable to move. Leave our "Bus station" abne. It's already heavy in usage. With buses coming in from London, Stanstead, county buses etc. As its doce to Town for the delety to the ba bale to wak. Have "Trinot Houses' down, a filthy looking place is a disgrace to the lovely to the town have they they and the town's use of the seeping. They're addes unable to move. Leave our "Bus station" alone. It's already heavy in usage, with have and the town's town's distressing to the delety to the base bale to wak. Have "Trinot Houses' down, a filthy looking place is a disgrace to the lovely "Dodor" of the delety to the bale bale to wak. Have "Trinot Houses' down, a filthy looking place is a disgrace to the lovely "Dodor" of the delety to the state they're band and walking'I Outside, must be coming it, to meas or "lovely dot town". So such and the streption.         219       other       a ther       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to rainford gown, batty esseled to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums.       No change required rainford for any to reave they for the eldery with place who they condone shack, top of Kentucky building was to enhance the building was to enhance the building I Outsidene Str					
219       other       -i. the natural way. Believe you me, when all our farm land has been taken over well be having to [fire. The town is not grid locked, but it is busy]       buy more from abroad for food, which might be chock out "England" as so one maint inceds to be ablo to respond to any future demands placed upon it. Growth is inevitable is treated so multiple to more. Incerve a barrel, and food will theng osly high and not cheap. "Animal Welfare," abroad upon it. Growth is inevitable demands placed upon it. Growth is inevitable of the chock of the chock out "England" as the chock of the chock out the chock of the chock out the chock of the chock of the chock out the chock of the choc			e ii		
buy more from abroad for food, which might be cheaper now. But look out "England" as soon       and it needs to be able to respond to any future         They d have over a barrel, and food will then go sky high and not cheap. "Animal Welffarer," abroad is treated so much more with Cruelty I've seen it when abroad, so distressing. Example: a chicknes tied with string one log each together at Marker in the soroching heat, paning lying on they're sides unable to move. Leave our "Bus station" alone. It's already hear in usage. With buses coming in from London, Stanstead, county buses etc. As its close to Town for the elderly to be able to waik. Have "Triton House" down. A building so in keeping with the architecture of Buy St Edmunds Town centre. A pit yir Arc" didn't follows with So out of Keeping. Too I's Resping to any distart were is indicated and any walking, what cast. How long will it las? I' twas sneaky how the lovely "Odeon" got pulled down and replaced with the Cornhill wall giss House. And that horrend use wooden shack, top of Kings Road. And I understand the scaffolding on top of Kentucky building was to enhance the building/ Outsides Street going in the Church. And them corning back and people lining the street to watch and cher. "Always" a crowd to watch it. The Regimental Sargent Majors, were a force to be recknored will and so distressing. I wouldn't wall in "Buy' Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appaling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums stage there was some support for podestrianising Angel Hill. Wo have no taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a multi- functional space to continue as a care park and event space. During the lisus a multi- functional space to continue as a care park and event space. During the lisus and othen the forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a pa wall- podestrianising A					
219       other         219       other					
219       other       is treated so much more with Cruelyl I ve seen it when abroad, so distressing. Example: a chickens tied with string one big each together at a Market in the scorching heat, panting lying on they're sides unable to move. Leave our "Bus station" alone. It's already heavy in usage. With buses coming in from London, Stanstead, county buses etc. As its close to Town for the elderly to be able to waik. Have "Trion House" down. A fifthy looking place is a disgrace to the lovely built in the "Arc" didn't follow suit So out of keeping. To tall, to overpowering, its already head very in usage. With had its problem and at what cost. How long will it last? I was sneaky, how the lovely 'Odeon" got pulled down and replaced with the Cornhil wall al gales House. And that horemodu use wooden shack, top of Kings Road. And I understand the scaffolding on top of Kentucky building was to enhance the buildingI. Outsiders, must be coming in yor was to see and hear they're band and walking down Risbygate Street going to the Church. And them coming back and people lining the street to watch and other. "Always" a crow to to watch it. The Regiment Barrack's 1878 faulty storing it, to mess our Toevier to mere member a hearing on Murder in our Town. There were serveral fist fights. Especially when the Americans first cane W. W. II Now look whats happening, so awful and so distressing. I wouldn't walk in "Bury Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, party sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill. We have not taken and event space. Angel Hill is a lead were worts as and people linits as were consider as a were consider as were consider as a were consider as a were consider and a walking down paread to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
219       other         219       other					
219       other         219       other					
219       other         219       other			, ,		
219       other         219       other    There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space. During the face and success and space to continue as a car park and events space. During the face and the space for the space					
219       other         219       other					
219       other         219       other			masterplan.		
219       other         219       other					
219       other         219       other					
219       other         219       other					
219       other         219       other					
1       the Suffolk Regiment Barracks 1878 fantly standing, what a joy it was to see and hear they're band and walking down Risbygate Street going to the Church. And them coming back and people lining the street to watch and cheer. "Always" a crowd to watch it. The Regimental Sargent Majors, were a force to be reckoned with, if and when any soldier misbehaved. Don't even remember a hearing on Murder in our Town. There were several fist fights. Especially when the Americans first cane W.W.II Now look whats happening, so awful and so distressing. I wouldn't walk in "Bury Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
219       other         219       other    There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill is a					
Ining the street to watch and cheer. "Always" a crowd to watch it. The Regimental Sargent Majors, were a force to be reckoned with, if and when any soldier misbehaved. Don't even remember a hearing on Murder in our Town. There were several fist fights. Especially when the Americans first cane W.W.II Now look whats happening, so awful and so distressing. I wouldn't walk in "Bury Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
were a force to be reckoned with, if and when any soldier misbehaved. Don't even remember a hearing on Murder in our Town. There were several fist fights. Especially when the Americans first cane W.W.II Now look whats happening, so awful and so distressing. I wouldn't walk in "Bury Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
hearing on Murder in our Town. There were several fist fights. Especially when the Americans first cane W.W.II Now look whats happening, so awful and so distressing. I wouldn't walk in "Bury Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
cane W.W.II Now look whats happening, so awful and so distressing. I wouldn't walk in "Bury Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
Town" at night on my own. Lastly "Toilet facilities are appalling to find especially for the elderly with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
219       other       There is an aspiration in Character Area 4 to reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multifunctional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a       No change required					
reinforce the identity of Angel Hill as a multi- functional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a		with part of the Bus station, partly sealed to let. Don't know who wrote this: But it just about sums			
functional space to continue as a car park and events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a	9 other			No change required	
events space. During the Issues and Options stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a			, ,		
stage there was some support for pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a					
pedestrianising Angel Hill. We have not taken this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a					
this forward as we recognise Angel Hill is a					
Question After all the proposed changes - which will take some time - are you proposing that valuable car park on the east side of town to					
Angel Hill will still be a car park? Is ther any mention regarding the future use of Angel Hill in your allow the public to make use of the facilities					
proposal? available nearby.		proposal?	available nearby.		